WHO GOT NEXT: DEVELOPING A SUCCESSION MODEL OF LEADERHSIP AT THE NEW MACEDONIA BAPTIST CHURCH WASHINGTON, D.C.

Patrick Jonnathan Walker

BA, Washington Bible College, 2012
MDiv, Virginia Union University, 2015

Mentors

Philip L. Pointer, Sr., DMin
Danielle L. Brown, DMin
Charles E. Goodman, Jr., PhD

A FINAL PROJECT SUBMITTED TO
THE DOCTORAL STUDIES COMMITTEE
IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS
FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF MINISTRY

UNITED THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY
Dayton, Ohio
May 2025

United Theological Seminary
Dayton, OH

Faculty Approval Page
Doctor of Ministry Final Project

WHO GOT NEXT: DEVELOPING A SUCCESSION MODEL OF LEADERHSIP AT THE NEW MACEDONIA BAPTIST CHURCH WASHINGTON, D.C.

by

Patrick Jonnathan Walker

United Theological Seminary, 2025

Mentors

Philip L. Pointer, Sr., DMin
Danielle L. Brown, DMin
Charles E. Goodman, Jr., PhD

Date: _____

Approved:

_____

_____
Faculty Mentor:

_____
Associate Dean of Doctoral Studies:

Copyright © 2025 Patrick Jonnathan Walker
All rights reserved

CONTENTS

ABSTRACT iv

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS v

DEDICATION vii

INTRODUCTION 1

CHAPTER

1. MINISTRY FOCUS 5
2. BIBLICAL FOUNDATIONS 28
3. HISTORICAL FOUNDATIONS 50
4. THEOLOGICAL FOUNDATIONS 70
5. INTERDISCIPLINARY FOUNDATIONS 94
6. PROJECT ANALYSIS 121

BIBLIOGRAPHY 149

ABSTRACT

WHO GOT NEXT: DEVELOPING A SUCCESSION MODEL OF LEADERHSIP
AT THE NEW MACEDONIA BAPTIST CHURCH
WASHINGTON, D.C.

by
Patrick Jonnathan Walker
United Theological Seminary, 2025

Mentors

Philip L. Pointer, Sr., DMin
Danielle L. Brown, DMin
Charles E. Goodman, Jr., PhD

The proposed doctoral project addresses the absence of a succession culture at The New Macedonia Baptist Church, a deficiency that often leads to instability and stalled growth. By engaging congregants in an eight-week study of a leadership succession model, the project aims to equip participants to design a sustainable framework for identifying and developing future leaders. Training sessions will explore biblical, historical, and contemporary principles of leadership transition, emphasizing the organizational and spiritual consequences of leadership vacuums. The anticipated outcome is a succession plan that promotes stability and growth, evaluated through qualitative research and case studies of leadership transitions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am profoundly grateful to my incredible wife, Priscella, and to our adult children, Maya Bethany and Patrick J. Walker, II, whose love, patience, and constant encouragement motivated and sustained me throughout this academic journey. My family has made immeasurable sacrifices throughout my journey toward each degree, and I pray that my work is worthy of those sacrifices.

To the congregation I am blessed to serve, The New Macedonia Baptist Church in Washington, D.C., thank you for granting me the sacred privilege of pursuing this work while serving as your pastor. To our dedicated staff, pastors, ministers, leadership, and disciples, your partnership, prayers, and commitment made this work possible.

To Pastor Gregory Boyd Wardlow, whose uniquely layered relationship with me made this journey unforgettable. For three years, we learned, traveled, worked, and persevered side by side. His companionship, honesty, and trust enriched this experience beyond what words can capture.

To my mentors—Dr. Philip L. Pointer, Dr. Danielle L. Brown, and Dr. Charles E. Goodman—thank you for guiding me with insight, integrity, and compassion. Dr. Tyshawn Gardner for his constant inspiration. I am equally grateful for the amazing scholars of Point Brown Goodman, and I honor the memory of our beloved brother, Reverend Derrick Jones, whose life and ministry continue to inspire.

To the cherished memory of my mother, Dorothy L. Watson, whose faith, strength, and sacrifice shaped the very foundation of my life and ministry. I also acknowledge the memory of my father, George Meachum, for whom I am eternally grateful and for the part his life plays in my story.

With deep respect, I acknowledge my predecessor, the late Reverend Dr. Robert L. Walls, Sr., whose faithful example of leadership and informal succession planning planted the earliest seeds of this endeavor.

Finally, I honor the memory of Deacon Elvin Wilkins, former chair of deacons, who humbly embraced the succession model that allowed the New Mass family and me to walk forward together into God's purpose. To each of you—thank you for shaping both the pastor and the project.

DEDICATION

This project is lovingly dedicated to my wife, Priscella Walker, whose unwavering love, steady encouragement, and patient support have carried me through every late night of writing and every early morning of ministry. To our adult children, Maya Bethany and Patrick J. Walker, II, who continue to inspire me with their brilliance, compassion, and joy. You remind me daily that legacy is both inherited and built.

INTRODUCTION

Leadership succession is one of the most pressing challenges facing congregations today, particularly within the historic African American church. The stability, mission, and long-term vitality of a congregation depend significantly on its ability to transition leadership intentionally, prayerfully, and strategically. Although The New Macedonia Baptist Church (TNMBC) has a rich history spanning more than six decades, it has not historically employed a formal succession model. This absence has contributed to periods of uncertainty, emotional strain, and moments of potential mission drift during pastoral and ministry transitions. This Doctor of Ministry (DMin) project seeks to respond to that reality by developing a biblically grounded, historically informed, theologically sound, and strategically structured succession model explicitly tailored for TNMBC. At the center of this project is a guiding hypothesis: If a team of congregants participates in a focused study of leadership succession, they will be equipped to design a sustainable model for identifying, developing, and deploying future leaders within TNMBC. This hypothesis is supported by scripture, affirmed by historical patterns, grounded in ecclesiological theology, and strengthened through interdisciplinary leadership studies. The purpose of this introduction is to present the project's theme, rationale, and scholarly foundations, to show how each foundation informs the applied research, and to preview the chapters that culminate in the study's implementation and findings.

The central theme of the project is cultivating a culture of succession. Chapter One, Ministry Focus, identifies several challenges within TNMBC—an aging leadership core, diminished institutional memory, potential spiritual misalignment, and intergenerational gaps—all of which heighten vulnerability during leadership transitions. In response, the project argues that intentional teaching and guided collaboration around biblical and practical succession principles will equip TNMBC leaders to begin framing a sustainable model. Succession, therefore, is not merely administrative; it is a spiritual discipline rooted in stewardship, mentorship, and faithfulness to God's mission. Chapter One summarizes pastoral and organizational challenges at TNMBC and the need for a succession culture.

Chapter Two, the Biblical Foundations, centers on texts such as Titus 1:4-5, where Paul instructs Titus to establish capable leaders to safeguard doctrine and order. Scriptural patterns—from Moses and Joshua to Paul and Timothy—demonstrate that leadership transitions in Scripture involve preparation, mentoring, and communal affirmation. These models serve as the theological backbone for the project's educational design. Chapter Two explores biblical models of succession, emphasizing intentionality and mentorship.

Historically, in Chapter Three, the leadership formation practices of Bishop Richard Allen and the African Methodist Episcopal (AME) Church provide a valuable framework for structured development and governance. These systems contrast with the informal leadership pipelines standard in many African American congregations, including TNMBC. Learning from these models allows the church to move beyond

personality-driven leadership toward intentional development. Overall, the chapter examines African American church history, especially Richard Allen and the AME Church.

Chapter Four, Theological Foundations, the project draws from ecclesiology, which frames pastoral leadership as stewardship entrusted for a season. New Testament leadership emphasizes plurality, accountability, and shared authority. These convictions shape the seminar's grounding in scripture, prayer, and communal discernment, positioning succession as both spiritual and strategic. This chapter presents the theological framework of stewardship, shared leadership, and doctrinal fidelity.

Chapter Five focuses on the interdisciplinary foundations of the project. While scripture and theology provide the spiritual foundation, interdisciplinary leadership theory offers the strategic framework for implementing succession effectively, drawing on Leadership Pipeline Theory and Leadership Continuity Theory. Chapter Five integrates Leadership Theory to adapt succession models for congregational use.

The final chapter, Project Analysis, outlines the methodology, implementation, and analysis of the six-week seminar, demonstrating how the findings validate the hypothesis. The implemented project was centered on a six-week succession seminar involving an intergenerational focus group. Teaching sessions engaged biblical, historical, theological, and interdisciplinary themes. Data collection included questionnaires, interviews, and reflection journals. The findings demonstrate increased biblical literacy around succession, a shift from personality-driven to process-driven leadership thinking, stronger intergenerational relationships, a growing desire for structured mentorship, a shared urgency for a formal succession plan, and heightened

readiness among emerging leaders. These outcomes strongly confirm the project's hypothesis: A focused educational process can equip congregants to conceptualize and begin shaping a viable succession model for TNMBC.

This introduction frames a project grounded in biblical stewardship, historical awareness, theological integrity, and strategic leadership practice. The chapters that follow illustrate how these foundations informed a practical ministry intervention—one that not only educated TNMBC leaders but also catalyzed cultural transformation. Through this work, TNMBC is better positioned to preserve its legacy, strengthen its leadership culture, and secure its mission for generations to come.

CHAPTER ONE

MINISTRY FOCUS

Leadership is one of the most critical components of any organization, and this is especially true for the church. The ability to effectively transition from one leader to the next is essential for maintaining stability, preserving the church's mission, and ensuring ongoing spiritual growth. However, many churches, including The New Macedonia Baptist Church (TNMBC), lack a formal succession model. This absence of preparation often leads to uncertainty, disruption, and, in some cases, division within the congregation. The purpose of this paper is to explore how my ministry interests, experiences, and leadership skills align with the needs of TNMBC, particularly in the realm of succession planning, and how this alignment serves as the foundation for a Doctor of Ministry (DMin) project.

TNMBC, a historically African American congregation situated in Washington, DC, has a rich legacy of faith, service, and community involvement. Established in 1958, the church has undergone periods of growth, expansion, and change under the guidance of three senior pastors. Despite numerous achievements, one ongoing challenge has been the lack of a structured succession plan for pastoral leadership. Each transition in leadership has occurred without a clear roadmap, compelling the congregation to navigate the process primarily through faith and informal decision-making rather than strategic planning. This chapter aims to explore how a well-defined succession model can be

created to ensure that future leadership transitions are managed with purpose, minimizing disruptions, and protecting the church's integrity and effectiveness.

Having experienced leadership transitions firsthand, both as a successor and as a mentor to emerging leaders, I understand the complexities and challenges associated with pastoral succession. When I was called to serve as the senior pastor of The New Macedonia Baptist Church in 2003, it was following the sudden passing of my predecessor, Reverend Robert L. Walls, Sr., who had faithfully led the church for forty years. Although he had recommended me as his successor and I had the privilege of serving as his co-pastor for two years, there was no formal succession plan in place. Consequently, the transition, while successful, placed a significant emotional and organizational burden on the congregation. My experience of stepping into leadership without a predefined succession strategy has shaped my understanding of the importance of planning for the future.

One of the primary objectives of this paper is to align my ministry interests and leadership skills with the needs of TNMBC. My passion for leadership development, mentorship, and organizational strategy has been a defining aspect of my ministry. I have always believed that churches must be intentional about preparing the next generation of leaders, equipping them not only with theological knowledge but also with the practical leadership skills necessary to sustain the church's mission. The lack of a structured leadership pipeline in many churches, including TNMBC, creates a vulnerability that could be addressed through strategic planning. By examining my own experiences and leadership philosophy, I aim to demonstrate how my skill set can contribute to developing a succession plan that ensures stability and continuity in the church.

Another key objective of this paper is to assess the impact of leadership transitions on the integrity and effectiveness of the church. Many congregations face challenges during times of transition due to insufficient preparation, which can lead to membership decline, financial instability, and even internal conflict. By analyzing TNMBC's past transitions, along with broader case studies from other church contexts, I will highlight the risks associated with an unstructured approach and the advantages of implementing a biblically grounded and strategically sound succession model. Leadership transitions should not be considered crises, but rather opportunities for renewal and sustained growth. This paper will examine how a succession plan can be developed to strengthen the church instead of destabilizing it.

Finally, this study will establish the groundwork for my doctoral project, which will focus on creating a practical and reproducible succession model tailored to TNMBC's specific needs. This project will incorporate biblical principles, leadership best practices, and real-world applications to equip the church with a framework for identifying, mentoring, and transitioning future leaders. By developing a structured and intentional succession process, the church will be better positioned to uphold its mission and vision beyond any single leader's tenure.

The importance of succession planning in churches cannot be overstated. Throughout Scripture, we see examples of intentional leadership transitions that ensured the continuity of God's work. From Moses to Joshua, Elijah to Elisha, and Paul to Timothy, biblical precedent illustrates the necessity of preparing future leaders before transitions take place. However, many modern churches have not embraced this practice, often relying on informal decision-making or waiting for a crisis to force action. This

paper seeks to address this gap by presenting a clear and actionable approach to leadership succession at TNMBC.

In summary, this chapter aims to connect my ministry calling with the church's need for structured leadership succession. By evaluating past transitions, assessing the effects of leadership changes, and proposing a strategic model for succession, this study will serve as both an academic exploration and a practical tool for implementation. As I enter the final phase of my pastoral ministry, my goal is not only to finish well but also to ensure that the church is well-positioned for the next chapter of its journey. Leadership succession should not be left to chance; it should be approached with wisdom, foresight, and faith. Through this research, I hope to contribute to the legacy of TNMBC by helping to establish a framework that will sustain its ministry for generations to come.

The New Macedonia Baptist Church (TNMBC) has a long and distinguished history as a faith community serving Washington, D.C. Throughout the past six decades, the church has navigated periods of growth, transition, and challenge while maintaining its commitment to worship, discipleship, and community engagement. However, like many historic congregations, TNMBC now faces critical issues that could affect its sustainability and future effectiveness. As the church prepares for its next phase of leadership at all ministry levels, it is essential to evaluate its current needs, particularly concerning aging leadership, institutional legacy loss, potential spiritual misalignment, and the lack of intergenerational engagement. These challenges must be addressed through intentional planning and strategic leadership development to ensure TNMBC remains a thriving spiritual and community institution in the years ahead.

A major concern at TNMBC is the reality of an aging leadership core. Many of the church's key leaders, including pastoral staff, deacons, trustees, and ministry heads, have served faithfully for decades. While their experience and wisdom are invaluable, the lack of a structured leadership pipeline has created a leadership vacuum that could become destabilizing if not effectively managed.

The issue of aging leadership presents several challenges. First, older leaders may encounter limitations in energy, adaptability, and technological engagement, making it difficult to effectively minister to a rapidly changing congregation and community. Additionally, as these leaders begin to retire or step back from their roles, there is a noticeable shortage of trained and prepared successors ready to take on responsibility. Without a proactive effort to identify and develop new leaders, TNMBC risks facing gaps in leadership that could lead to organizational decline.

Another concern is the cultural and generational disconnect that sometimes arises between aging leaders and younger members of the church. Many current leaders were shaped by different societal and ecclesiastical contexts, which may lead to resistance to adopting new ministry approaches, worship styles, or engagement strategies that appeal to younger generations. While the wisdom of seasoned leaders is crucial, there must also be room for innovation and the inclusion of younger voices in leadership decisions.

Another crucial need of TNMBC is the preservation of its institutional legacy. The church has a rich history of faith, resilience, and community service that must be intentionally passed down to future generations. However, without a clear strategy for preserving and transmitting this legacy, there is a risk of losing institutional memory and weakening the church's identity.

Institutional legacy encompasses more than just historical records; it represents TNMBC's values, traditions, and core theological beliefs. Throughout the years, the church has been recognized for its dedication to social justice, impactful biblical preaching, and community outreach. However, if newer generations are not properly educated about this heritage, they may lack a deep connection to it, potentially resulting in disengagement or a departure from the church's foundational principles.

Furthermore, as long-tenured members age or pass away, much of the church's oral history and institutional knowledge could be lost. Key decisions, traditions, and organizational structures that have shaped TNMBC's identity need to be documented and deliberately passed down. Without this effort, the church may find itself adrift, losing the very essence of what has made it a strong and vibrant faith community for decades.

A significant concern during any leadership transition is the potential for spiritual misalignment. If the next generation of leadership does not fully comprehend or embrace the church's theological and spiritual foundation, there is a risk that TNMBC could stray from its core mission and values. Spiritual misalignment can manifest in several ways. One common issue is theological drift, where new leadership, influenced by external cultural and doctrinal trends, starts to diverge from the biblical teachings and doctrinal foundations that have defined the church. While adaptation and growth are essential, they must be balanced with a commitment to theological integrity.

One of the most significant challenges facing TNMBC is the generational gap within the church. Many congregations struggle with intergenerational disconnect, where older and younger members operate in separate spheres instead of engaging in meaningful relationships and shared ministry. This lack of connection can lead to

declining youth and young adult participation, making it difficult for the church to retain younger members. Several factors contribute to this issue. First, differences in worship styles and communication preferences have created barriers between older and younger members. While older generations prefer traditional hymns and structured services, younger members have expressed a desire for more contemporary worship experiences and digital engagement.

Another challenge is the absence of purposeful mentorship and discipleship between generations. Younger members may feel isolated from the leadership and decision-making processes, making it hard for them to envision themselves as future leaders of the church. Without opportunities for meaningful involvement, they may start to disengage or look for spiritual fulfillment elsewhere.

The New Macedonia Baptist Church finds itself at a crucial juncture in its history. Despite having a solid foundation and a rich legacy, it must confront significant needs in leadership succession, legacy preservation, spiritual alignment, and intergenerational engagement to secure long-term vitality. By actively addressing these issues through mentorship, strategic planning, and deliberate inclusivity, TNMBC can position itself for a robust and sustainable future.

As the church prepares for its next leadership transition, these areas of concern must be prioritized. Leadership succession is not merely about selecting a new pastor; it involves ensuring that TNMBC remains spiritually vibrant, missionally effective, and structurally sound for generations to come. Through careful preparation and faith-driven leadership, TNMBC can navigate this season of change with wisdom, unity, and purpose.

A successful leadership transition requires not only strategic planning but also a leader whose journey, skills, and vision align with the evolving needs of the church. As TNMBC approaches this pivotal change, it is crucial to reflect on how personal ministerial development and church leadership succession intersect. My journey in ministry has been shaped by a strong commitment to spiritual growth, theological education, and leadership development, all of which have equipped me to lead this transition process with wisdom, strategy, and faith. By leveraging my experiences in pastoral leadership, mentorship, and organizational development, I aim to create a succession model that is both biblically grounded and practically effective, ensuring that TNMBC continues to thrive as a strong and vibrant church for generations to come.

My journey in ministry has been shaped by a blend of personal calling, professional development, and pastoral experience. From the early stages of my faith formation to my years of pastoral leadership, I have endeavored to integrate spiritual growth, theological education, and leadership development into my ministry. The skills and interests I contribute to ministry have been nurtured through years of academic pursuit, professional experience, and practical pastoral work. These components will form the foundation for my doctoral project, which aims to create a strategic and sustainable model for leadership succession in the church.

As I reflect on my journey, several key themes emerge—leadership development, pastoral administration, theological education, mentorship, and community engagement. Each of these areas has shaped my approach to ministry and will significantly influence the framework I develop for my doctoral project. This section will examine how my educational and professional experiences, along with my pastoral leadership, inform my

vision for equipping future church leaders and ensuring a smooth transition of leadership within The New Macedonia Baptist Church.

One of the greatest passions of my ministry has been leadership development. From my earliest experiences as a young preacher to my tenure as senior pastor of The New Macedonia Baptist Church, I have understood that effective ministry requires a commitment to developing strong, capable leaders. My experiences in mentorship, pastoral leadership, and civic engagement have shaped this conviction.

Throughout my ministry, I have had the privilege of mentoring emerging leaders—many of whom have gone on to become pastors, ministry leaders, and influential voices in their respective fields. I have always believed that leadership development is not just about delegation but about intentionally investing in individuals who demonstrate the potential and calling for ministry. I have worked to create opportunities for younger leaders to preach, teach, lead ministries, and participate in decision-making processes, helping them develop the confidence and skills necessary for effective leadership.

My commitment to leadership development is deeply personal because I benefited from the mentorship of experienced pastors, deacons, and ministry leaders who dedicated their time to invest in my life. Recognizing the impact of these relationships, I have worked to establish a culture of mentorship within the church, ensuring that younger leaders are nurtured, trained, and equipped for ministry. This focus on leadership development will be central to my doctoral project, as I aim to create a structured succession planning model that guarantees a continuous pipeline of qualified leaders within the church.

Pastoral administration and church governance are other skills that have informed my ministry. Effective pastoral leadership extends beyond the pulpit; it requires the ability to manage church operations, oversee staff, steward resources, and implement strategic initiatives. Throughout the years, I have developed strong administrative competencies that have enabled me to lead and grow churches in urban and rural settings.

One key administrative challenge facing many churches today is the lack of a structured approach to leadership succession. Many churches operate reactively rather than proactively, often waiting until a crisis occurs before addressing leadership transitions. This can lead to confusion, instability, and even division within the congregation. My professional and pastoral experiences have reinforced the importance of establishing clear policies, governance structures, and transition plans that safeguard the church's mission and continuity.

During my time at The New Macedonia Baptist Church, I have worked to streamline administrative processes, strengthen financial stewardship, and enhance organizational effectiveness. I have implemented systems that improve ministry coordination, staff accountability, and long-term planning. These experiences will be essential for my doctoral project, as I aim to develop a replicable succession model that incorporates best practices in pastoral administration.

My journey in theological education has been fundamental to my ministry. Although my early experiences were shaped by on-the-job training and mentorship, I recognized the significance of formal theological education in deepening my understanding of Scripture, enhancing my pastoral skills, and participating in intellectual and spiritual formation.

After overcoming initial challenges in my educational journey, I pursued a Bachelor's degree in Bible from Washington Bible College and later earned a Master of Divinity (MDiv) from The Samuel DeWitt Proctor School of Theology at Virginia Union University. These academic endeavors enabled me to engage with biblical exegesis, church history, theology, and pastoral leadership in ways that enhanced my ministerial capacity.

My commitment to lifelong learning has led me to participate in pastoral excellence programs, preaching practicums, and leadership development initiatives at institutions such as Regent's Park College at Oxford University and the Lott Carey Pastoral Excellence Program. These experiences have enriched my personal journey of faith and provided me with a global perspective on ministry and leadership.

In my doctoral project, I plan to integrate my theological training into a practical model for leadership succession. By grounding the project in biblical principles, historical church practices, and contemporary leadership theories, I aim to create a theologically sound and strategically effective framework that can be applied in various church contexts.

Mentorship has been a defining aspect of my ministry, both as a mentor and a mentee. I have been fortunate to learn from devoted pastors, deacons, and church leaders who exemplified servant leadership and offered guidance throughout my spiritual and professional journey. In turn, I am committed to mentoring young ministers, emerging church leaders, and those exploring a call to ministry.

Effective mentorship is a key component of leadership succession. It ensures that future leaders are equipped with knowledge, wisdom, character, and relational maturity.

Many churches struggle with succession because they fail to cultivate meaningful mentor-mentee relationships that provide a smooth transfer of leadership, institutional knowledge, and spiritual values.

A central component of my doctoral project will be establishing a structured mentorship framework that supports the development of future church leaders. This will include intentional mentorship programs, leadership training cohorts, and spiritual formation initiatives that prepare individuals for the complex realities of pastoral leadership.

My ministry has been shaped by a commitment to community engagement and social justice. I strongly believe that the church should not exist in isolation but should be deeply involved in the social, economic, and spiritual well-being of its surrounding community. Throughout my pastoral career, I have aimed to build partnerships with civic organizations, local government, and nonprofit entities to address the needs of the communities I serve.

At The New Macedonia Baptist Church, I have led initiatives addressing food insecurity, educational disparities, housing challenges, and disaster relief. Additionally, I have actively participated in interfaith dialogues, racial reconciliation efforts, and social justice movements. This dedication to community engagement will shape my doctoral project by ensuring that leadership succession models consider the church's responsibility to remain outwardly focused and missionally engaged.

My ministry journey has been shaped by a strong commitment to leadership development, pastoral administration, theological education, mentorship, and community engagement. These elements will serve as the foundation for my Doctor of Ministry

project, which aims to create a strategic, biblically grounded, and practically effective succession model for church leadership.

By leveraging my experiences in mentorship, organizational leadership, and theological training, I aim to develop a framework that enables churches to transition leadership smoothly, maintain their spiritual integrity, and sustain their mission for generations to come. This project reflects my personal journey and is a call to action for the broader church community to embrace intentional succession planning as a vital aspect of kingdom-building work.

The intersection of my personal spiritual journey and the leadership needs of The New Macedonia Baptist Church (TNMBC) serves as the foundation for my doctoral project focused on designing a strategic succession model for church leadership. Throughout my ministerial development, I have been shaped by experiences that have fostered in me a passion for leadership development, mentorship, organizational stability, and pastoral succession. At the same time, TNMBC is at a crucial juncture in its history, confronting the challenges of an aging leadership, institutional legacy loss, potential spiritual misalignment, and a lack of intergenerational engagement.

These pressing concerns demand a structured, biblically grounded, and practically effective model of leadership succession that ensures a smooth transition and the continued spiritual health of the congregation. My doctoral project seeks to address this need by designing a six-week training seminar on leadership succession for a focus group of existing and potential church leaders. This initiative will equip TNMBC with a succession framework that is theologically sound, historically informed, and strategically applicable. Through this process, I seek to bridge the gap between my calling and

TNMBC's needs, ensuring that the congregation is well-positioned for sustained leadership and ministry effectiveness in the years to come.

The central focus of my doctoral project is to develop a replicable succession planning model for TNMBC, applicable to leadership transitions within the church. Many congregations, especially within the African American church tradition, lack a formal approach to pastoral and leadership succession. TNMBC, despite its rich legacy, is no exception. The lack of a clear succession strategy has historically made the church vulnerable during leadership transitions, leading to uncertainty, emotional distress, and potential disruptions in ministry effectiveness.

To address these challenges, my project will examine biblical, historical, theological, and interdisciplinary methods of succession planning. The objective is to establish a structured and intentional leadership pipeline that promotes mentorship, spiritual growth, and administrative readiness for future church leaders. This model will be applicable not only for pastoral transitions but also for ministry leadership at every level within the church, ensuring that TNMBC remains strong and focused on its mission beyond the tenure of any single leader.

Throughout my ministry journey, I have developed key skills and interests that align with TNMBC's leadership succession needs. These skills uniquely position me to design and implement a succession model that addresses the church's challenges while utilizing its strengths. My expertise in leadership development, pastoral administration, theological education, and community engagement will be vital in ensuring a smooth leadership transition and the continued spiritual health of the congregation.

One of the primary areas where my skills align with TNMBC's needs is in leadership development and mentorship. Throughout my ministry, I have been dedicated to identifying and nurturing emerging leaders, creating environments where they can grow in their calling. Many leaders I have mentored have gone on to become pastors, ministry leaders, and influential voices in their fields. Leadership development is not merely about delegation; it involves intentional investment in individuals who show the potential for ministry leadership. At TNMBC, a structured leadership pipeline has not yet been fully developed, making it essential to implement a formal mentorship and training program. My ability to train and equip leaders will help ensure that TNMBC develops a sustainable leadership model that prepares future generations to serve effectively.

Additionally, my experience in pastoral administration and organizational strategy will be essential for creating a succession model that is practical, sustainable, and operationally effective. Effective pastoral leadership goes beyond preaching; it involves managing church operations, overseeing staff, stewarding resources, and implementing strategic initiatives. During my tenure, I have developed systems that enhance ministry coordination, improve financial stewardship, and simplify decision-making processes. TNMBC's transition process will require a structured administrative framework that clarifies governance structures, accountability mechanisms, and clear policies for leadership succession. By integrating best practices in church administration, I can assist TNMBC in establishing a well-organized approach to leadership transition, minimizing disruptions, and ensuring long-term stability.

Another area where my skills align with TNMBC's needs is in theological education and biblical training. Having earned a Bachelor's degree in Bible from

Washington Bible College and a Master of Divinity (M.Div.) from The Samuel DeWitt Proctor School of Theology at Virginia Union University, I have built a strong theological foundation that informs my approach to ministry. I have also engaged in various pastoral excellence programs, preaching practicums, and leadership development initiatives. My theological training enables me to root the succession planning process in biblical principles, ensuring that transitions are guided by scriptural wisdom and historical church practices. Leadership succession is not merely a structural or administrative issue; it is a spiritual responsibility that must be managed with discernment, faith, and doctrinal integrity. My ability to integrate biblical models of succession with practical strategies will be essential in developing TNMBC's leadership transition framework.

Furthermore, my dedication to community engagement and cultural sensitivity will significantly contribute to addressing TNMBC's leadership needs. TNMBC caters to a diverse and intergenerational congregation, and leadership transitions must be managed in a manner that promotes unity and inclusiveness. One of the challenges TNMBC encounters is the generational gap between older and younger members, coupled with differing expectations regarding leadership styles. My experience in bridging generational and cultural divides will assist in creating a leadership transition model that honors the church's history while embracing the innovations necessary for the future. Through intentional dialogue, mentorship, and intergenerational collaboration, I can help TNMBC cultivate a leadership culture that appreciates both tradition and progress.

By leveraging these ministerial skills, I can guide TNMBC through a leadership transition that is spiritually fulfilling, structurally sound, and mission focused. Through

structured mentorship, administrative planning, biblical teaching, and community engagement, TNMBC can establish a leadership succession model that addresses both immediate concerns and ensures long-term ministry sustainability. This alignment of my skills with TNMBC's needs forms the foundation of my Doctor of Ministry project, creating a blueprint for effective leadership succession that can serve as a model for other congregations undergoing similar transitions.

A key component of my doctoral project will be developing and implementing a six-week training seminar on leadership succession. This seminar is designed to equip existing and potential leaders at The New Macedonia Baptist Church (TNMBC) with the biblical, historical, theological, and practical foundations necessary for a successful leadership transition. Through a structured curriculum, participants will gain a deeper understanding of succession planning as a strategic and spiritual process that ensures continuity, stability, and growth within the church.

Each week of the seminar will concentrate on a different aspect of leadership succession, drawing from scripture, church history, theological principles, and interdisciplinary insights. The sessions will be interactive, combining lecture-based teaching, group discussions, case studies, and practical application exercises. The goal is to assist TNMBC leaders in developing a clear and actionable strategy for leadership transition, ensuring that future generations of church leaders are well-prepared to continue the ministry.

In Week One, the seminar will explore Foundations of Succession Planning. This session will examine leadership transitions in scripture, including Moses to Joshua, Elijah to Elisha, and Paul to Timothy. Participants will analyze how these transitions were

orchestrated, the leadership qualities required for succession, and the role of mentorship and spiritual preparation in leadership continuity. The session will also challenge participants to reflect on their own roles in leadership succession and identify areas where they can grow as mentors and future leaders.

Week Two will concentrate on Historical Perspectives on Church Leadership Transitions. This session will examine how church history offers valuable insights into leadership succession, highlighting both successful and unsuccessful transitions. Case studies will feature transitions within early Christian communities, the Protestant Reformation, and leadership changes in modern church environments. By analyzing these historical models, participants will pinpoint best practices and common pitfalls in succession planning. Additionally, this session will investigate how African American church traditions have historically navigated leadership transitions and what TNMBC can learn from these experiences.

The third session, Week Three: Theological Principles for Leadership Succession, will cover the spiritual and doctrinal elements of leadership transitions. Participants will examine theological themes such as divine calling, pastoral identity, servant leadership, and the role of the Holy Spirit in guiding transitions. The discussion will focus on how churches can uphold spiritual integrity and doctrinal continuity during a leadership change. This session will also highlight the importance of discerning God's will in identifying and preparing future leaders.

Week Four will introduce Interdisciplinary Approaches to Succession Planning, drawing insights from organizational leadership, business management, and nonprofit governance. This session will concentrate on succession models used in corporate and

nonprofit environments, examining principles of mentorship, talent development, strategic planning, and institutional sustainability. While the church is a unique institution with spiritual mandates, there are valuable lessons that can be adapted from successful leadership transitions in other fields. This session will assist participants in developing a structured, step-by-step approach to succession planning within TNMBC.

In Week Five: Developing a Succession Plan for TNMBC, participants will start to work on a practical succession strategy tailored to the church's specific needs. This session will emphasize identifying key leadership positions that need succession planning, evaluating the strengths and gaps within TNMBC's current leadership pipeline, and outlining steps for preparing future leaders. Participants will collaborate in small groups to create customized succession roadmaps, considering factors like mentorship programs, leadership training, and congregational engagement.

The final session, Week Six: Implementation and Next Steps, will concentrate on transitioning from theory to action. Participants will present their succession plans and discuss the challenges and opportunities related to implementation. The session will also feature a commitment ceremony, where current and emerging leaders affirm their roles in facilitating a smooth and God-honoring leadership transition. Additionally, this session will outline a long-term vision for maintaining leadership development at TNMBC, ensuring that succession planning is not merely a one-time event but a continuous process integrated into the church's governance structure.

Throughout this six-week seminar, participants will acquire the knowledge and practical tools necessary to enhance TNMBC's leadership pipeline and ensure a smooth transition when the time arrives. This initiative goes beyond simply training individuals;

it focuses on fostering a culture of leadership development, mentorship, and strategic foresight. The success of this program will be evaluated based on the congregation's ability to prepare for future leadership transitions, the involvement of emerging leaders, and the establishment of a structured succession plan that guarantees TNMBC's long-term stability and spiritual vitality.

Through this Doctor of Ministry project, I aim to gain a deeper understanding of the complexities and best practices of leadership succession within the church, particularly in the context of The New Macedonia Baptist Church (TNMBC). Leadership transitions in churches, especially those with long-tenured pastors and deeply rooted traditions, can be delicate and, at times, challenging. By developing and implementing a structured succession model, I hope to explore the emotional, spiritual, and organizational dynamics of leadership transitions and how they can be managed effectively to maintain continuity and stability. Understanding the congregation's response to succession planning will be an essential aspect of my learning, as their engagement and trust in the process are critical for its success.

Additionally, I aim to identify the most effective strategies for training and preparing emerging leaders within TNMBC. The lack of a clear leadership pipeline often leads to uncertainty and inconsistency in church governance. Through this project, I hope to learn how mentorship, theological training, and strategic leadership development can be institutionalized to ensure a steady flow of well-equipped leaders ready to step into key roles. I also want to explore the generational and cultural gaps that affect leadership succession, identifying ways to promote intergenerational collaboration and engagement. By collaborating with a focus group of both current and potential leaders, I will gain

insight into how different generations view leadership transitions and what factors contribute to a successful transfer of responsibilities.

Another significant area of learning for me is how to balance spiritual discernment with practical leadership strategies. Church leadership is not just about governance and administration; it is a spiritual calling that demands prayerful guidance and wisdom. I want to explore how biblical principles of leadership succession can be aligned with modern strategic planning to create a holistic, faith-driven approach to transition. Through scriptural study, historical analysis, and interdisciplinary research, I aim to determine how churches can stay true to their spiritual heritage while also adopting innovative leadership models that maintain ministry effectiveness.

Moreover, this project offers a chance to enhance my leadership and legacy as a pastor. As I approach the concluding phase of my tenure at TNMBC, I want to ensure that I am equipping the next generation of leaders with the wisdom, knowledge, and tools necessary for their success. I am enthusiastic about learning how I can best support a transition that respects both the past and the future, enabling the church to thrive well beyond my time in leadership. This project will also allow me to reflect on my own ministerial journey, providing clarity on how I can continue serving the broader church community in leadership development and succession planning.

What I aim to learn through this project is how to develop a sustainable, biblically based, and practically effective succession model that not only benefits TNMBC but also acts as a resource for other churches undergoing similar transitions. By engaging in this process, I aspire to contribute to the broader conversation about how churches can

proactively prepare for leadership changes, ensuring that their ministries remain strong, relevant, and spiritually impactful for generations to come.

Conclusion

The intersection of my personal ministry journey and the leadership needs of The New Macedonia Baptist Church (TNMBC) has resulted in the creation of this project to design a strategic succession model for church leadership. The lack of a structured approach to leadership transitions has historically left churches vulnerable to instability, uncertainty, and spiritual misalignment. TNMBC is currently at a crucial juncture in its history, confronting the realities of an aging leadership, the potential loss of institutional legacy, and insufficient intergenerational engagement. This project seeks to tackle these challenges by offering a biblically grounded, historically informed, and strategically sound framework for leadership succession that will prepare the church for a sustainable future.

Throughout this chapter, I have examined how my ministerial skills, experiences, and interests align with TNMBC's needs, establishing the foundation for this succession model of leadership. My passion for leadership development, pastoral administration, theological training, mentorship, and community engagement uniquely equips me to guide TNMBC through this critical transition. By leveraging my experiences in mentoring emerging leaders, enhancing church governance, and fostering intergenerational collaboration, I aim to develop a leadership pipeline that ensures TNMBC's long-term health and vitality.

This project focuses on the faithful stewardship of the church's future. Leadership transitions are inevitable, yet they do not have to be disruptive or divisive. By implementing a succession model that is both spiritually and structurally sound, TNMBC can ensure the ministry continues to flourish for generations to come. As I approach the final phase of my pastoral leadership, my greatest desire is to leave TNMBC in a position of strength, with a new generation of capable, Spirit-led leaders prepared to advance its mission. This project reflects my personal journey and serves as a call to action for the broader church community to embrace succession planning as a vital aspect of kingdom-building work. Through this doctoral project, I intend not only to serve TNMBC but also to provide a framework that other churches can adopt as they navigate their own leadership transitions. TNMBC must continue this journey of preparation—implementing training, fostering mentorship, and embracing strategic planning. We must remain ever mindful that leadership succession is not an event; it is a continuous process that must become embedded in the church's culture and governance.

Through prayer, preparation, and faith-driven leadership, TNMBC can navigate this transition with wisdom, unity, and purpose, ensuring its legacy of faith, service, and excellence continues for generations to come. As we embark upon this project, I am reminded of 2 Timothy 2:2, which says: "And the things you have heard me say in the presence of many witnesses entrust to reliable people who will also be qualified to teach others." This captures the essence of leadership succession—not merely appointing new leaders, but discipling and equipping faithful men and women who will carry forward the mission of the church with wisdom, integrity, and unwavering faith. To God be the glory for what He has done and will continue to do at TNMBC.

CHAPTER TWO

BIBLICAL FOUNDATIONS

Succession planning is the deliberate strategy and ongoing process for filling leadership roles within an organization. It aims to identify and cultivate potential leaders who can transition into these roles at the right time. Leadership transition is crucial for the local church. Within the context of African American culture, succession planning is uncommon—and particularly rare in the church. Few would argue that many secular business practices and strategies have infiltrated the church. Congregations, both large and small, have adapted to the corporate business model within the kingdom enterprise. However, while embracing many philosophies and practices from corporations, the church has frequently overlooked effective succession planning during leadership transitions.

Leadership vacancies are inevitable in any organization. Leadership succession is a crucial concern for the long-term health and stability of any organization, especially within the church. The New Macedonia Baptist Church lacks a structured model for leadership succession, which affects its stability and effectiveness. Without a deliberate framework for identifying and appointing new leaders, churches risk experiencing periods of uncertainty, doctrinal drift, and operational inefficiencies. Leadership transitions in the church must be rooted in biblical principles to ensure continuity in mission, spiritual integrity, and effective governance. A foundational text for

understanding leadership succession is Titus 1:4-5, where the Apostle Paul instructs Titus to establish order and appoint elders in every town. This passage emphasizes the necessity of structured leadership appointments and provides a biblical precedent for succession planning within the local church.

Using Titus 1:4-5 as the primary exegetical focus, this chapter will explore how Paul's instructions to Titus serve as a model for contemporary churches aiming to implement a structured leadership succession plan. The problem statement driving this study is: The New Macedonia Baptist Church does not employ a structured leadership succession model, which affects its stability and effectiveness. The proposed hypothesis is: A focused study on leadership succession equips church leaders to develop a sustainable framework for identifying and transitioning new church leaders. By examining the literary, historical, and theological context of Titus 1:4-5, this study will provide insights into how leadership succession should be approached from a biblical perspective.

Leadership succession is not merely a modern concern; it has been a crucial issue throughout biblical history. The transitions from Moses to Joshua (Dt. 34:9), Elijah to Elisha (2 Kgs. 2:9-15), and Jesus to His apostles (Mt. 28:18-20) underscore the importance of ensuring that spiritual leadership continues without interruption. In the New Testament, Paul exemplifies intentional mentorship and leadership appointment by instructing Titus and Timothy on how to establish church governance. In Titus 1:4-5, Paul entrusts Titus with the responsibility of setting things in order and appointing leaders—specifically, elders—emphasizing that leadership should not be assumed haphazardly but conferred with careful consideration and discernment. The principles

within this passage offer a framework for contemporary churches to develop succession models that prioritize sound doctrine, spiritual maturity, and administrative stability.

This study is crucial because many churches face challenges during leadership transitions, often postponing succession planning until a crisis emerges. Without clear criteria and deliberate preparation, churches risk appointing leaders who may lack spiritual maturity, doctrinal stability, or essential competencies. By exploring Titus 1:4-5, this study will demonstrate how Paul's model of leadership succession helps ensure that churches remain stable, biblically sound, and missionally effective.

This chapter will provide a thorough analysis of Titus 1:4-5, exploring the passage's literary, historical, and social context, along with key studies of Greek words. It will conclude with practical implications for leadership development and succession planning at The New Macedonia Baptist Church. The findings will provide a biblical foundation for implementing a leadership succession model that aligns with scriptural mandates and best practices in a succession model of leadership.

Grounding leadership transitions in biblical principles, this study contributes to a theologically sound and practical approach for developing and sustaining leadership within the church. Through an exegetical examination of Titus 1:4-5, this paper establishes that intentional succession planning is not just an administrative necessity but a biblical imperative for church health and continuity.

In Titus 1:4-5, Paul instructs Titus to establish order by appointing qualified elders in every town. This directive emphasizes the importance of structured leadership succession in ensuring the health and longevity of the church. Paul's instructions to Titus function as a blueprint for leadership development within the local church. The text not

only reveals Paul's high view of ecclesiastical order but also underscores the necessity of biblically qualified leaders to maintain sound doctrine and spiritual oversight. This passage carries significant implications for contemporary discussions on church governance, pastoral transition, and mentoring future leaders.

Literary Context of Titus 1:4-5

The early church fathers widely affirmed Pauline authorship of various New Testament epistles.[1] The Epistle to Titus is classified as one of the Pastoral Epistles, along with the First and Second books of Timothy. Each of these has traditionally been attributed to the Apostle Paul, who explicitly identifies himself as the author in each of the epistles (1 Tm. 1:1, 2 Tm. 1:1, and Ti. 1:1). "This assertion is made in salutations similar to those in the other Pauline letters."[2] Unlike Paul's epistles addressed to entire congregations, these letters focus on pastoral responsibility and administration. They were written to Paul's closest co-laborers, providing instructions on church leadership, doctrine, and order. Despite the acknowledged differences between the pastorals and Paul's other epistles, the traditional view holds that they are authentic writings of the apostle.[3]

However, some modern scholars have questioned Pauline authorship due to linguistic and thematic differences between the Pastoral Epistles and Paul's undisputed letters. An analysis of Paul's writings reveals intriguing linguistic patterns. In the Pastoral

---

[1] C. Michael Moss, *1, 2 Timothy and Titus NIV Commentary* (Joplin, MO: The College Press, 1994).

[2] George W. Knight, *The Pastoral Epistles: A Commentary on the Greek Text*, *New International Greek Testament Commentary* (Grand Rapids, MI: W.B. Eerdmans, 1992), 4.

[3] Donald Guthrie, "New Testament Introduction," in *The Master Reference Collection,* Fourth ed. (Downers Grove, IL: Inter-Varsity Press, 1996), 646.

Epistles, there are 542 words shared with one or more of the ten undisputed Pauline letters. Of these, fifty words are regarded as exclusively Pauline, not appearing elsewhere in the New Testament.[4] When examining Paul's life and writings, scholars rely on seven letters deemed authentically Pauline. The emphasis on church structure and leadership succession indicates a later, post-apostolic context. Additionally, the events described in Titus do not neatly align with Paul's recorded missionary journeys in Acts, prompting some to speculate that the letter was composed by a later disciple of Paul who aimed to preserve his teachings.[5] Despite these objections, several factors strongly support Pauline authorship. The letter itself explicitly claims to be written by Paul the Apostle, as seen in the opening salutation (1:1). Additional internal evidence strongly supports Pauline authorship, with the author's identity clearly identified within the epistle. However, some modern interpreters have raised objections since the mid-nineteenth century, challenging the traditional view that upholds Pauline authorship.[6]

External witnesses from the early Christian era, including 1 Clement, Justin Martyr, Theophilus of Antioch, and Irenaeus, confirmed its authenticity. The Muratorian Canon also affirmed its genuineness and authority within the Church. While the letter's vocabulary and style contain some unique elements, they do not necessarily indicate a

---

[4] P. N. Harrison, *The Problem of the Pastoral Epistles* (London, UK: Oxford University Press, 1921), 24.

[5] Knight, *The Pastoral Epistles*, 15.

[6] Ralph W. Harris, ed., "Galatians–Philemon," *The Complete Biblical Library: Study Bible* (Austin, TX: Wordsearch, 2009), 578.

non-Pauline origin. Some scholars suggest that if Titus stood alone, Paul's authorship would be the natural explanation.[7]

Given this evidence, the most reasonable conclusion is that Paul authored the Book of Titus, likely toward the end of his ministry, after the events recorded in the book of Acts. He instructs his trusted co-laborer on how to establish church leadership in Crete.

The purpose of Titus is mainly pastoral and administrative. Unlike Paul's other letters, which heavily focus on theology and exhortation, this epistle is very pragmatic, addressing church organization, leadership development, and moral conduct. Its purpose is multifaceted. Paul left Titus on the island of Crete to organize and strengthen the churches there.[8] Specifically, Titus was tasked with appointing and supervising elders and leaders in the Cretan churches. His mission included silencing false teachers, teaching sound doctrine, encouraging good conduct among different church groups, and modeling good works and blameless teaching.[9] Paul's letter aimed to guide Titus in these responsibilities, with the goal of inspiring believers in Crete to live a life of godliness and good works. Additionally, the epistle served to advise Titus on how to manage doctrinal issues, conduct ministry, and deal with divisive individuals within the church. Through Titus, Paul sought to extend his apostolic presence and influence to the Cretan churches, addressing their specific needs and challenges.

---

[7] Walter Lock, "Titus, Epistle To," in *A Dictionary of the Bible: Dealing with Its Language, Literature, and Contents Including the Biblical Theology*, James Hastings et al., eds. (New York, NY: T. and T. Clark, 1911–1912), 784.

[8] Robert N. Wilkin, "The Epistle of Paul the Apostle to Titus," in *The Grace New Testament Commentary*, ed. Robert N. Wilkin (Denton, TX: Grace Evangelical Society, 2010), 1013.

[9] Andreas J. Köstenberger and T. Desmond Alexander, *Salvation to the Ends of the Earth: A Biblical Theology of Mission*, second ed., D. A. Carson, ed. (Downers Grove, IL: Apollos, 2020), 191.

Paul's instruction to Titus in 1:4-5 forms the foundation for these themes, emphasizing the necessity of strong and faithful leadership to maintain order in the church. The phrase "set in order what remains" suggests that Titus's work in Crete was not yet finished, and further efforts were required to strengthen church governance and ensure stability. Paul's directive to appoint elders in every town addresses this need directly, underscoring the essential role of biblical leadership succession in the health of the church.

Titus 1:4-5 is strategically placed within the epistle, acting as a bridge between Paul's introduction (Ti. 1:1-3) and his discussion of elder qualifications (Ti. 1:6-9). The greeting in verse four establishes Paul's apostolic authority and personal relationship with Titus, referring to him as "my true child in a common faith," which highlights their shared commitment to the Gospel and the validity of Titus's ministry. Verse five then transitions into Paul's direct instructions regarding leadership succession, preparing for the qualifications of elders outlined in the subsequent verses.

Structurally, the letter includes three passages (1:1-3, 2:11-14, 3:4-8) that are considered some of the most theologically rich in the New Testament, offering important soteriological concepts for the entire Pauline canon.[10] It follows a clear pattern: after the introduction, Paul transitions immediately into the necessity of appointing leaders (1:5-9), followed by a strong rebuke of false teachers (1:10-16), an exhortation for proper Christian conduct (2:1-10), a theological reflection on the transformative power of grace (2:11-15), and final instructions on Christian behavior in society (3:1-11), before concluding with his personal farewell (3:12-15). The placement of Chapter One, verses

[10] Robert W. Wall and Richard B. Steele, "1 and 2 Timothy and Titus," *The Two Horizons New Testament Commentary,* Joel B. Green and Max Turner, eds. (Grand Rapids, MI: William B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 2012), 331.

four and five, at the very beginning of the letter emphasizes Paul's prioritization of leadership structure in ensuring the stability and doctrinal fidelity of the church.

The literary context of Titus 1:4-5 emphasizes the importance of orderly leadership succession. First, by addressing leadership at the beginning of the letter, Paul highlights that establishing qualified leaders is a foundational priority for the church.[11] Second, Titus is portrayed as a model of delegated authority, entrusted by Paul to continue the work of strengthening the churches. Although the issue of Paul's authority is not as central to the challenges in Crete as it was in Ephesus, it is still present.[12] This exemplifies an early instance of leadership succession, where an apostolic leader entrusts a faithful co-worker with the responsibility of appointing and training the next generation of leaders. Third, the orderly appointment of leaders acts as a safeguard against doctrinal corruption, as seen in the immediate transition to warnings about false teachers (Ti. 1:10-16).[13] By ensuring that only qualified individuals take on leadership roles, the church can protect itself from internal division and external threats. This principle remains highly relevant for contemporary church leadership, as churches today must prioritize biblically qualified leaders over those chosen for their charisma, popularity, or secular success metrics.

In summary, the literary context of Titus 1:4-5 supports a model of leadership succession within the church. The letter was written by Paul, a seasoned apostle, to Titus, his trusted delegate, with the primary aim of establishing leaders to govern the churches

---

[11] Guthrie, "New Testament Introduction," 658.

[12] William D. Mounce, "Pastoral Epistles," in *Word Biblical Commentary,* vol. 46 (Dallas, TX: Word, Incorporated, 2000), 378.

[13] Knight, *The Pastoral Epistles,* 300.

in Crete. Positioned at the beginning of the epistle, the passage emphasizes that church leadership is a crucial concern for maintaining order, doctrinal purity, and moral integrity. The structure of the letter reinforces this focus, as leadership qualifications, warnings against false teaching, and exhortations for godly living all arise from the foundational need for faithful and competent elders. Therefore, this passage provides a robust biblical model for leadership succession, illustrating that pastoral transitions and other leadership appointments should be intentional, biblically grounded, and aimed at preserving the church's spiritual health for future generations.

Historical and Social Context of Titus 1:4-5

Understanding the historical and social context of Titus 1:4-5 is essential for interpreting Paul's guidance on leadership succession. The cultural, religious, and social conditions of first-century Crete shaped the challenges that Titus faced and influenced Paul's instructions. Crete was known for its strategic location, diverse population, and strong pagan traditions, but it also had a reputation for moral corruption and deception, making the appointment of godly leaders even more critical.

Crete was a prominent island in the Mediterranean with a long and complex history. It became part of the Roman province of Cyrenaica and Crete in 67 B.C., integrating it into the Roman Empire.[14] The Cretan population was ethnically diverse, consisting of native Cretans, Greeks, Romans, and a Jewish minority. The Jewish community on the island played a significant role in the religious landscape, as evidenced by Paul's warning against false Jewish teachers in Titus 1:10-11. These individuals

[14] Allen C. Myers, "Crete," in *The Eerdmans Bible Dictionary* (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1987), 245.

promoted "Jewish myths" and legalistic doctrines, disrupting the faith of many. Paul recognized the necessity of appointing elders who were doctrinally sound and capable of refuting errors to ensure that these false teachings did not take root in the church.[15]

The moral reputation of Crete posed another challenge for the Gospel. Paul cites the Cretan philosopher Epimenides in Titus 1:12, who famously declared, "Cretans are always liars, evil beasts, lazy gluttons." This sentiment was not unique to Paul's letter; Greek and Roman authors frequently described Cretans as deceitful, dishonest, and prone to excess.[16] In fact, the Greek verb kretizo meant "to lie or deceive," further reflecting the island's reputation. Crete was infamous for mercenary activities, piracy, and political corruption, making it a challenging environment for Christian moral and ethical teachings.[17] This context helps explain why Paul insisted that elders must be "above reproach" (Ti. 1:6), disciplined, and self-controlled—qualities that stood in stark contrast to the prevailing culture.

Religiously, Crete was a center of Greek mythology and emperor worship. The island was believed to be the birthplace of Zeus, and its inhabitants revered him as their protector and king. Cretan religious practices included pagan rituals, sacrificial offerings, and mystery cults, which shaped the spiritual landscape that early Christians had to navigate. Titus had responsibilities on Crete.[18] In addition to paganism, the Roman

---

[15] Philip H. Towner, "1–2 Timothy, and Titus," in *The IVP New Testament Commentary Series* (Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 1994), Ti. 1:6-9.

[16] Mounce, "Pastoral Epistles," 392.

[17] Guthrie, "New Testament Introduction," 208.

[18] Robert W. Yarbrough, "The Letters to Timothy and Titus," D. A. Carson, ed., in *Pillar New Testament Commentary* (Grand Rapids, MI: William B. Eerdmans Publishing Company; Apollos, 2018), 470.

imperial cult was gaining influence, requiring subjects to venerate the emperor as divine. This posed a direct challenge to early Christians, who were called to acknowledge Christ alone as Lord. The presence of both pagan and Jewish influences made it essential for church leaders to be firmly grounded in scripture and equipped to combat doctrinal distortions.

Another key aspect of the socio-political context of Crete was the Greco-Roman patronage system, often described as "the basic building block of Greco-Roman society," which impacted leadership structures throughout the Roman world.[19] In this system, patrons—typically wealthy or influential individuals—provided financial support, legal protection, and social connections to clients, who, in turn, offered loyalty and service. This hierarchical model extended into religious and civic institutions, where leadership positions were frequently secured through status, wealth, or political favor rather than moral or spiritual qualifications. Paul's instructions in Titus 1:5-9 mark a significant departure from this model, as he emphasizes that elders must be chosen based on godly character, family integrity, and doctrinal soundness rather than social prestige.

The role of Titus in Crete was not merely that of a preacher or teacher; he was also a church planter and organizational leader. Paul entrusted him with the challenging task of bringing order to a chaotic spiritual landscape. As Paul states in Titus 1:5, "This is why I left you in Crete, so that you might put what remained into order and appoint elders in every town." The Greek word epidiorthōsēi, meaning to "set in order," suggests corrective action and structural organization, implying that the churches in Crete were still immature and needed further development. Titus was left behind to see this work

[19] David A. DeSilva, "Patronage," in *Dictionary of New Testament Background: A Compendium of Contemporary Biblical Scholarship* (Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 2000), 766.

through to completion.[20] The imperative to appoint leaders in every town indicates that Christian communities had begun to form in several locations and required structured governance to ensure their longevity. Without godly leadership, these fledgling churches were at risk of falling into doctrinal error or being influenced by Cretan cultural practices.[21]

Paul emphasizes the importance of leadership succession in Titus 1:4-5; it is established through careful selection, spiritual discernment, and biblical qualifications. Titus, as Paul's trusted co-laborer, plays a crucial role in implementing this vision, serving as an intermediary between apostolic authority and local church leadership.

In summary, the historical and social context of Titus 1:4-5 highlights the challenges of establishing church leadership in a morally corrupt and religiously diverse society. Crete's reputation for dishonesty and excess required the appointment of elders who were above reproach and could serve as examples to the congregation. The influence of Greek mythology, emperor worship, and Jewish legalism further complicated the task of maintaining doctrinal purity, demanding that elders be steadfast in their faith and adept at confronting false teachings. Moreover, the Greco-Roman patronage system, which often determined leadership by social status or wealth, contrasted sharply with Paul's biblical model of leadership, which emphasized character and competence. In this context, Paul's direction to Titus to "set in order what remains and appoint elders" was not merely an administrative task but a vital step in ensuring the long-term faithfulness of the churches in Crete. The principles derived from this passage remain highly relevant

---

[20] George W. Clark, *Galatians, Ephesians, Philippians, Colossians, I. and II. Thessalonians, I. and II. Timothy, Titus, and Philemon: Commentary* (Philadelphia, PA: American Baptist Publication Society, 1903), 465.

[21] Mounce, "Pastoral Epistles," 399-400.

today, as churches continue to face cultural challenges, false teachings, and the need for biblically grounded leadership succession.

A thorough understanding of Titus 1:4-5 necessitates a careful examination of the Greek terms and grammar employed in this passage. Paul's wording holds significant theological and pastoral implications, especially regarding spiritual parenting, the appointment of leaders, and the order of the church. This section will examine the key Greek terms, their applications in the broader New Testament, and their grammatical structures to emphasize Paul's intent in instructing Titus to establish godly leadership in Crete.

Paul begins Titus 1:4 with a personal address: "To Titus, my true child in a common faith." The word true (gnēsios) appears only in Paul's writings in the New Testament.[22] The word "true" or "genuine" denotes something legitimate, authentic, or born in proper order. Paul uses this term to emphasize that Titus is his "legitimate" spiritual son, carrying the notions of intimacy and authority.[23] This phrase mirrors how Paul refers to Timothy as "my true child in the faith" (1 Tm. 1:2), reinforcing the pattern of spiritual mentorship and leadership succession in the early church. The word child (teknō), in this context, is metaphorical; it was a common designation for spiritual progeny.[24] It indicates a close discipleship relationship in which Paul has nurtured Titus's faith and ministry. The phrase "in a common faith" (kata koinēn pistin) underscores the shared doctrinal foundation between Paul and Titus. The adjective common (koinēn) is significant because it implies that their faith is universally shared among believers; it has

[22] Knight, *Pastoral Epistles*, 203.

[23] Mounce, "Pastoral Epistles," 382.

[24] Mounce, "Pastoral Epistles," 8.

the usual Pauline sense of a believing response that binds Paul the Jew and Titus the Gentile together.[25] It is far more than just a unique teacher-disciple relationship. This refutes the notion of exclusive knowledge or private revelation, which was a feature of the false teachers Paul warns against later in the letter (Ti. 1:10-14).

In Titus 1:5, Paul tells Titus, "This is why I left you in Crete, so that you might put what remained into order and appoint elders in every town, as I directed you." Differing from most of the Pauline epistles, "in which expressions of thanksgiving and prayer follow the greeting, this letter moves directly to say why Paul wrote the letter."[26] The phrase "for this reason" or "for this purpose" (Touto charin), introduces a purpose clause, indicating that "it is an official validation of Titus's mission and a clarification of specific tasks."[27] Paul left Titus in Crete with a specific mission—namely, to establish structured leadership. The verb apelipon, "I left," is an aorist active indicative, suggesting a deliberate past action—Paul had previously departed from Crete and left Titus behind to complete a necessary task.

Paul then uses the phrase "set in order what remains" (epidiorthōsēi), indicates an incomplete work that requires additional attention, meaning "to complete unfinished reform."[28] It is a strong directive to correct or improve what was lacking in the Cretan churches. This verb occurs only here in the New Testament, but in Hellenistic Greek, it was often used in medical or administrative contexts, meaning to restore something to proper health or order. This choice of wording suggests that the churches in Crete were in

---

[25] Mounce, "Pastoral Epistles," 382.

[26] Wall and Steele, *1 and 2 Timothy and Titus*, 339.

[27] Mounce, "Pastoral Epistles," 387.

[28] Mounce, "Pastoral Epistles," 387.

a state of disorder or incompleteness, requiring Titus to organize, correct, and strengthen them through proper leadership structures.

The next phrase, "and appoint elders in every town," is essential for understanding biblical leadership succession. The verb "appoint, establish, set in place" (katastēsēs) is an aorist active subjunctive, indicating a clear action that Titus was expected to complete, "the term used in Acts 6:3 regarding the deacons."[29] This verb typically appears in administrative or judicial contexts, such as appointing officials to specific roles. Paul's use of this verb suggests that Titus possessed the authority to appoint elders and other leaders, consulting with local church members, but under apostolic oversight.

The term "elders" (presbyterous) is significant, as it refers to church leaders responsible for teaching, governing, and shepherding the congregation. "Paul's practice from the beginning of his ministry was to appoint elders for every church."[30] This term is used interchangeably with "overseer" or "bishop" (episkopos) in Titus 1:7, indicating that elders and overseers were not two separate offices but rather different aspects of the same role. The phrase "in every town" (kata polin) suggests that Paul envisioned leaders in each city, aligning with the broader New Testament model of shared leadership.

Paul concludes with, "as I directed you," where (dietaxamēn) means "I commanded, instructed" and is an aorist middle indicative. This signifies that Paul had given these instructions to Titus previously. What is crucial is that Titus heed what Paul "directed" him to do. This same word, derived from diatassō, describes how God

---

[29] A. T. Robertson, *Word Pictures in the New Testament* (Nashville, TN: Broadman Press, 1933), Ti. 1:5.

[30] Mounce, "Pastoral Epistles," 387.

"directed" Moses about the details of the tabernacle construction (Acts 7:44).[31] The middle voice emphasizes Paul's personal role in issuing these directives, reinforcing apostolic authority in matters of church governance. This affirms that the process of appointing leaders was not left to personal preference or cultural norms but was based on divine instruction and apostolic precedent. "Paul is providing for Titus full apostolic authority to carry out this action carefully in compliance with the standards he gives."[32]

In summary, the lexical and grammatical analysis of Titus 1:4-5 highlights Paul's intentional language in emphasizing spiritual mentorship, corrective leadership, and organized church governance. The designation of Titus as Paul's true child underscores the significance of discipleship and leadership succession, while the command to appoint elders emphasizes the need for qualified leaders to ensure church stability. The terms "appoint" and "elders" reflect the biblical pattern of structured leadership, safeguarding churches from false teaching and cultural corruption. Paul's precise wording conveys both the urgency and divine mandate of establishing godly leadership, principles that remain essential for church governance and pastoral succession today.

Implications for Succession Leadership Model in the Church

Paul's instructions to Titus in 1:4-5 establish a biblical foundation for leadership succession, emphasizing the need for qualified, doctrinally sound, and morally upright leaders within the church. His instruction to Titus to "appoint elders in every town" (Ti. 1:5) underscores the intentional process of selecting leaders, ensuring that church leadership is not left to chance, charisma, or personal ambition, but is established through

---

[31] Yarbrough, *The Letters to Timothy and Titus*, 476.

[32] George W. Knight, *The Pastoral Epistles,* 289.

divine calling and apostolic oversight. This passage has significant implications for modern church governance, particularly concerning succession planning and the role of mentorship in developing leadership.

Paul's command to Titus highlights that leadership succession is not optional but essential for the health and longevity of the church. The phrase "set in order what remains" in Titus 1:5 indicates that the lack of a proper leadership structure was a deficiency that needed correction. This suggests that a church without appointed, biblically qualified leaders is incomplete and vulnerable to doctrinal error and instability. Additionally, there must be a pipeline of leaders being prepared to assume leadership responsibilities. While most scholars would agree that in the context of the passage, Paul is referring to elders or pastors, the implication is clear that there should be intentional efforts to develop leaders at all levels within the church.

The New Testament consistently affirms the importance of leadership succession. In Acts 14:23, Paul and Barnabas "appointed elders for them in every church," reflecting the instruction given to Titus. Similarly, in 2 Timothy 2:2, Paul instructs Timothy, "What you have heard from me in the presence of many witnesses, entrust to faithful men who will be able to teach others also." This highlights a pattern of passing on leadership responsibility through intentional training and mentorship. Leadership succession is not just about filling vacancies but about entrusting ministry to faithful servant leaders who will continue teaching, shepherding, and guarding the church against false doctrine.

Scholars argue that Paul's instructions to appoint elders in every town suggests that each local congregation should be led by multiple elders rather than a single ruling individual. This indicates that plural elders relate to each city with a church, thereby

indicating that several elders or overseers are appointed in each church.[33] However, a broader implication about leaders at every level must also be considered, including pastors, deacons, and all other ministry leaders. Cultivating a culture of leadership succession would establish a paradigm that provides a pipeline of leaders to facilitate effective succession planning, accountability, and continuity, which are crucial for long-term church health.

Paul's relationship with Titus and Timothy serves as a model for mentoring future leaders. He did not simply appoint leaders and leave them to their own devices; instead, he dedicated time to training, instructing, and preparing them for leadership. This principle aligns with Jesus' method of discipleship, where He spent years mentoring His apostles before commissioning them.

In modern churches, the succession model of leadership should follow a pattern of mentorship and training. Prospective leaders should not be appointed hastily but should undergo a process of discipleship, character assessment, and doctrinal instruction. Paul warns in 1 Timothy 5:22, "Do not be hasty in the laying on of hands." This caution highlights the importance of thorough vetting before placing someone in a leadership role, as appointing an unqualified leader can lead to disastrous consequences for a church's doctrine and unity.

Failing to implement biblical leadership succession leads to spiritual instability, doctrinal compromise, and moral failures. Paul's concern in Titus 1:10-16 is that false teachers had already begun to disrupt the churches in Crete, requiring strong and doctrinally sound leaders to counter their influence. Without biblical leaders in place, churches become vulnerable to these and a multitude of other issues.

---

[33] Knight, *The Pastoral Epistles*, 288.

Many churches today contend with ineffective leadership transitions, which often result in congregational division or doctrinal uncertainty. Some churches neglect to prepare their successors, leading to power struggles or the appointment of unqualified leaders. Others select leaders based on corporate models, focusing on charisma, organizational skills, or popularity instead of biblical qualifications. Paul's emphasis in Titus 1:5 highlights that church leadership must be founded on God's standards rather than human preferences.

Titus 1:4-5 establishes a clear biblical model for leadership succession based on intentional selection, doctrinal fidelity, moral integrity, and mentorship. Paul's command to appoint elders emphasizes the necessity of orderly leadership transitions to ensure the stability and purity of the church. This passage affirms that leadership is not grounded in worldly influence or personal ambition but in divine calling and biblical qualifications. As churches today face the challenge of pastoral transitions, they must prioritize faithful biblical succession planning, ensuring that leadership transitions are anchored in scriptural principles rather than cultural trends or institutional convenience.

Conclusion

The exegesis of Titus 1:4-5 reveals that leadership succession is a vital and biblically mandated process for ensuring the stability, doctrinal fidelity, and spiritual health of the church. Paul's instructions to Titus, set against the historical, social, and linguistic context of Crete, provide a clear blueprint for how church leadership should be established and maintained. By commanding Titus to "set in order what remains and appoint elders in every town" (Ti. 1:5), Paul emphasizes the urgent necessity of

appointing biblically qualified elders to govern local congregations. This responsibility was not merely an administrative task but a spiritually significant duty to protect the church from false teaching, moral corruption, and doctrinal drift.

One of the key takeaways from studying this passage is that leadership succession must be intentional and grounded in biblical qualifications, rather than secular leadership models or personal ambition. In the Greco-Roman world, leaders were often appointed based on wealth, political connections, or social status, but Paul's criteria for elders emphasized moral integrity, faithfulness to the Gospel, and the ability to teach sound doctrine (Ti. 1:6-9). This principle remains highly relevant for the modern church, where leadership transitions often prioritize charisma, organizational skills, or popularity over spiritual maturity and biblical fidelity. Churches that neglect to implement biblical leadership succession are vulnerable to spiritual instability and theological compromise, as illustrated by Paul's warnings about false teachers in Crete who misled entire households for selfish gain (Ti. 1:10-11).

The succession model of leadership ensures a continuous pipeline of leaders ready to take on roles within the church, promoting accountability, mutual support, and a collaborative approach to governance. Many churches today face challenges such as pastoral burnout, unbalanced decision-making, and congregational divisions, often resulting from a lack of shared leadership and effective succession planning. The New Testament model of leadership, as emphasized in Titus, offers a biblical solution to these issues by highlighting leadership teams that are spiritually mature, doctrinally grounded, and dedicated to collectively shepherding the church.

Furthermore, the mentor-disciple relationship between Paul and Titus emphasizes the necessity of training future leaders through discipleship and practical ministry experience. Paul did not merely appoint Titus and abandon him without guidance; instead, he invested in his spiritual growth and entrusted him with important responsibilities (Ti. 1:4-5). This pattern aligns with Jesus' approach to leadership development, as He personally discipled the Twelve Apostles before commissioning them out to lead. In contrast, many modern churches lack structured mentorship programs for leadership succession, often appointing leaders without sufficient preparation or spiritual formation. Paul's model in Titus illustrates that effective leadership transitions require both mentorship and a clear process for equipping and assessing future leaders.

Neglecting leadership succession has serious consequences, both in the New Testament church and in contemporary Christianity. Paul's urgency in appointing elders reflects his concern that without strong leadership, churches would fall prey to doctrinal confusion and ethical failure (Ti. 1:10-16). Churches that do not prioritize biblical leadership succession often experience spiritual decline, power struggles, or theological compromise. Ministries frequently disintegrate when a strong leader departs without a clear successor, while others are misled by unqualified individuals who lack biblical grounding and wisdom. Paul's approach in Titus serves as a corrective measure for modern churches, reminding them that leadership should not stem from personal ambition but from divine calling and biblical character.

In conclusion, Titus 1:4-5 establishes a timeless framework for leadership succession, emphasizing order, accountability, doctrinal fidelity, and mentorship. Paul's instructions to Titus remain highly relevant today, providing a biblical model for how

churches should appoint, train, and transition leaders. Churches that embrace this model will preserve the integrity of their teachings, ensure the faithful transmission of the Gospel, and maintain spiritual stability for generations to come. As churches continue to navigate pastoral transitions and leadership appointments, they must remain committed to God's standards for leadership, rather than succumbing to cultural trends or pragmatic expediency. The enduring message of Titus 1:4-5 is clear: healthy churches require godly, biblically qualified, and well-trained leaders who are entrusted with the responsibility of guiding God's people according to His Word.

CHAPTER THREE

HISTORICAL FOUNDATIONS

Leadership succession is a critical yet often overlooked component of institutional longevity, particularly in faith-based communities. Throughout history, religious organizations have faced the challenge of ensuring stable transitions in leadership, a process that often determines the long-term survival, doctrinal continuity, and organizational effectiveness of the institution. The African American church, with its rich history of resilience, social activism, and spiritual guidance, presents a complex and compelling case study in leadership succession.[1] While some denominations, such as the African Methodist Episcopal (AME) Church, have developed structured and systematic approaches to leadership transitions, many independent Black churches have struggled with the absence of intentional succession planning. This reality has led to challenges in pastoral transitions, internal conflicts, and theological shifts that impact the church's mission and stability.

Among African American denominations, the AME Church stands out as an exemplar of structured leadership succession, largely due to the vision of its founder, Bishop Richard Allen.[2] Born into slavery in 1760, Allen established one of the most

[1] Henry Louis Gates, *Black Church: This Is Our Story, This Is Our Song* (New York, NY: Penguin Books, 2021), 46.

[2] Charles H. Wesley, *Richard Allen: Apostle of Freedom* (Washington, D.C.: Associated Publishers, 1935), 74.

enduring Black religious institutions in American history. His leadership model emphasized self-governance, economic independence, and strategic mentorship, principles that laid the foundation for the AME Church's long-term viability.[3] Unlike many independent Black churches that struggled with charismatic yet personality-driven leadership models, Allen's institutional approach sought to ensure that leadership transitions were orderly, accountable, and mission-focused.[4] His vision extended beyond individual leadership and instead sought to create a church system that could sustain itself across generations.

The absence of structured succession planning has been a recurrent issue in many African American churches, where charismatic, long-tenured pastors often hold positions of authority for decades. While such leaders provide stability and spiritual guidance, their extended tenure can sometimes lead to power struggles, internal divisions, and theological drift when the time for leadership transition arrives. Many congregations resist change, finding it difficult to imagine a future without their longstanding spiritual leader. This reluctance often results in last-minute or reactionary succession decisions, which can leave churches vulnerable to leadership vacuums, governance disputes, and declining membership. By contrast, denominations with structured leadership models, such as the AME Church, have largely avoided these pitfalls, maintaining stability through formal governance structures, training programs, and mentorship pipelines.[5]

---

[3] Richard S. Newman, *Freedom's Prophet: Bishop Richard Allen, the AME Church, and the Black Founding Fathers* (New York, NY: New York University Press, 2009), 11.

[4] C. Eric Lincoln and Lawrence H. Mamiya, *The Black Church in the African American Experience* (Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 1990), 152.

[5] Newman, *Freedom's Prophet,* 13.

Richard Allen's approach to leadership succession was not accidental but strategically designed to prevent the disarray that many churches experience in times of transition. His belief in self-sufficiency, institutional training, and governance accountability ensured that the AME Church did not rely on any single leader but instead developed a network of trained clergy and administrative leadership.[6] He understood that a sustainable church model required not just spiritual leadership but also administrative competence, theological integrity, and financial self-sufficiency.[7] His approach to succession planning is not merely of historical significance but offers valuable lessons for contemporary African American churches, particularly those struggling with pastoral transitions, declining engagement, and organizational sustainability.

Understanding the historical development of leadership succession in the African American church requires an exploration of multiple dimensions: historical, theological, and sociocultural. Historically, African American churches have been central institutions in Black communities, providing not only spiritual nourishment but also social, economic, and political empowerment.[8] The emergence of the AME Church as the first independent Black denomination was a direct response to racial discrimination within White-controlled churches.[9] Allen's decision to establish an autonomous Black church was not just about spiritual freedom but also about ensuring that African Americans could govern their own institutions without external interference.

---

[6] Richard Allen, *The Life, Experience, and Gospel Labours of the Rt. Rev. Richard Allen* (Philadelphia, PA: Martin and Boden Printers, 1833), 15.

[7] Dennis C. Dickerson, *The African Methodist Episcopal Church* (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2020), 45.

[8] Lincoln and Mamiya, *The Black Church in the African American Experience,* 176.

[9] Wesley, *Richard Allen,* 94.

While charismatic leadership has been a defining feature of many African American churches, the reliance on a single, long-serving leader without a succession plan has often led to institutional instability. In churches that lack structured governance, leadership transitions are often contentious, resulting in power struggles that can fracture congregations.[10] Independent churches, which operate outside of a denominational hierarchy, are particularly vulnerable to such conflicts, as there is no centralized authority to mediate disputes or provide an organized framework for leadership succession. This is a foundational principle, as leadership succession is not dictated by individual personalities but rather by a structured institutional framework, ensuring that transitions remain mission-driven and aligned with the church's long-term vision.

By examining Richard Allen's leadership philosophy and the structural mechanisms that he put in place, this chapter will explore how the AME Church has maintained stability, continuity, and theological integrity over the past two centuries.[11] It will further analyze how contemporary African American churches can learn from Allen's model to develop sustainable leadership transitions that address modern challenges such as declining membership, financial instability, and generational shifts in religious engagement.

The need for structured leadership succession in African American churches is an issue that transcends time, impacting theological stability, institutional growth, and mission effectiveness.[12] While some churches thrived under strong, long-tenured leaders,

---

[10] Milton C. Sernett, *Bound for the Promised Land* (Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 1997).

[11] Wesley, *Richard Allen,* 132.

[12] Newman, *Freedom's Prophet,* 21.

many struggled with leadership crises and institutional instability in the absence of a clear succession plan. Richard Allen's leadership model and governance structure provide an essential framework for ensuring stable, Spirit-led, and mission-driven leadership transitions.[13]

This chapter seeks to offer a historical and theological foundation for African American churches seeking to develop strong succession models that sustain them for future generations. By drawing from the rich legacy of Richard Allen and the AME Church's structured leadership approach, this study aims to help church leaders build a culture of leadership succession that ensures stability, growth, and faithfulness to their mission.

Richard Allen's Early Life and Religious Transformation

The life and leadership philosophy of Richard Allen provides a foundational model for understanding structured leadership succession in the African American church. Allen was not just a preacher but a strategic thinker, institutional builder, and mentor who ensured that his work would continue beyond his lifetime. His leadership philosophy was deeply rooted in the belief that Black religious institutions should be self-governing, self-sustaining, and self-perpetuating, ensuring their survival for future generations.[14] His early experiences as an enslaved individual, his dramatic religious transformation, and his path to freedom and ministry deeply shaped his commitment to economic independence, theological education, and structured leadership development.

---

[13] Newman, *Freedom's Prophet,* 24.

[14] Newman, *Freedom's Prophet,* 24.

Richard Allen was born on February 14, 1760. However, there is considerable debate among historians regarding his exact birthplace. Some sources suggest that Philadelphia was his place of birth, while others indicate that he was born in Delaware.[15] The widely accepted view, supported by early biographies and historical records, claims Allen was enslaved by Benjamin Chew, a prominent Philadelphia lawyer.[16] However, documentation also indicates that Allen spent his formative years in Delaware, enslaved on a plantation owned by Stokeley Sturgis, a well-known landowner. It is plausible that Allen was either born on Sturgis' plantation or purchased and moved to Delaware at a young age. The discrepancy arises from the fact that Allen's early life was largely undocumented, and records of enslaved individuals during the eighteenth century were often inconsistent or incomplete. Despite these conflicting accounts, what remains undisputed is that Allen spent his early years in Delaware, where he would experience both enslavement and his spiritual transformation.

At the age of seventeen, Allen underwent a profound religious conversion under the influence of Freeborn Garrettson, a White Methodist preacher who was known for his anti-slavery stance.[17] This experience became a turning point in Allen's life, profoundly shaping his spiritual identity and leadership philosophy. Garrettson preached that Christianity and slavery were incompatible, a radical position at a time when many White Christians justified slavery through biblical interpretation.[18]

---

[15] Dickerson, *The African Methodist Episcopal Church,* 25.

[16] Wesley, *Richard Allen,* 74.

[17] Dickerson, *The African Methodist Episcopal Church,* 219.

[18] Newman, *Freedom's Prophet*, 35.

Allen was deeply inspired by Garrettson's sermons, which emphasized that true Christian faith required moral action, including the manumission of enslaved people. This message resonated deeply with Allen, who saw the transformative potential of spiritual conviction to challenge racial oppression and injustice. Rather than simply hoping for freedom, Allen used his theological understanding and rhetorical skills to engage his enslaver, Sturgis, in conversations about faith, morality, and the inherent contradiction between Christianity and slavery.[19]

Over time, Allen's fervent faith and powerful preaching began to influence Sturgis, leading him to question the moral justifications of slavery. Allen, along with his brother and fellow enslaved individuals, organized prayer meetings and Bible studies on the plantation, where they not only ministered to other enslaved individuals but also introduced Sturgis to the egalitarian teachings of the Methodist faith.[20] Eventually, Sturgis was convicted by the arguments presented by both Allen and Garrettson, ultimately leading him to acknowledge that owning human beings was inconsistent with the teachings of Christ.

After wrestling with his conscience, Sturgis was persuaded by both Garrettson and Allen to allow Allen and his brother to purchase their freedom.[21] This was a remarkable and rare opportunity, as many enslavers at the time refused to even consider manumission, even when confronted with religious or moral arguments. In 1780, "the

---

[19] Allen, *The Life, Experience, and Gospel Labours,* 27.

[20] Dickerson, *The African Methodist Episcopal Church,* 241.

[21] Lincoln and Mamiya, *The Black Church in the African American Experience,* 176.

twenty-year-old Allen had a written agreement with his master" to purchase his freedom for $2,000, to be paid over five years.[22]

This was an exorbitant sum for an enslaved person, but Allen was determined to secure his freedom. Rather than despairing at the challenge, he took on multiple jobs, working as a laborer, wagon driver, and woodcutter, saving every cent. His unwavering work ethic and resourcefulness became defining characteristics of his leadership style later in life, reinforcing his belief that economic independence was essential to true freedom. After several years of diligent labor and with the assistance of Methodist allies, Allen successfully purchased his freedom in 1780,[23] marking the beginning of his journey as an independent minister and leader.

Once free, Allen embarked on a journey as an itinerant preacher, traveling across Delaware, Pennsylvania, Maryland, and the Carolinas, spreading the message of faith and liberation to both enslaved and free Black communities.[24] However, despite his effectiveness as a preacher, Allen soon faced racism and exclusion within the Methodist Episcopal Church, where Black worshippers were often segregated, denied leadership roles, and restricted in their participation in church governance.

This exclusion from leadership profoundly influenced Allen's future work in leadership succession and institution building. After securing his own freedom, he realized that economic self-sufficiency and leadership development were vital for enabling others to follow in his footsteps. Allen recognized that without a structured

---

[22] Newman, *Freedom's Prophet,* 43.

[23] Newman, *Freedom's Prophet,* 48.

[24] Dickerson, *The African Methodist Episcopal Church,* 263.

leadership succession plan, the advancement of Black religious institutions could easily be jeopardized by external control and internal instability.

Allen's experiences as an enslaved person, preacher, and independent minister shaped his leadership philosophy, which would later impact the establishment of the African Methodist Episcopal Church. His focus on structured leadership succession stemmed from his conviction that African American religious institutions needed to be independent, self-sustaining, and well-governed to thrive in a society that aimed to marginalize and control Black faith communities.

Richard Allen's early life and transformation in faith played a crucial role in shaping his leadership philosophy, which focused on economic independence, organized governance, and the development of leadership. His background as an enslaved person, itinerant preacher, and founder of institutions laid the groundwork for a model of structured leadership succession within African American churches. Allen's vision for a self-sufficient Black church not only led to the establishment of the AME Church but also had a lasting impact on broader Black religious, social, and political movements.

Richard Allen's Role in Founding the Free African Society

One of Richard Allen's earliest efforts at structured leadership succession took place in 1787, when he and the Reverend Absalom Jones co-founded the Free African Society (FAS) in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.[25] The FAS was a mutual aid society, an organization designed to provide spiritual, financial, and social support for African Americans who were excluded from White-controlled institutions. This society preceded the founding of the African Methodist Episcopal (AME) Church and was instrumental in

[25] Newman, *Freedom's Prophet,* 60.

shaping Black religious and civic leadership in the late eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries. The FAS operated on principles of shared leadership, mentorship, and self-sufficiency, laying the groundwork for future, Black-led institutions that would play a crucial role in the abolitionist movement, church governance, and civil rights advocacy.[26]

The FAS was one of the first organizations in American history dedicated to the self-governance and self-determination of African Americans. Unlike most Black religious and social organizations of the time, the FAS was not controlled by White benefactors and did not require its members to rely on White patronage for resources or leadership.[27] Instead, it fostered a culture of Black autonomy, providing an independent space where African Americans could worship, organize, and support one another.

One of the hallmarks of the FAS was its democratic leadership structure. Unlike many religious institutions of the time that operated under hierarchical models, the FAS rotated leadership roles and provided training to its members, ensuring that a broad base of individuals gained experience in governance and community leadership.[28] This system not only prevented the concentration of power in a single leader but also prepared individuals for future leadership roles in religious, civic, and abolitionist organizations. This approach contrasted with the leadership models of many churches, where pastoral leadership was often personality-driven and long-tenured without clear succession planning. By allowing members to actively participate in leadership selection and governance, the FAS served as a training ground for future Black religious leaders, abolitionists, and social reformers.

---

[26] Newman, *Freedom's Prophet,* 62.

[27] Sernett, *Bound for the Promised Land,* 83.

[28] Newman, *Freedom's Prophet,* 63.

The FAS functioned as an economic cooperative, where members pooled resources to provide aid for those in need, including widows, orphans, and the sick.[29] This system ensured that Black Philadelphians had access to financial assistance in times of hardship, reducing their reliance on White charity and state welfare systems that often discriminated against them. The emphasis on financial self-sufficiency and mutual aid became a defining feature of later Black institutions, including the AME Church, which modeled its financial structure on the self-reliance principles of the FAS.[30]

Allen's understanding that economic independence was intertwined with religious and social autonomy would later become a cornerstone of the AME Church's success. The church's emphasis on owning property, establishing schools, and supporting Black businesses directly reflected the economic principles Allen developed through his work with the FAS.[31] By ensuring that the organization's members could sustain themselves without external financial control, the FAS laid the foundation for future Black institutions that would resist White economic domination and ensure long-term stability.

The Free African Society as a Leadership Pipeline

Beyond its financial contributions, the FAS played a crucial role in mentorship and leadership development, preparing individuals for future roles in both religious and civic leadership.[32] The organization cultivated skills in public speaking, organizational management, and advocacy, training members to engage in political and religious

---

[29] Sernett, *Bound for the Promised Land,* 71.

[30] Lincoln and Mamiya, *The Black Church in the African American Experience,* 176.

[31] Newman, *Freedom's Prophet,* 63.

[32] Allen, *The Life, Experience, and Gospel Labours,* 50.

leadership roles. Many future church leaders and abolitionists emerged from the FAS, demonstrating that structured leadership development and intentional mentorship were central to the society's mission.

Allen's emphasis on mentorship within the FAS would later influence the AME Church's approach to leadership succession. Much like the rotating leadership model of the FAS, the AME Church developed a structured system of clergy training, leadership preparation, and episcopal oversight, ensuring that qualified leaders were continually developed to guide the denomination. This structured approach to leadership succession provided stability and continuity that allowed the AME Church to expand beyond its founders and sustain itself for centuries.

The FAS was a foundational model for Black-led institutions, influencing the development of the AME Church and other independent Black organizations that followed in its legacy. The organization's emphasis on self-governance, financial independence, and leadership development became core principles in later African American religious and social movements. Through the FAS, Richard Allen and Absalom Jones laid the groundwork for future Black organizations that would continue the fight for racial justice, economic empowerment, and religious independence.[33] The principles established in the FAS—shared leadership, economic self-reliance, and structured succession planning—became a blueprint for the AME Church and other African American religious institutions.

The Free African Society was a critical step in the evolution of African American religious and civic leadership, providing a model for self-governance and institutional independence that would later define the AME Church and other Black-led

[33] Lincoln and Mamiya, *The Black Church in the African American Experience,* 132.

organizations.[34] Allen's vision of leadership succession, mentorship, and financial independence was first implemented in the FAS, demonstrating his commitment to structured governance and sustainable leadership development.[35]

The Founding of the AME Church

The founding of the African Methodist Episcopal (AME) Church was not just a response to racial discrimination within the Methodist Church but a strategic effort to create a sustainable, Black-led religious institution. Richard Allen envisioned a church that would not only provide spiritual guidance but also serve as a training ground for future leaders, ensuring that African Americans could govern their own congregations, control their resources, and mentor the next generation of clergy and lay leaders.

This vision emerged from Allen's firsthand experience with White-controlled churches that marginalized Black worshippers, limiting their participation in leadership and decision-making. The pivotal moment that led to the AME Church's creation occurred in 1787, when Allen, Absalom Jones, and other Black worshippers at St. George's Methodist Episcopal Church in Philadelphia faced blatant racial discrimination during a Sunday service.[36] While kneeling in prayer, they were physically removed from the church by White trustees, who insisted that they worship in a segregated section of the church. This humiliating experience convinced Allen that African Americans needed their own places of worship, free from White oversight and control.

---

[34] Sernett, *Bound for the Promised Land,* 45.

[35] Newman, *Freedom's Prophet*, 64.

[36] Newman, *Freedom's Prophet*, 158.

Following their forced removal from St. George's, Allen, Jones, and other Black Methodists walked out and resolved to establish an independent Black church that would not be subject to the authority of White religious leaders. Allen's leadership and commitment to structured governance led to the establishment of Bethel African Methodist Episcopal Church in 1794, the first Black-owned and operated Methodist congregation in the United States.[37] However, White Methodist leaders continued to attempt to exert control over Bethel, insisting that it remain under White supervision.

In 1816, after a lengthy legal battle, Allen secured full autonomy for Bethel, paving the way for the formal creation of the AME Church as an independent denomination.[38] This decision was not merely about separation but about sustainability, including leadership succession. It ensured Black religious leaders could train, mentor, and elect their own bishops, pastors, and church officials without interference. The AME Church was structured with an episcopal form of governance, where bishops were elected and held accountable to a governing body, ensuring leadership continuity, doctrinal stability, and organizational expansion. Allen's insistence on leadership training and structured governance provided the AME Church with a long-term succession model, which has allowed it to survive and thrive for over two centuries.

Richard Allen's Leadership Development Model

Richard Allen's approach to mentorship and leadership development was deeply intertwined with his vision for self-sufficiency, economic empowerment, and structured succession planning within the African American Church. Unlike many religious leaders

[37] Newman, *Freedom's Prophet*, 158.

[38] Newman, *Freedom's Prophet,* 159.

of his time, Allen recognized that spiritual leadership could not be separated from economic independence, particularly for African Americans who faced systemic racial discrimination and economic disenfranchisement. He believed that strong church leadership required training, mentorship, and financial sustainability, ensuring that future leaders were not only theologically sound but also capable of managing church finances, business operations, and community development initiatives. His model of mentorship emphasized spiritual education, financial literacy, business acumen, and strategic planning, laying the foundation for the African Methodist Episcopal (AME) Church's long-term stability.[39]

Allen understood that a church's survival depended on the development of new leaders, particularly in a time when African American clergy were excluded from formal theological training programs. To address this, he implemented a mentorship model within the AME Church, training young men in preaching, pastoral care, church administration, and advocacy.[40] Many of Allen's protégés, including Morris Brown and Daniel Coker, went on to become influential bishops and church leaders who played a crucial role in the expansion of the AME Church across the United States.[41]

Allen's leadership training was both formal and informal, incorporating apprenticeship-based mentorship where aspiring ministers learned directly from experienced clergy.[42] encouraged leaders to engage in community service, political activism, and economic development, ensuring that AME clergy were not only spiritual

---

[39] Lincoln and Mamiya, *The Black Church in the African American Experience,* 176.

[40] Sernett, *Bound for the Promised Land,* 45.

[41] Newman, *Freedom's Prophet,* 163.

[42] Allen, *The Life, Experience, and Gospel Labours,* 47.

leaders but also advocates for social change. His insistence on formalized ordination processes, theological training, and leadership accountability helped professionalize Black clergy at a time when African Americans were often denied access to educational institutions.

Allen also emphasized the importance of literacy and theological education, requiring clergy to be well-versed in biblical interpretation, Methodist doctrine, and public speaking. As a result, the AME Church became one of the first Black-led Christian denominations to require educational and ministerial qualifications for clergy, ensuring that pastors were not merely chosen based on charisma but on their ability to teach, lead, and administrate effectively. This system of structured mentorship and ministerial development remains a core part of AME Church leadership today, ensuring that leadership transitions occur smoothly through a pipeline of trained clergy.

The Legacy of Allen's Leadership Development Model

Richard Allen's mentorship philosophy, with an emphasis on developing a pipeline of leaders, created a leadership model that endured for generations. His integration of spiritual and economic training ensured that future AME leaders were well-equipped to oversee both ministry and financial responsibilities, securing the church's long-term sustainability. The emphasis on financial independence in Black churches, with many AME congregations following Allen's example by purchasing land, building schools, and developing economic initiatives.

The AME Church maintains a structured leadership pipeline, equipping and ordaining clergy through formal educational programs.[43] AME clergy play a crucial role in mentoring emerging leaders, ensuring that pastoral succession is both intentional and strategically planned. Richard Allen's leadership model extended beyond ministerial training; he fostered an institutional culture that emphasized self-sufficiency, community engagement, and economic empowerment.[44] His visionary approach continues to shape contemporary discussions on church leadership within African American religious traditions, promoting long-term sustainability.[45]

Richard Allen's leadership philosophy extended far beyond the African Methodist Episcopal (AME) Church; it influenced broader African American religious and social movements, shaping the trajectory of Black ecclesial, social, and political life. His model of self-governance, spiritual empowerment, and social activism laid the foundation for later African American institutions, fostering movements that emphasized racial uplift, economic self-sufficiency, and civil rights advocacy. Allen's approach provided a blueprint for African American leadership, demonstrating that Black religious institutions could serve as centers for education, economic independence, and political engagement.

The AME Church as a Model for Black Religious Institutions

The AME Church, as a product of Allen's leadership, became a prototype for subsequent African American Christian denominations that sought both spiritual

---

[43] Dickerson, *The African Methodist Episcopal Church,* 176.

[44] Allen, *The Life, Experience, and Gospel Labours,* 67.

[45] Newman, *Freedom's Prophet,* 167.

autonomy and social engagement.[46] At a time when White-controlled churches marginalized Black worshippers, Allen's model also provided a pathway for independent Black religious governance. His insistence on an episcopal system ensured that future African American religious institutions could create structured leadership pipelines that allowed for continuity, accountability, and theological integrity.

Following the success of the AME Church, other Black denominations, including the AME Zion Church (1821) and the Colored (now Christian) Methodist Episcopal Church (1870), adopted similar models of governance and leadership development.[47] These denominations shared Allen's belief that spiritual leadership should be accompanied by economic self-sufficiency and community empowerment.[48] By structuring churches with clear leadership succession models, these institutions could sustain themselves beyond charismatic individual leaders, ensuring their long-term survival. Allen's influence within Black religious life extended beyond church governance; his legacy influenced education, abolitionist activism, and civil rights leadership, shaping how African American clergy engaged with social issues.

Conclusion

The significance of leadership succession in African American churches cannot be overstated. The historical reliance on long-tenured, charismatic leaders has contributed to the strength and resilience of Black churches, but it has also presented challenges in ensuring continuity and stability. Without structured succession planning, many

---

[46] Dickerson, *The African Methodist Episcopal Church,* 215.

[47] Newman, *Freedom's Prophet,* 169.

[48] Allen, *The Life, Experience, and Gospel Labours,* 58.

congregations face internal conflict, doctrinal shifts, and declines in membership when a long-serving leader departs. This chapter explored how the AME Church, under the visionary leadership of the Reverend Richard Allen, provides a model for sustainable succession through structured governance, mentorship, and institutional training.

The AME Church's leadership framework offers key insights into the benefits of strategic succession planning. Unlike many independent Black churches, which often struggle with leadership transitions, the AME Church established an episcopal governance model that ensured smooth transitions of power. Allen understood that sustainable leadership required more than spiritual charisma. He demanded intentional mentorship, administrative training, and economic independence.[49] His structured approach created a system where future leaders were nurtured and prepared to assume positions of responsibility, securing the long-term stability of denomination.

The mentorship model implemented by Allen emphasized both spiritual and administrative development. He trained clergy not only in theological doctrine but also in financial management, organizational leadership, and community engagement. This holistic approach ensured that new leaders were equipped to sustain and expand the church's mission. His emphasis on economic self-sufficiency also helped fortify Black religious institutions against external financial control, allowing them to operate independently and maintain their mission-driven focus.

The importance of Allen's leadership succession model extends beyond the AME Church. Many African American churches today struggle with leadership transitions, as they often rely on a singular leader without cultivating a pipeline of successors. Without a structured plan, congregations may experience division, financial instability, and

[49] Newman, *Freedom's Prophet,* 226.

diminished community. Allen's model illustrates that succession planning should be an integral part of church governance rather than an afterthought.

The AME Church's structured leadership pipeline continues to serve as a valuable case study for contemporary Black churches.[50] By implementing formal training programs, mentorship, and a clear governance structure, the denomination has been able to sustain its mission for over two centuries. This model provides a roadmap for churches seeking to strengthen leadership transitions, ensuring that their ministries thrive beyond the tenure of individual leaders.

As the African American church faces new challenges in the twenty-first century, including declining attendance and evolving cultural dynamics, strategic leadership succession is more critical than ever. Churches must prioritize the development of emerging leaders, invest in formal training programs, and create governance structures that facilitate smooth transitions. Failure to do so risks institutional instability and the loss of hard-won progress.

Leadership succession is not just an administrative concern but a spiritual and organizational imperative. Richard Allen's vision provides a timeless blueprint for African American churches seeking to sustain their mission and expand their impact. By learning from his legacy, today's church leaders can build a culture of intentional succession planning, ensuring that their institutions remain vibrant, stable, and mission-driven for generations to come, particularly those struggling with leadership transitions and organizational sustainability like The New Macedonia Baptist Church.

---

[50] Dickerson, *The African Methodist Episcopal Church,* 207.

CHAPTER FOUR

THEOLOGICAL FOUNDATIONS

Leadership succession is an essential aspect of church governance and spiritual formation. The Church, as a divine institution, is tasked with sustaining its mission beyond the tenure of any individual leader or group leaders. However, many congregations struggle with leadership transitions due to a lack of structured planning and theological clarity. The challenge is not merely logistical but deeply theological, as the continuity of leadership directly impacts doctrinal integrity, church stability, and the effectiveness of ministry. Ecclesiology, the theological study of the nature and structure of the Church, provides the foundation for understanding and implementing a biblically grounded approach to leadership succession. Without a clear ecclesiological framework, leadership transitions can become sources of division, instability, and doctrinal drift rather than moments of renewal and continuity.

The study of ecclesiology is crucial for leadership succession because it clarifies the Church's divine purpose, its organizational structure, and the necessity of Spirit-led governance. John Wesley emphasized the Church as a community formed by God's grace, where leadership is not merely institutional but a function of spiritual responsibility and communal discernment.[1] Wesley's ecclesiological perspective highlights the importance of structured leadership development, recognizing that the

[1] W. Joseph Stallings, "A Perspective on the Ecclesiology of John Wesley," *Ashland Theological Journal* 45, no. 1 (2013): 69-85.

Church must equip and empower future leaders to sustain its mission. Similarly, Augustine asserted that the authority of church leadership must be rooted in both divine ordination and apostolic succession, ensuring theological continuity and mission fidelity.[2] These historical perspectives affirm that leadership succession is not a secondary concern but a central ecclesiological issue that must be approached with theological depth and pastoral wisdom.

At The New Macedonia Baptist Church (TNMBC), leadership transitions have historically been managed through informal processes rather than through a structured succession model. While faith and prayer have played a role in past transitions, the absence of a formal succession plan has created uncertainty, organizational disruption, and the risk of theological misalignment. Leadership transitions that lack intentional planning can lead to fragmentation within the congregation, loss of institutional memory, and a weakening of the church's missional effectiveness. As Wayne Grudem explains, "the governance of the Church must align with biblical principles to ensure both theological integrity and operational stability."[3] A well-developed ecclesiological foundation provides the theological rationale for intentional succession planning, ensuring that TNMBC remains faithful to its mission while equipping future leaders for service.

Leadership succession is not only a concern for modern churches but a recurring theme throughout scripture. From Moses passing leadership to Joshua (Dt. 31:7-8), to Elijah mentoring Elisha (2 Kgs. 2:1-15), to Jesus commissioning His disciples (Mt.

---

[2] R. W. L. Moberly, "Bible and Church, Bultmann and Augustine: A Response to David Congdon," *Journal of Theological Interpretation* 9, no. 1 (2015): 39-48.

[3] Wayne A. Grudem, *Systematic Theology: An Introduction to Biblical Doctrine* (Grand Rapids, MI: Inter-Varsity Press, 2004), 853.

28:18-20), the Bible presents leadership succession as an intentional and Spirit-led process. The Apostle Paul further reinforces this concept in his pastoral letters, instructing Timothy and Titus to raise up faithful leaders who will continue the work of the Church (2 Tm. 2:2, Ti. 1:5-9). The biblical model of leadership succession emphasizes mentorship, theological training, and communal affirmation as essential components of transition planning. Geerhardus Vos highlights that biblical leadership transitions were marked by a clear divine calling and structured preparation, demonstrating that the stability of God's people required continuity in leadership.[4]

Despite the clear biblical precedent for leadership succession, many contemporary churches have not fully embraced a structured approach to leadership transitions. The lack of succession planning often leads to reactionary decision-making, where leadership transitions occur in response to a crisis rather than as part of a long-term strategy. As Owen C. Thomas and Ellen Wondra argue, ecclesiological studies must inform practical church governance, ensuring that leadership structures align with biblical principles and theological integrity.[5] The failure to integrate theological reflection into leadership succession planning weakens the church's ability to sustain its mission over multiple generations.

The problem facing TNMBC is that the church does not currently employ a structured succession model, which minimizes the effectiveness of leadership transitions and threatens the church's stability. Without an intentional succession plan, TNMBC risks internal conflict, loss of strategic vision, and reduced effectiveness in fulfilling its

---

[4] Geerhardus Vos, *Biblical Theology: Old and New Testaments* (Carlisle, PA: Banner of Truth Trust, 2007), 281.

[5] Owen C. Thomas and Ellen K. Wondra, *Introduction to Theology* (Harrisburg, PA: Morehouse, 2002), 603.

mission. Ecclesiology provides the framework for addressing this issue, ensuring that leadership succession is not merely an administrative process but a theologically grounded endeavor. The purpose of this study is to develop an ecclesiologically-informed approach to leadership succession at TNMBC, ensuring that future transitions are guided by biblical principles, theological discernment, and strategic planning.

This study is guided by the hypothesis that if a team of leaders and prospective leaders at TNMBC participates in a focused study of leadership succession, then they will be equipped to create a model of succession to be utilized to identify and prepare new leaders for the church's future. Through structured leadership training, theological grounding, and mentorship, this study seeks to demonstrate that a biblically based succession model can provide a sustainable and reproducible framework for leadership transition. By integrating biblical succession models with structured leadership training, TNMBC can establish a reproducible framework that ensures long-term vitality and effectiveness.

Understanding ecclesiology in relation to leadership succession is essential for the health and longevity of the Church. As Adam Clarke and Samuel Dunn explain, "the Church must be viewed as both a spiritual body and an organized institution, requiring structured leadership for effective governance and doctrinal stability."[6] The biblical record affirms that God has always been intentional about leadership transitions, and church history further reinforces the necessity of structured leadership development. Leadership succession is not simply about replacing leaders; it is about sustaining the

[6] Adam Clarke and Samuel Christian Dunn, *Theology,* second ed. (London, UK: Thomas Tegg and Son, 1835), 57.

Church's mission, preserving theological integrity, and equipping new leaders with the spiritual depth and administrative competence needed for effective ministry.

This chapter explores how TNMBC can develop a theologically grounded leadership succession model that is both biblically faithful and practically effective. Through scriptural analysis, theological reflection, and leadership development strategies, this study will provide the necessary framework for TNMBC to cultivate a leadership culture that prioritizes mentorship, stability, and mission continuity. The goal is to move TNMBC from a reactive approach to leadership succession to a proactive and Spirit-led model that ensures the church remains strong, faithful, and missionally engaged for generations to come.

Theological Foundations of Ecclesiology and Leadership Succession

The doctrine of ecclesiology serves as the foundational theological framework for understanding leadership succession within the Church. Ecclesiology is the study of the Church's nature, mission, governance, and structure, encompassing both its spiritual and institutional aspects. Leadership within the Church is not a secular administrative function but a divine calling that must align with God's design and biblical mandates. Throughout church history and biblical narrative, leadership succession has been recognized as essential to the Church's stability, doctrinal continuity, and mission effectiveness. Without a structured approach to succession, churches face instability, loss of mission focus, and theological drift, threatening their long-term sustainability. Vos affirms that the Church's structure and leadership must be biblically grounded,

emphasizing that the continuity of leadership reflects the covenantal faithfulness of God in preserving His people.[7]

Understanding leadership succession within ecclesiology requires an examination of how the Church is described in scripture and how biblical leadership models establish patterns of transition. Grudem asserts that "the Church is not merely a human institution but a divinely established body with spiritual authority, where leaders are entrusted with shepherding, teaching, and equipping future generations."[8] The Church is metaphorically depicted as the Body of Christ (1 Cor. 12:12-27), the Temple of the Holy Spirit (Eph. 2:19-22), the Bride of Christ (Rv. 19:7-9), and the Household of God (Eph. 2:19). These descriptions highlight both the organic and structured nature of the Church, necessitating leadership that is Spirit-led, biblically sound, and strategically prepared to ensure continuity. Leadership succession, therefore, is not merely an administrative concern but an essential aspect of maintaining the integrity and effectiveness of the Church's mission.

Leadership succession is also deeply rooted in biblical precedent, demonstrating how God consistently appointed and prepared leaders before transitions occurred. In the Old Testament, leadership succession is evident in the transition from Moses to Joshua, where God instructed Moses to publicly commission Joshua, ensuring an orderly transfer of authority and a smooth transition for Israel (Dt. 31:7-8, Jo. 1:1-9). This process was marked by mentorship, divine affirmation, and community recognition, setting a precedent for how leadership transitions should be approached in the Church. Similarly, the prophetic transition from Elijah to Elisha (2 Kgs. 2:1-15) illustrates the spiritual dimension of succession, where leadership is not only based on skills or human

---

[7] Vos, *Biblical Theology*, 255.

[8] Grudem, *Systematic Theology*, 847.

appointment but is accompanied by a divine impartation of authority and anointing. These Old Testament examples reveal that succession planning requires intentional preparation, relational investment, and spiritual empowerment to ensure the success of the next generation of leaders.

In the New Testament, Jesus models an intentional approach to leadership development and succession planning through His ministry. Rather than assuming leadership transitions would happen naturally, Jesus invested in His disciples, trained them for ministry, and commissioned them with a clear mission (Mt. 28:18-20, Acts 1:8). The apostles, particularly Paul, continued this pattern by mentoring younger leaders like Timothy and Titus, ensuring that the next generation of church leadership was prepared to sustain the mission and guard doctrinal integrity (2 Tm. 2:2, Ti. 1:5-9). Adam Clarke emphasizes that Paul's letters to Timothy and Titus highlight the necessity of structured leadership training, character qualifications, and doctrinal fidelity in succession planning.[9] Leadership succession, therefore, is a biblical mandate that must be intentionally cultivated within the Church rather than left to chance.

The theological foundation of leadership succession extends beyond biblical models to historical church practice. From the early Church to contemporary congregations, succession planning has played a critical role in preserving theological integrity and sustaining mission effectiveness. Augustine of Hippo argued that leadership transitions must maintain apostolic authority and doctrinal unity, ensuring that the Church remains faithful to its original mission.[10] Similarly, John Wesley's ecclesiology emphasized the necessity of structured leadership succession to sustain spiritual vitality

[9] Clarke and Dunn, *Theology*, 219.

[10] Moberly, "Bible and Church, Bultmann and Augustine," 39-48.

and communal accountability. Wesley believed that church leadership must be both divinely ordained and strategically developed, incorporating theological education, mentorship, and discipleship.[11] These historical perspectives affirm that leadership succession must be both Spirit-led and systematically structured to ensure continuity in ministry.

Despite the clear biblical and historical precedent for structured leadership transitions, many churches today lack intentional succession planning. This oversight often results in crisis-driven transitions, division within congregations, and a weakening of doctrinal fidelity. Moberly contends that "when churches neglect theological reflection in leadership succession, they risk undermining their spiritual and organizational health."[12] Leadership transitions should not be reactive but proactive, prayerful, and guided by a biblical framework. The absence of succession planning often leads to uncertainty, internal conflict, and theological drift, particularly when new leaders are not properly trained in doctrine and governance. Thomas and Wondra argue that ecclesiological studies must directly inform church leadership structures to prevent leadership crises.[13]

A sound theological foundation for leadership succession recognizes that leadership is not self-appointed but Spirit-directed. Church leaders are called to equip the next generation, ensuring that transitions occur with wisdom, strategic foresight, and spiritual preparation. Ecclesiology affirms that the Church's mission transcends individual leaders and must be sustained through careful mentorship, doctrinal continuity,

---

[11] Stallings, "A Perspective on the Ecclesiology of John Wesley." 69-85.

[12] Thomas and Wondra, *Introduction to Theology*, 257.

[13] Thomas and Wondra, *Introduction to Theology*, 259.

and communal affirmation. Wayne Grudem explains that "church leadership must be intentionally cultivated through theological education and direct ministry training, ensuring that future leaders are equipped both spiritually and practically."[14] Without structured leadership succession, churches risk becoming personality-driven rather than mission-driven, leading to instability and potential doctrinal drift.

The New Macedonia Baptist Church (TNMBC) must integrate a theological and strategic approach to leadership succession to ensure long-term stability, spiritual health, and mission effectiveness. The lack of a structured succession model presents a significant challenge to the church's future, as leadership transitions directly impact church unity, governance, and theological integrity. A biblically grounded approach, rooted in ecclesiology and historical church practice, will provide TNMBC with a framework that aligns leadership succession with biblical mandates, church governance, and Spirit-led discernment. This foundation will not only maintain integrity. This approach not only facilitates smooth leadership transitions but also fosters a culture of discipleship, mentorship, and spiritual formation that strengthens the church for generations to come.

Key Theological Issues in Leadership Succession

Leadership succession within the Church is a theologically intricate process that raises significant questions regarding governance, divine calling, doctrinal continuity, and the role of the Holy Spirit. Churches that neglect to address these theological issues risk instability, division, and a gradual deviation from their foundational mission. Ecclesiology offers a structured framework to analyze these concerns, ensuring that

[14] Grudem, *Systematic Theology*, 856.

leadership transitions are approached with both spiritual discernment and practical wisdom. While leadership succession is often seen as an administrative task, it is a theological necessity that reflects the Church's identity as the Body of Christ. Throughout church history, scholars and theologians have engaged deeply with these matters, providing insights into governance models, Spirit-led leadership selection, and the risks of theological drift. Tackling these concerns from a theological viewpoint is crucial for the long-term health and effectiveness of the Church.

One of the most significant theological issues in leadership succession is the question of church governance and authority. Different traditions have developed distinct models for structuring leadership and managing transitions, each with its own theological implications. The episcopal model, evident in Roman Catholic, Anglican, and some Methodist traditions, places authority within a hierarchy where bishops supervise succession. This model emphasizes apostolic continuity and centralized decision-making, ensuring that leadership transitions are guided by established doctrine and ecclesiastical authority. The presbyterian model, commonly found in Reformed and Presbyterian churches, relies on a system of elder-led governance, where leadership succession occurs through the collective discernment of church elders. This model upholds shared leadership, accountability, and doctrinal consistency within a governing body. The congregational model, which is prevalent in Baptist and non-denominational churches, emphasizes democratic decision-making, allowing church members to vote on leadership transitions. While this approach fosters community involvement, it can also lead to division if theological unity is not carefully maintained. Each model presents theological strengths and challenges, making it crucial for churches like The New Macedonia Baptist

Church (TNMBC) to examine their governance structure and align it with biblical principles. Wayne Grudem argues that church leadership should not merely be structured for efficiency but should reflect the biblical mandate for shepherding and discipleship, ensuring that leadership transitions support the church's mission and doctrinal integrity.[15]

Beyond governance, the role of the Holy Spirit in leadership succession is a foundational theological issue that must be addressed. Throughout scripture, leadership transitions are depicted as Spirit-led processes rather than purely human decisions. The early Church sought the guidance of the Holy Spirit when appointing new leaders, as seen in Acts 6:3-6, where the apostles instructed the community to select men "full of the Spirit and wisdom" for leadership roles. Similarly, in Acts 13:2-3, the Holy Spirit directed the Church in Antioch to set apart Paul and Barnabas for ministry, demonstrating that leadership succession should be a divine appointment rather than a purely administrative function. Karl Barth emphasizes that leadership within the Church must always be Spirit-directed, arguing that human structures must remain traditions, emphasizes submission to the sovereignty of God in calling and equipping leaders.[16] This theological perspective challenges churches to ensure that leadership transitions are discerned through prayer, fasting, and communal affirmation rather than relying solely on procedural mechanisms.

Another key theological concern in leadership succession is the risk of doctrinal drift. Throughout church history, many leadership transitions have resulted in theological shifts, sometimes leading congregations or entire denominations away from biblical orthodoxy. When leadership succession lacks a strong theological foundation, new

---

[15] Grudem, *Systematic Theology*, 860.

[16] Karl Barth, *Church Dogmatics* (Edinburgh, UK: T and T Clark, 1956), 73.

leaders may introduce doctrinal innovations that deviate from the core beliefs of the faith community. This concern is evident in Paul's exhortations to Timothy, warning against those who would distort the Gospel and urging him to preserve sound doctrine (2 Tm. 4:3-5). Similarly, in Galatians 1:6-9, Paul rebukes the Galatian Church for quickly turning to a different Gospel, highlighting the danger of theological drift when leadership transitions are not carefully managed. Vos underscores the importance of maintaining doctrinal continuity, arguing that leadership succession must be rooted in biblical theology to safeguard against ideological shifts that could weaken the Church's mission.[17] In contemporary church contexts, ensuring doctrinal stability during leadership transitions requires a commitment to theological education, mentorship, and accountability structures that uphold the faith's foundational truths.

The theological debate surrounding leadership succession also raises questions about the balance between divine calling and institutional decision-making. Some church traditions emphasize the charismatic model, where leaders are identified based on spiritual gifting, personal calling, and prophetic affirmation. This approach, often seen in Pentecostal and charismatic churches, relies heavily on the discernment of the Holy Spirit and the confirmation of spiritual authority within the congregation. While this model emphasizes divine appointment, it can sometimes lack organizational clarity, leading to confusion or power struggles during transitions. Conversely, other traditions emphasize a structured selection process, where leaders are identified through formal training, theological examinations, and ecclesiastical endorsement. John Wesley argued for a balance between these approaches, emphasizing both spiritual calling and rigorous leadership preparation to ensure that new leaders were equipped both theologically and

[17] Vos, *Biblical Theology*, 293.

practically for their roles.[18] The challenge for churches today is to navigate this tension wisely, allowing room for the Holy Spirit's guidance while maintaining theological and structural integrity in leadership transitions.

Historically, the early Church faced challenges in leadership succession, particularly in maintaining unity and theological fidelity. The Apostolic Fathers, including Clement of Rome and Ignatius of Antioch, wrote extensively about the need for orderly leadership transitions to prevent division and heresy within the Church. Ignatius insisted that leadership continuity must be rooted in apostolic teaching and communal accountability, ensuring that new leaders did not introduce theological errors or disrupt the Church's unity.[19] This historical perspective remains relevant for contemporary churches, as leadership transitions continue to be moments of both opportunity and risk. When managed well, succession can strengthen the Church's mission and ensure long-term stability; when managed poorly, it can lead to theological fragmentation and loss of identity.

Another significant theological issue in leadership succession is the role of mentorship and discipleship in preparing future leaders. Paul's relationship with Timothy and Titus provides a biblical model for leadership development, where mentorship played a crucial role in ensuring that new leaders were equipped both spiritually and practically. Paul's instructions in 2 Timothy 2:2 emphasize the necessity of entrusting leadership responsibilities to faithful men who will be able to teach others. This approach highlights the importance of relational discipleship, where experienced leaders invest in the next generation through teaching, modeling, and accountability. Thomas and Wondra argue

---

[18] Stallings, "A Perspective on the Ecclesiology of John Wesley," 69-85.

[19] Moberly, "Bible and Church, Bultmann and Augustine," 39-48.

that churches that fail to integrate structured mentorship into their leadership development processes often experience instability in transitions, as new leaders may lack the theological depth and pastoral experience necessary for effective ministry.[20]

At TNMBC, addressing these theological issues is essential for developing a succession model that is both biblically faithful and practically sustainable. The absence of a structured succession plan presents challenges related to governance, theological stability, and the discernment of Spirit-led leadership. Without intentional planning, TNMBC risks encountering leadership transitions that lead to uncertainty, division, or shifts away from its doctrinal foundation. A theological approach to succession planning must integrate governance structures that reflect biblical principles, leadership selection processes that seek the guidance of the Holy Spirit, and safeguards against doctrinal drift. Additionally, TNMBC must cultivate a culture of mentorship, where experienced leaders intentionally disciple emerging leaders to ensure that transitions occur smoothly and with theological integrity.

Key theological issues in leadership succession—church governance, the role of the Holy Spirit, doctrinal continuity, the balance of divine calling and institutional decision-making, historical precedents, and mentorship—must be carefully addressed to ensure the Church remains stable and missionally effective. Leadership succession is not simply a procedural necessity but a deeply theological concern that reflects the Church's commitment to faithfulness, unity, and spiritual stewardship. By grounding leadership transitions in sound ecclesiology, biblical models, and historical wisdom, churches can navigate these challenges with clarity and conviction, ensuring that their mission continues beyond the tenure of any individual leader.

---

[20] Thomas and Wondra, *Introduction to Theology,* 260.

Theological Answers and Recommendations for TNMBC's Succession Model

The development of a theological and practical model for leadership succession at The New Macedonia Baptist Church (TNMBC) requires careful attention to biblical principles, theological tradition, and contemporary best practices in church governance. Ecclesiology offers a strong framework for understanding how to oversee leadership transitions to maintain church unity, doctrinal fidelity, and mission effectiveness. The lack of a structured succession plan at TNMBC poses a significant challenge, as it heightens the risk of instability, theological drift, and organizational disruption. A biblically grounded model for leadership succession will not only create a strategic pathway for identifying and training future leaders but also reinforce the theological conviction that church leadership is both a divine calling and a communal responsibility.

One of the foundational theological principles for leadership succession is recognizing that church leadership is God-ordained and Spirit-led rather than merely human-driven. Throughout scripture, God raises up leaders through a combination of divine calling, mentorship, and community affirmation. Moses' transition to Joshua, Elijah's passing of the prophetic mantle to Elisha, and Paul's mentorship of Timothy all exemplify leadership succession that is deeply rooted in spiritual preparation and communal validation (Dt. 31:7-8, 2 Kgs. 2:1-15, 2 Tm. 2:2). Geerhardus Vos affirms that leadership transitions in biblical history were never left to chance but were instead carefully guided by God's providence and the faith community's discernment.[21] This principle remains essential for TNMBC, as it must develop a succession process that prioritizes spiritual formation, biblical competency, and community affirmation of future leaders.

[21] Vos, *Biblical Theology*, 309.

In addition to the biblical foundation for leadership succession, church history also provides valuable theological insights into the importance of structured transitions. The early Church Fathers, including Clement of Rome and Ignatius of Antioch, emphasized the necessity of apostolic succession as a means of preserving doctrinal integrity and church unity. G. C. Berkouwer asserts that a lack of intentional succession planning often results in theological instability, as new leaders may introduce doctrinal changes that gradually lead the church away from its foundational beliefs.[22] This underscores the need for TNMBC to establish a succession model that ensures continuity in both leadership philosophy and theological convictions. Succession planning should not merely focus on administrative or organizational concerns but should be deeply intertwined with TNMBC's commitment to Scriptural authority and theological tradition.

A critical component of TNMBC's succession model must include a biblically grounded leadership training process that prepares emerging leaders for pastoral and ministry roles. Paul's instruction in 2 Timothy 2:2 highlights the necessity of entrusting leadership responsibilities to faithful individuals who are equipped to teach others. Wayne Grudem emphasizes that theological training is essential for maintaining church stability, arguing that churches that fail to adequately train future leaders often experience a loss of doctrinal clarity and mission focus.[23] TNMBC should establish a structured leadership development program that includes formal theological education, practical ministry training, and mentorship by seasoned church leaders. This training should

---

[22] G. C. Berkouwer, *The Church, Studies in Dogmatics* (Grand Rapids, MI: W. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 1976), 119.

[23] Grudem, *Systematic Theology*, 857.

include courses on biblical doctrine, church history, pastoral ethics, and ecclesiastical governance, ensuring that future leaders are well-equipped both spiritually and intellectually.

Mentorship should play a central role in TNMBC's leadership succession strategy. Jesus Himself modeled a mentorship-based approach to leadership development, as He spent three years preparing His disciples for ministry. Similarly, Paul's investment in Timothy and Titus illustrates the importance of relational discipleship in cultivating effective church leaders. Adam Clarke and Samuel Dunn argue that successful church leadership transitions depend on a culture of mentorship, where seasoned pastors intentionally pour into the next generation.[24] TNMBC must foster a mentorship culture where senior leaders actively disciple, coach, and spiritually develop emerging leaders. This can be achieved through regular leadership retreats, one-on-one mentoring relationships, and structured pastoral internships.

Another essential element of TNMBC's succession model is ensuring congregational involvement in the selection and affirmation of future leaders. The New Testament consistently depicts leadership selection as a communal process, where the church body plays an active role in discerning God's call on individuals (Acts 6:1-6, Acts 13:2-3). Brad East argues that the Church, as the gathered people of God, has a responsibility to participate in leadership transitions through prayer, discernment, and affirmation.[25] TNMBC should adopt a Spirit-led process of leadership selection that involves prayer, fasting, and communal discernment. This will not only ensure that

---

[24] Clarke and Dunn, *Theology*, 201.

[25] Brad East, *The Church's Book: Theology of Scripture in Ecclesial Context* (Grand Rapids, MI: William B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 2022), 59.

leadership transitions are Spirit-driven but will also foster a sense of collective ownership and commitment to the leadership process.

One of the most significant risks in leadership transitions is the potential for theological drift if new leaders do not uphold the church's doctrinal foundation. History is replete with examples of churches that have veered away from biblical truth due to unprepared or theologically compromised leadership. Paul's warnings in Galatians 1:6-9 and 2 Timothy 4:3-5 highlight the dangers of theological erosion when leaders fail to preserve sound doctrine. Owen C. Thomas and Ellen Wondra emphasize that succession planning must include mechanisms to safeguard theological integrity, ensuring that new leaders are thoroughly vetted for their doctrinal soundness.[26] TNMBC must establish a doctrinal accountability framework that includes written theological affirmations, regular doctrinal reviews, and a requirement that all leaders undergo theological examinations before assuming pastoral roles.

A robust governance structure is also crucial for TNMBC's leadership succession model. While church governance models vary—ranging from episcopal (hierarchical), presbyterian (elder-led), and congregational (democratic)—each structure must provide clear pathways for leadership transitions. Berkouwer suggests that churches with unclear governance policies often experience confusion and division during leadership changes.[27] TNMBC should formalize a written succession policy that outlines the criteria for leadership selection, the roles of current leaders in the process, and the expected timeline for transitions. This policy should be integrated into TNMBC's bylaws to ensure that leadership succession is approached with both biblical wisdom and organizational clarity.

---

[26] Thomas and Wondra, *Introduction to Theology*, 258.

[27] Berkouwer, *The Church, Studies in Dogmatics*, 121.

In addition to internal governance, TNMBC's succession model must include a vision for intergenerational leadership engagement. One of the greatest challenges facing churches today is the growing generational divide, where younger leaders feel disconnected from the traditions and values of older church members. The Psalms emphasize intergenerational faithfulness, declaring that "one generation shall commend your works to another and shall declare your mighty acts" (Ps. 145:4). Geerhardus Vos highlights that biblical succession is most effective when it fosters intergenerational unity rather than division.[28] TNMBC should implement intergenerational leadership initiatives, such as cross-generational mentorship programs, leadership summits, and collaborative ministry projects, to bridge the generational gap and ensure a seamless leadership transition.

TNMBC's leadership succession model must be Spirit-led, theologically sound, and strategically structured to ensure long-term stability and effectiveness. The church must recognize that leadership succession is not simply an administrative necessity but a theological imperative that safeguards the mission and vision of the Church. By integrating biblical principles, historical wisdom, structured leadership training, mentorship, congregational affirmation, doctrinal safeguards, and governance structures, TNMBC can establish a succession model that preserves its theological integrity and strengthens its mission for future generations. This approach will not only equip and empower new leaders but also foster a legacy of faithfulness, unity, and continued kingdom impact.

[28] Vos, *Biblical Theology*, 293.

Conclusion

Leadership succession is not merely an administrative concern; it is a deeply theological necessity for the Church. Throughout scripture and church history, the need for structured, Spirit-led leadership transitions has been emphasized as vital for maintaining doctrinal integrity, organizational stability, and mission effectiveness. The doctrine of Ecclesiology provides a strong framework for understanding how leadership transitions should be approached, ensuring that they are conducted with theological depth, biblical fidelity, and strategic foresight. As The New Macedonia Baptist Church (TNMBC) confronts the reality of leadership succession, it must embrace a model that aligns with these principles, prioritizing the spiritual formation, doctrinal soundness, and practical equipping of future leaders. Leadership succession is not merely about filling a vacant position; it is about ensuring the long-term vitality of the Church through intentional mentorship, training, and governance structures that promote continuity and faithfulness.

A careful examination of biblical precedent shows that leadership succession has always been a divine initiative, orchestrated through mentorship, prophetic commissioning, and community affirmation. The transition from Moses to Joshua represents a prophetic succession through Elijah to Elisha, and the apostolic mentorship of Timothy and Titus by Paul all demonstrate that leadership transitions are not accidental but require deliberate planning and spiritual discernment. As Geerhardus Vos explains, these transitions were not merely about passing authority from one individual to another but about ensuring the preservation of God's covenantal purposes for His

people.[29] The New Testament further reinforces this principle through Jesus' discipleship model, which prioritized training future leaders to continue the Church's mission beyond His earthly ministry. Paul's exhortation in 2 Timothy 2:2 to entrust the Gospel to faithful men who would teach others underscores the necessity of structured leadership development in the Church.[30]

Church history affirms that leadership succession is crucial for maintaining theological continuity and institutional stability. The early Church Fathers, including Clement of Rome and Ignatius of Antioch, understood that failing to prepare for leadership transitions could lead to doctrinal corruption and division. Berkouwer asserts that theological drift often occurs when succession planning is neglected, as new leaders may unintentionally alter the theological trajectory of a congregation.[31] This reality is evident in many contemporary churches that have struggled with leadership transitions, resulting in theological compromise or internal discord. TNMBC must take these historical lessons seriously, ensuring that leadership transitions are not left to chance but are guided by a well-developed ecclesiological framework that safeguards both doctrine and mission.

A key component of TNMBC's succession strategy must be a structured, biblically grounded leadership development process that prepares emerging leaders for their roles. Paul's mentorship of Timothy and Titus demonstrates that leadership training involves both theological instruction and practical experience. As Grudem notes, churches that fail to provide intentional training for future leaders risk losing their

---

[29] Vos, *Biblical Theology*, 131.

[30] Grudem, *Systematic Theology*, 301.

[31] Berkouwer, *The Church, Studies in Dogmatics*, 122.

theological and missional identity over time.[32] Leadership preparation at TNMBC should include formal theological education, mentorship by senior leaders, and practical ministry training to ensure that future leaders are well-equipped for the spiritual and administrative responsibilities of church leadership.

Mentorship should play a central role in TNMBC's approach to leadership succession. Jesus' investment in His disciples and Paul's relationship with Timothy illustrate that effective leadership transitions occur through personal, relational discipleship. Adam Clarke and Samuel Dunn argue that strong mentorship is one of the most effective ways to ensure that church leaders remain spiritually grounded and missionally focused.[33] TNMBC must cultivate a culture where senior leaders intentionally disciple emerging leaders, passing down not only theological knowledge but also practical wisdom gained through years of ministry experience. This can be achieved through one-on-one mentorship relationships, leadership cohorts, and pastoral internships that allow future leaders to develop their gifts in a supportive environment.

Another essential factor in TNMBC's succession model is ensuring that leadership transitions are Spirit-led and rooted in communal discernment. The New Testament provides clear examples of the Holy Spirit guiding leadership selection, such as in Acts 6:1-6, where the apostles appointed deacons through communal prayer and discernment. Brad East emphasizes that the Church, as a collective body, must be actively engaged in seeking the Spirit's guidance in leadership transitions.[34] TNMBC should integrate prayer, fasting, and communal affirmation into its selection process for

---

[32] Berkouwer, *The Church, Studies in Dogmatics*, 127.

[33] Clarke and Dunn, *Theology*, 198.

[34] Brad East, *The Church's Book*, 84.

new leaders, ensuring that transitions are not dictated by personal ambition or administrative convenience but by divine calling and communal wisdom.

Theological drift remains one of the greatest risks in leadership transitions, particularly when new leaders lack doctrinal depth or accountability. Paul's warnings in Galatians 1:6-9 and 2 Timothy 4:3-5 highlight the dangers of false teaching infiltrating the Church during leadership changes. Owen C. Thomas and Ellen Wondra argue that theological integrity must be safeguarded through structured oversight, doctrinal examinations, and ongoing theological education for church leaders.[35] TNMBC must implement a system of doctrinal accountability, requiring all prospective leaders to affirm a statement of faith, undergo theological assessment, and participate in ongoing doctrinal training.

TNMBC must also establish a governance structure that provides clarity and stability in leadership succession. Churches that lack clear policies often experience confusion and division during transitions, weakening their effectiveness and unity. Berkouwer notes that well-defined governance structures help churches navigate leadership changes smoothly while maintaining their theological and organizational integrity.[36] TNMBC should adopt a formalized leadership succession policy that outlines the process for leadership selection, the qualifications required for candidates, and the roles of senior leaders and the congregation in the transition process. This policy should be embedded in the church's bylaws to ensure consistency and accountability in future transitions.

---

[35] Thomas and Wondra, *Introduction to Theology*, 133.

[36] Berkouwer, *The Church, Studies in Dogmatics*, 146.

The intergenerational nature of leadership succession must also be considered. A common challenge in churches today is the generational gap between senior leaders and emerging leaders, often leading to miscommunication and resistance to change. The Psalms emphasize the importance of intergenerational faithfulness, declaring that "one generation shall commend your works to another and shall declare your mighty acts" (Ps. 145:4). Vos argues that leadership transitions are most effective when they foster unity between generations rather than division.[37] TNMBC should develop intentional strategies to bridge the generational gap, encouraging collaboration between senior leaders and younger leaders through intergenerational mentorship, leadership forums, and shared ministry opportunities.

TNMBC's leadership succession model must be biblically grounded, Spirit-led, and strategically structured to ensure the long-term health and effectiveness of the church. Leadership transitions should not be viewed as a crisis but as opportunities for renewal and continued growth. By implementing a succession model that prioritizes theological training, mentorship, communal discernment, doctrinal integrity, and governance clarity, TNMBC can ensure that future transitions strengthen rather than destabilize the church. Ecclesiology teaches that the Church is a living, dynamic body that must be continually prepared for the future while remaining anchored in its theological foundations. If TNMBC embraces this vision for leadership succession, it will not only secure its immediate future but will also establish a legacy of faithfulness for generations to come.

[37] Vos, *Biblical Theology*, 314.

CHAPTER FIVE

INTERDISCIPLINARY FOUNDATIONS

Leadership succession is a critical issue within the African American church, where the lack of structured transition models often leads to disruptions in mission continuity, congregational trust, and organizational stability. Many churches, including The New Macedonia Baptist Church (TNMBC), face difficulties with leadership transitions due to the absence of formal succession planning. This gap frequently results in uncertainty, misalignment with the church's mission, and obstacles to maintaining long-term effectiveness. Therefore, implementing a structured leadership transition model is essential for ensuring the church's ongoing stability and effectiveness. Leadership Continuity Theory, a framework that emphasizes the identification and development of internal leaders to sustain organizational consistency, presents a promising solution to these challenges. Grounded in Management and Organizational Studies, this theory offers an interdisciplinary approach to leadership succession that integrates strategic planning, mentorship, and development of competencies to effectively prepare future leaders.

The academic discipline of Management and Organizational Studies examines leadership, decision-making, and organizational behavior, providing theoretical insights applicable to a wide range of institutions, including churches. Leadership succession has been a critical focus within this discipline, particularly in corporate and nonprofit sectors, where continuity in leadership is vital for sustaining an organization's vision and

operational success. Scholars like William J. Rothwell highlight the importance of structured succession planning as a proactive measure to ensure stability within organizations. He argues that effective succession planning is not merely about replacing leaders but about preparing individuals capable of leading with competence and alignment to the organization's mission. Rothwell's research emphasizes that succession planning requires intentional leadership. pipelines that nurture emerging leaders through mentorship, skill-building, and strategic planning.[1]

Leadership Continuity Theory, developed as part of broader research in succession planning and leadership development, offers a structured approach to ensuring seamless leadership transitions. This theory highlights three core components: succession planning, talent development, and leadership sustainability. First, succession planning involves identifying potential leaders early and preparing them for leadership roles through structured training and mentorship. Second, talent development emphasizes equipping emerging leaders with the necessary skills, knowledge, and experiences to succeed in leadership positions. Finally, leadership sustainability ensures that leadership transitions do not disrupt organizational effectiveness or mission fulfillment. Scholars such as Ram Charan, Stephen Drotter, and James Noel assert that effective leadership pipelines ensure that organizations remain strong and focused during leadership changes, rather than experiencing setbacks or instability.[2]

The rationale for using Leadership Continuity Theory in this Doctor of Ministry (DMin) project is based on its capacity to provide a structured, research-backed approach

---

[1] Ram Charan, Stephen Drotter, and James Noel, *The Leadership Pipeline: How to Build the Leadership Powered Company*, second ed. (San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass, 2011), 9.

[2] Kevin S. Groves, "Integrating Leadership Development and Succession Planning Best Practices," *Journal of Management Development* 26, no. 3 (2007): 239-260.

to addressing TNMBC's leadership transition challenges. Churches, like other organizations, need intentional succession planning to ensure continuity in leadership without compromising theological integrity or congregational trust. By applying Leadership Continuity Theory, this research will assist TNMBC in developing a leadership pipeline that is both biblically grounded and strategically effective. This theory will serve within the project by informing the creation of training programs, mentorship initiatives, and assessment tools to cultivate future leaders within TNMBC. Additionally, it will offer a systematic framework for evaluating leadership potential and ensuring that transitions align with the church's mission, vision, and theological foundations.[3]

The impact of Leadership Continuity Theory on this project is significant because it allows for the integration of theory, biblical principles, historical precedents, and theological insights. The African American church has a long history of leadership transitions, both formal and informal, but the absence of structured models often leads to disruptions. This research will demonstrate how a structured leadership succession model, grounded in Leadership Continuity Theory, biblical leadership principles, and historical ecclesiastical practices, can provide a replicable and effective solution for TNMBC and similar congregations. Moreover, Leadership Continuity Theory will serve as the bridge between theological and organizational perspectives, ensuring that succession planning is both spiritually guided and strategically implemented.

In the context of TNMBC, the need for a structured leadership succession model is pressing. The church is experiencing a generational shift, with aging leadership and emerging leaders who lack formal preparation for transition. Without a clear succession

---

[3] William J. Rothwell, *Effective Succession Planning: Ensuring Leadership Continuity and Building Talent from Within*, Fifth ed. (New York, NY: AMACOM, 2015), 36.

model, TNMBC risks encountering leadership vacuums, organizational instability, and potential spiritual misalignment. Applying Leadership Continuity Theory in this setting allows for the intentional development of future leaders who are not only spiritually mature but also strategically equipped to navigate the complexities of church leadership. This project will explore how structured leadership development—grounded in biblical models, historical case studies, and management principles—can create a sustainable framework for leadership succession at TNMBC.

Additionally, this research seeks to answer three key questions. First, how does Leadership Continuity Theory apply to church succession planning? This chapter will explore how structured leadership development can be adapted to the cultural and spiritual contexts of the African American church, ensuring that leadership transitions do not disrupt the church's mission. Many churches depend on informal succession processes that frequently lead to internal conflict, loss of institutional knowledge, and reduced congregational trust. By employing Leadership Continuity Theory, this research will present a model that strengthens leadership transitions while maintaining organizational and spiritual stability.

Second, how do biblical, historical, and theological insights interact with Leadership Continuity Theory? This study will compare biblical leadership models, historical case studies, and theological principles with contemporary leadership theories to establish a practical and theologically sound approach to succession planning. Biblical foundations, such as Paul's directive to Titus (Ti. 1:4-5), Moses' transition to Joshua (Dt. 31:7-8), and Jesus' preparation of the disciples (Mt. 28:18-20), provide precedents for structured leadership transitions. Historically, Richard Allen and the founding of the

African Methodist Episcopal (AME) Church illustrate how structured leadership succession contributed to the sustainability of a faith-based institution. By integrating these perspectives with Leadership Continuity Theory, this research will demonstrate how biblical, historical, and theological foundations shape effective succession planning models.

Third, what new insights arise from research on leadership succession? This study aims to identify best practices for mentorship, leadership pipelines, and succession strategies that ensure long-term sustainability in church leadership. A key area of exploration will be how Leadership Continuity Theory can assist churches in creating intentional leadership pipelines that not only prepare future pastors but also cultivate leadership at all levels of church governance. Additionally, this research will investigate how structured leadership transitions influence congregational trust, organizational effectiveness, and long-term mission fulfillment.

Through the Integration Model, this study will demonstrate that faith and strategy are not mutually exclusive in leadership transitions. Instead, they complement one another in ensuring that succession planning is both spiritually faithful and organizationally effective. By leveraging insights from Management and Organizational Studies, biblical theology, historical leadership transitions, and ecclesiology, this project will develop a replicable leadership succession framework that can guide TNMBC and other faith-based institutions toward a more stable and mission-focused future.

Leadership succession is crucial for maintaining the integrity, effectiveness, and long-term sustainability of any organization, especially within the African American church context. Churches operate as both spiritual and organizational entities,

necessitating structured leadership transitions to prevent disruptions in mission fulfillment and congregational stability. However, TNMBC currently lacks a formal succession model for leadership, leaving it exposed to instability and mission drift. The lack of a structured leadership transition plan poses significant risks, including loss of institutional legacy, generational disengagement, spiritual misalignment, and organizational inefficiency. Without a deliberate framework for succession, TNMBC may encounter challenges that threaten its effectiveness and long-term viability.

TNMBC, like many African American churches, operates without a formal succession strategy for pastoral and key leadership roles. Traditionally, African American churches have depended on congregational decision-making, denominational oversight, or informal mentorship to manage leadership transitions. However, these approaches often lead to prolonged vacancies. internal conflicts, or abrupt leadership changes that disrupt the church's mission and effectiveness. William J. Rothwell argues that succession planning is essential for ensuring leadership continuity and stability, particularly in organizations that depend on strong relational and strategic leadership.[4] Without a structured leadership transition plan, churches risk experiencing unprepared successors, divided congregations, and a loss of institutional knowledge.

At TNMBC, the absence of a clearly defined leadership transition model creates an uncertain future for the church's next phase of leadership. The lack of preparation for emerging leaders compounds the issue, leaving the congregation without a clearly identified successor or leadership development pipeline. Research indicates that churches that fail to prepare for transitions in leadership often experience congregational decline,

[4] Rothwell, *Effective Succession Planning,* 36.

financial instability, and weakened community influence.[5] Additionally, without a formalized leadership pipeline, TNMBC is unable to adequately develop, mentor, and prepare future leaders, resulting in an over-reliance on the current leadership body, many of whom are aging and may soon transition out of their roles.

A study by Tony Morgan found that churches that do not invest in leadership development for younger generations tend to experience declining engagement and difficulty in leadership transitions.[6] Without a structured leadership pipeline, TNMBC risks losing valuable talent and potential future leaders to churches or organizations that are more intentional about mentorship and leadership inclusion. To prevent generational disengagement, TNMBC must establish a structured approach to succession that actively involves younger leaders in church governance, ministry leadership, and strategic decision-making.

A major concern during leadership transitions is the potential for spiritual misalignment. If the next generation of leaders does not fully understand or embrace TNMBC's theological convictions, core values, and mission, there is a risk that the church could drift away from its foundational principles. Leadership transitions that are not guided by biblical principles and institutional values often result in spiritual fragmentation, doctrinal inconsistencies, and congregational division.[7]

Additionally, the lack of a leadership succession model creates leadership gaps, making it difficult to maintain consistency in ministry operations. Without a well-

---

[5] Tony Morgan, *The Unstuck Church: Equipping Churches to Experience Sustained Health* (Nashville, TN: Thomas Nelson, 2017), 18.

[6] Morgan, *The Unstuck Church*, 24.

[7] Fredrick Siambi, "Succession Planning in the Church: The Need for a Structured Approach," *Journal of Religious Leadership* 19, no. 1 (2021): 23-48.

prepared and spiritually aligned leadership team, TNMBC may struggle to preserve its theological integrity and sustain effective ministry. Scholars in church leadership and pastoral transitions emphasize that succession planning must be both strategic and spiritual, ensuring that new leaders are prepared to uphold biblical teaching while effectively leading the congregation.[8]

Given these challenges, TNMBC must adopt a structured, intentional leadership succession model. The absence of a clear transition framework has left the church vulnerable to leadership instability, institutional decline, generational disengagement, and spiritual misalignment. Leadership succession is not merely an administrative concern but a spiritual responsibility, ensuring that the next generation of leaders is equipped, empowered, and spiritually aligned to lead the church forward.

Applying Leadership Continuity Theory to TNMBC's leadership succession will enable the church to create a structured framework for effective leadership transitions. The church needs to establish a leadership pipeline, implement mentorship programs, and outline clear succession guidelines to ensure a smooth transition in pastoral and leadership roles. By doing so, TNMBC can reduce disruptions, maintain its institutional legacy, and prepare the next generation of leaders to serve with spiritual conviction and organizational competence.

Biblical Foundation of Leadership Continuity Theory

Leadership continuity is a recurring theme in scripture, emphasizing the importance of intentional succession planning, mentorship, and organizational stability.

[8] Siambi, "Succession Planning in the Church: The Need for a Structured Approach," 23-48.

Throughout the biblical narrative, leadership transitions were not left to chance but were carefully orchestrated to ensure the continuation of God's mission. A foundational passage that exemplifies this principle is Titus 1:4-5, where Paul instructs Titus to appoint elders to bring order and stability to the churches in Crete. This directive highlights the necessity of structured leadership succession to maintain doctrinal integrity and organizational effectiveness. In examining leadership continuity, the sacred text provides critical insights into the significance of mentorship, spiritual preparation, and public affirmation in leadership transitions. The scriptural examples align with the principles of Leadership Continuity Theory, which underscores the need for proactive leadership development and succession planning to safeguard institutional and spiritual stability.

Paul's relationship with Titus serves as a powerful example of how biblical leadership succession is based on mentorship and intentional delegation. In Titus 1:4-5, Paul writes, "To Titus, my true child in a common faith: Grace and peace from God the Father and Christ Jesus our Savior. This is why I left you in Crete, so that you might put what remained into order, and appoint elders in every town as I directed you." The phrase "put what remained into order" implies that leadership transitions require strategic organization and continuity to ensure the health of the church. Paul does not merely instruct Titus to continue preaching the Gospel but commands him to establish a formal structure by appointing elders. This directive highlights the necessity of leadership succession as a means of preserving the mission of the church beyond any single leader's tenure. Kevin Giles notes that Paul's leadership model was deeply relational, rooted in the principle of training and commissioning capable leaders to ensure that the Gospel

mission remained strong even after his departure.[9] The process of appointing elders, therefore, was not simply administrative but a strategic method for ensuring leadership continuity in the Christian community.

Applying Leadership Continuity Theory to TNMBC's leadership succession challenges necessitates the development of a biblically informed framework that aligns theological principles with contemporary organizational strategies. The Apostle Paul's succession plan for Timothy, as outlined in 2 Timothy 2:2, provides a foundational model: "And what you have heard from me in the presence of many witnesses, entrust to faithful men who will be able to teach others also." This passage reveals a multi-generational leadership pipeline in which Timothy, having been mentored by Paul, is charged with training the next generation of leaders. Paul's approach to leadership development is consistent with contemporary best practices in succession planning, emphasizing intentional training, character development, and knowledge transfer. Kevin Giles argues that Paul's mentorship model ensures doctrinal and organizational continuity, preventing leadership vacuums that could destabilize church communities.[10] This principle can be directly applied to TNMBC, where the absence of a structured succession model poses a risk to the church's long-term stability. By developing a leadership training initiative modeled after Paul's strategy, TNMBC can create a sustainable leadership pipeline that prepares emerging leaders to assume pastoral and administrative roles.

---

[9] Kevin Giles, *Patterns of Ministry Among the First Christians* (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2017), 47.

[10] Giles, *Patterns of Ministry Among the First Christians*, 51.

Leadership Continuity Theory provides a structured approach to interpreting biblical leadership succession models. It demonstrates that the intentionality found in scripture can inform contemporary church practices. Paul and Titus' examples demonstrate that leadership transitions should be intentional, spiritually guided, and strategically planned. By incorporating these biblical principles into TNMBC's succession planning efforts, the church can ensure that future leadership transitions are marked by stability, spiritual vitality, and organizational strength.

Theological Foundation of Leadership Continuity Theory

The study of ecclesiology, which examines the nature, structure, and function of the church, offers a vital theological foundation for understanding leadership continuity. The church, as both a divine and human institution, requires effective and faithful leadership to uphold its mission and ensure its long-term vitality. Leadership succession in the church is not just an administrative necessity; it is a theological imperative rooted in the biblical understanding of stewardship, communal responsibility, and mission continuity. Leadership Continuity Theory, which highlights the importance of structured succession planning, aligns with ecclesiology by emphasizing the need for intentional leadership development within the church. The integration of theological principles with organizational strategies provides a model for succession that is both spiritually sound and practically effective for TNMBC.

The biblical depiction of the church as the Body of Christ in 1 Corinthians 12:12-27 underscores the necessity of leadership continuity for the health and function of the church. Paul's metaphor illustrates that the church operates as an interconnected system

where every member has a role, and leadership transitions must be managed with care to maintain unity and effectiveness. The ecclesiological principle that the church is a living organism, not just an institution, requires leadership succession to be approached as a process of nurturing and equipping leaders rather than simply replacing them. Richard P. McBrien argues that ecclesiology provides a framework for understanding leadership as a shared responsibility within the faith community, rather than as an individual-centered role.[11] This perspective highlights the importance of mentorship, preparation, and structured succession planning in maintaining the continuity of the church's mission and vision.

The doctrine of apostolic succession provides a historical precedent for structured leadership continuity in the church. While traditionally associated with episcopal church governance, the principle that leadership authority is passed down through intentional processes has broader implications for all church traditions. The appointment of elders and deacons in the early church, as recorded in Acts 14:23 and 1 Timothy 3:1-13, demonstrates that leadership was not left to happenstance but was carefully guided by spiritual discernment and communal affirmation. Avery Dulles argues that the church's authority and continuity are maintained through a balance of charismatic leadership and institutional stability, ensuring that transitions do not disrupt the church's identity and mission.[12] Applying this principle to TNMBC, leadership succession should not be reactionary but should involve ongoing preparation and confirmation of emerging leaders to sustain the church's doctrinal and organizational integrity.

---

[11] Richard P. McBrien, *Catholicism* (New York, NY: HarperOne, 1994), 103.

[12] Avery Dulles, *Models of the Church* (New York, NY: Doubleday, 2002), 211.

Ecclesiology also highlights the importance of tradition and innovation in leadership continuity. While the church must remain faithful to its theological foundations, it must also adapt to changing contexts. The tension between maintaining historical faithfulness and responding to contemporary challenges is particularly relevant in succession planning. Jürgen Moltmann argues that the church must be both rooted in tradition and open to the future, balancing continuity with renewal.[13] This insight suggests that TNMBC's succession plan should not merely replicate past leadership models but should also incorporate strategies that address contemporary challenges, such as generational shifts, cultural changes, and technological advancements in ministry. The integration of Leadership Continuity Theory with ecclesiology ensures that succession planning is not only structurally sound but also spiritually attuned to the evolving needs of the church.

One of the major risks in leadership succession is the potential for theological drift or mission misalignment. Ecclesiology emphasizes that the church's leadership must be grounded in sound doctrine and communal discernment. Paul's warning to Timothy in 2 Timothy 4:3-5 about leaders who deviate from sound teaching underscores the importance of continuity in theological convictions. Kevin Vanhoozer argues that theological integrity must be maintained across leadership transitions, requiring deliberate efforts to instill doctrinal foundations in emerging leaders.[14] For TNMBC, this means that succession planning must include not only administrative preparation but also

---

[13] Jürgen Moltmann, *The Church in the Power of the Spirit: A Contribution to Messianic Ecclesiology* (Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 1993), 314.

[14] Kevin J. Vanhoozer, *Faith Speaking Understanding: Performing the Drama of Doctrine* (Louisville, KY: Westminster John Knox Press, 2014), 97.

theological training and spiritual formation to ensure that future leaders uphold the church's biblical convictions and values.

The sacraments of the church, particularly ordination, play a role in leadership continuity by formally recognizing and commissioning leaders. The laying on of hands in Acts 6:6 and 1 Timothy 4:14 signifies the communal affirmation of leadership roles, reinforcing the idea that succession is not an individual decision but a corporate discernment process. L. Gregory Jones highlights that ordination represents a covenant between the leader, the church, and God, providing a theological basis for structured leadership transitions.[15] TNMBC can incorporate this principle by developing a formalized process of leadership commissioning, ensuring that transitions are recognized as both a spiritual calling and an organizational responsibility.

Ecclesiological principles offer a comprehensive foundation for leadership continuity, aligning with the structured approach advocated by Leadership Continuity Theory. By integrating these insights, TNMBC can develop a succession model that is biblically faithful, theologically grounded, and organizationally effective. Leadership succession must be viewed not as an isolated administrative task but as an ongoing ecclesial responsibility that ensures the church remains a faithful witness to the Gospel across generations.

Historical Foundation of Leadership Continuity Theory

The historical trajectory of African American religious leadership provides invaluable insights into the necessity of structured leadership continuity. One of the most

[15] L. Gregory Jones, *Embodying Forgiveness: A Theological Analysis* (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1995), 183.

significant examples of leadership succession in African American church history is Richard Allen's founding of the African Methodist Episcopal (AME) Church in 1816. Allen's leadership, organizational strategies, and commitment to succession planning provide a historical model that aligns with Leadership Continuity Theory, emphasizing the necessity of structured transitions and institutional sustainability. The integration of Allen's historical contributions with the principles of Leadership Continuity Theory allows TNMBC to draw upon a rich legacy of strategic church leadership while shaping a succession model that aligns with both historical precedent and contemporary needs.

One of the key aspects of Allen's leadership was his insistence on theological education and mentorship as essential components of church succession. He recognized that leadership continuity required more than just appointing successors; it demanded the intentional cultivation of spiritually mature, theologically competent, and organizationally skilled leaders. Allen established a system in which clergy were trained in biblical doctrine, pastoral leadership, and church governance. This model aligns closely with Leadership Continuity Theory, which emphasizes progressive leadership development through structured mentorship and skill-building. As W.E.B. Du Bois observed, Allen's ability to train and elevate leaders within the AME Church not only ensured its longevity but also solidified its role as a pillar of the African American community.[16] This historical precedent offers TNMBC a compelling example of how leadership succession, when guided by intentional preparation, fosters long-term institutional stability.

---

[16] W. E. B. Du Bois, *The Souls of Black Folk* (Chicago, IL: A.C. McClurg and Company, 1903), 131.

The founding of the AME Church also illustrates the importance of governance structures in leadership continuity. Allen recognized that an informal leadership transition process was insufficient for sustaining a denomination. He implemented a structured system of church governance that included an episcopal leadership model, annual conferences, and a Book of Discipline to guide clergy and laity. This approach provided clarity regarding leadership transitions, ministerial responsibilities, and theological alignment. The AME Church's governance system served as a safeguard against theological drift and mission misalignment, concerns that TNMBC must also address in its own leadership succession planning. Evelyn Brooks Higginbotham highlights that Allen's organizational genius was in establishing an ecclesiastical framework that balanced spiritual fervor with administrative order, ensuring that leadership transitions did not lead to instability.[17] Applying this model to TNMBC suggests that effective succession planning must include clear policies and guidelines for leadership selection, mentorship, and continuity.

Another critical element of Allen's legacy is his commitment to community engagement as a leadership succession strategy. Allen understood that a church's influence extends beyond its internal structures; its ability to remain relevant depends on its engagement with the broader community. He actively participated in abolitionist movements, founded mutual aid societies, and advocated for the social and economic uplift of African Americans. His model of leadership continuity involved preparing leaders who were not only pastors but also public figures, educators, and social reformers. As James Cone argues, Allen's leadership extended beyond the pulpit to shape

[17] Evelyn Brooks Higginbotham, *Righteous Discontent: The Women's Movement in the Black Baptist Church, 1880-1920* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1993), 47.

the sociopolitical landscape of his time.[18] This integration of church leadership with community activism suggests that TNMBC's leadership succession plan should include training that prepares future leaders to engage with contemporary social challenges while maintaining the church's theological integrity.

The AME Church's early struggles with leadership transitions also provide a cautionary lesson. While Allen successfully established a leadership succession framework, later transitions were marked by internal conflicts over governance and doctrinal direction. The church's rapid expansion led to disagreements over how leaders should be chosen, trained, and deployed. Some factions resisted centralized authority, while others advocated for stricter oversight. This historical example highlights the necessity of balancing flexibility with structured succession planning. As Richard Newman observes, Allen's legacy was preserved because his foundational principles of structured leadership training, theological education, and organizational governance were upheld despite challenges.[19] For TNMBC, this underscores the importance of developing a leadership succession model that is adaptable yet firmly rooted in biblical and organizational principles.

Allen's leadership succession strategy also reflected a deep commitment to intergenerational mentorship. He recognized that the future of the AME Church depended on cultivating young leaders who could carry forward its mission. He established a culture in which experienced clergy mentored younger ministers, ensuring that the church's leadership pipeline remained strong. This aligns with Leadership Continuity

---

[18] James H. Cone, *Black Theology and Black Power* (Maryknoll, NY: Orbis Books, 1997), 111.

[19] Newman, *Freedom's Prophet*, 224.

Theory, which posits that succession planning should be a continuous process rather than a reactionary measure taken when a leader departs. As Cheryl Sanders notes, the AME Church's early success was largely due to its ability to integrate younger leaders into meaningful ministry roles while maintaining a clear theological and organizational identity.[20] For TNMBC, this historical precedent affirms the need for an intentional mentorship program that fosters leadership development at every level of the church.

The story of Richard Allen and the founding of the AME Church serves as a historical blueprint for TNMBC as it strives to implement a structured leadership succession model. Allen's focus on theological education, governance structures, community engagement, and mentorship provides valuable insights into how leadership continuity can be effectively managed within a church context. His model illustrates that leadership transitions should not be left to chance but must be guided by intentional planning and strategic investment in emerging leaders. By integrating the historical lessons of Allen's leadership with the principles of Leadership Continuity Theory, TNMBC can create a sustainable succession framework that ensures the church's long-term effectiveness and fidelity to its mission.

Research Integration of Leadership Continuity Theory

Leadership Continuity Theory offers a structured framework for understanding how organizations can cultivate sustainable leadership pipelines to ensure seamless transitions and uphold institutional stability. This theory, rooted in Management and Organizational Studies, is especially applicable to TNMBC as it aims to implement a

[20] Cheryl J. Sanders, *Ministry at the Margins: The Prophetic Mission of Women, Youth, and the Poor* (Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 1997), 71.

succession model of leadership. By merging Leadership Continuity Theory with biblical, historical, and theological perspectives, this research creates a comprehensive approach to succession planning that balances spiritual integrity with organizational effectiveness. The Integration Model facilitates a holistic engagement with multiple disciplines, guaranteeing that the research does not isolate leadership succession as merely an administrative issue but instead acknowledges its theological, historical, and practical implications.

Leadership Continuity Theory emphasizes proactive succession planning, leadership development, and institutional preparedness. By applying these principles to TNMBC's leadership transition, this research challenges the common assumption that pastoral succession should be managed informally or reactively. The theory argues that organizations must identify potential leaders early, provide them with the necessary training and mentorship, and establish clear pathways for leadership transition. This model aligns with biblical examples of leadership succession, such as Paul's instructions to Titus, reinforcing the idea that leadership development should be an intentional and structured process. Richard Rothwell highlights the necessity of preparing leaders in advance to avoid disruptions and maintain organizational stability.[21] This insight informs the research by demonstrating that TNMBC must not wait until a leadership crisis occurs before engaging in succession planning but should instead cultivate a sustainable pipeline of emerging leaders.

The application of Leadership Continuity Theory to biblical foundations provides a framework for interpreting succession narratives in scripture through the lens of

---

[21] Rothwell, *Effective Succession Planning,* 56.

strategic leadership development. The Apostle Paul's mentorship of Titus and Timothy, for example, can be analyzed in terms of structured leadership succession. Paul intentionally invested in these leaders, ensuring that they were prepared doctrinally and practically to guide the churches under their care. This aligns with the theory's emphasis on leadership development as an ongoing process rather than a last-minute response to a vacancy. Christopher Beeley argues that the early church's leadership models were shaped by deliberate mentoring structures, reinforcing the need for modern churches to adopt similar practices. By integrating these insights, this research establishes a theological foundation for leadership continuity that is both biblically sound and organizationally strategic.[22]

Leadership Continuity Theory also interacts with ecclesiology by emphasizing the necessity of maintaining the church's doctrinal and institutional identity during leadership transitions. One of the risks associated with unstructured succession is the potential for mission drift or theological inconsistency. When a church does not have a clear succession model, new leaders may introduce significant doctrinal or organizational shifts that alter the church's original vision. Ecclesiology, as a theological discipline, underscores the need for continuity in leadership to preserve the mission and identity of the church. Kevin Vanhoozer argues that the church must be intentional in forming leaders who embody its theological convictions and ecclesiastical traditions.[23] This research integrates these perspectives by demonstrating that TNMBC must establish

---

[22] Christopher A. Beeley, *Leading God's People: Wisdom from the Early Church for Today* (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2012), 74.

[23] Vanhoozer, *Faith Speaking Understanding*, 103.

succession guidelines that prioritize theological consistency and spiritual integrity, ensuring that new leaders uphold the church's core values and mission.

The historical case study of Richard Allen and the founding of the African Methodist Episcopal (AME) Church further illustrates how Leadership Continuity Theory shapes research on church succession. Allen's leadership transition from a lay preacher to the founder of an independent denomination was not a spontaneous event but a strategic process that involved careful planning, mentorship, and structural organization.[24] The AME Church's early leadership development strategies mirrored key principles of Leadership Continuity Theory by emphasizing training, governance structures, and institutional stability. Evelyn Brooks Higginbotham highlights how Allen's leadership model ensured the sustainability of the AME Church beyond his tenure, reinforcing the need for succession planning in African American congregations.[25] This historical precedent provides TNMBC with a model for designing a leadership continuity framework that is rooted in both historical wisdom and contemporary organizational theory.

One of the critical insights gained through the integration of Leadership Continuity Theory is the recognition that leadership succession is not simply about selecting a new pastor but about creating a sustainable leadership culture. Many churches, including TNMBC, struggle with leadership transitions because they view succession as an event rather than a process. However, Leadership Continuity Theory advocates for a long-term approach in which emerging leaders are continually identified, trained, and

---

[24] Dickerson, *The African Methodist Episcopal Church,* 215.

[25] Higginbotham, *Righteous Discontent*, 48.

mentored. This research demonstrates that TNMBC must shift from a crisis-driven model of succession to a proactive strategy that nurtures leaders at all levels of the church. Jay Conger and Ronald Riggio emphasize that organizations with strong leadership pipelines are more resilient and adaptable during transitions.[26] This insight shapes the research by affirming that TNMBC's leadership succession plan must include ongoing mentorship programs, leadership training initiatives, and institutional policies that promote long-term stability.

Another insight gained from integrating Leadership Continuity Theory is the importance of community engagement in leadership succession. Church leadership does not exist in isolation; it must be responsive to the needs and concerns of the congregation. Many leadership transitions fail because they do not adequately involve the church community in the process. Leadership Continuity Theory suggests that successful transitions occur when organizations cultivate a sense of shared leadership, where members understand and support the succession plan. Cheryl Sanders highlights that African American churches have historically relied on communal decision-making processes in leadership transitions, ensuring that congregants feel invested in the leadership change.[27] This research applies this principle by advocating for a succession model at TNMBC that includes congregational input, transparent communication, and opportunities for emerging leaders to build trust with the church community before stepping into senior leadership roles.

---

[26] Jay A. Conger and Ronald E. Riggio, *The Practice of Leadership: Developing the Next Generation of Leaders* (San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass, 2007), 78.

[27] Sanders, *Ministry at the Margins,* 61.

The integration of Leadership Continuity Theory with biblical, ecclesiological, and historical perspectives significantly shapes this research by providing a structured framework for understanding and addressing TNMBC's leadership succession challenges. The theory reinforces the importance of proactive leadership development, theological consistency, and community involvement in succession planning. It also highlights the risks associated with unstructured transitions, demonstrating that a lack of preparation can lead to organizational instability and mission drift. By applying these insights, this research offers TNMBC a model for leadership continuity that is both theologically informed and organizationally sound.

Through this interdisciplinary approach, the research moves beyond a narrow administrative perspective on succession planning to embrace a holistic vision that integrates biblical, historical, and theological insights with contemporary leadership strategies. The findings demonstrate that TNMBC must move toward a structured succession plan that includes mentorship, theological education, and congregational engagement. The goal is to ensure that TNMBC's leadership transitions preserve the church's integrity and effectiveness, creating a model that can serve as a template for other churches facing similar challenges.

Conclusion

The integration of Leadership Continuity Theory with biblical, historical, and theological perspectives provides a comprehensive framework for addressing TNMBC's leadership succession challenges. This research demonstrates that leadership continuity is not merely an administrative concern but a theological and organizational imperative that

requires planning, mentorship, and structural development. By applying the Integration Model, this study bridges the gap between theory and practice, ensuring that TNMBC's leadership transitions uphold the church's mission, integrity, and effectiveness.

Leadership Continuity Theory offers a structured approach to succession planning that aligns with biblical models of leadership development, such as Paul's mentorship of Timothy and Titus. Scripture consistently emphasizes the necessity of training future leaders, not only to maintain doctrinal integrity but also to ensure the stability of the church. This research has shown that TNMBC must embrace a proactive approach to leadership development that mirrors these biblical principles, cultivating a sustainable leadership pipeline that prepares emerging leaders for ministry. Richard Rothwell underscores that effective succession planning requires organizations to identify, train, and position future leaders well in advance of a leadership transition.[28] This insight reinforces the need for TNMBC to implement a structured leadership pipeline that minimizes disruption and strengthens continuity.

The study of ecclesiology further enriches this research by demonstrating that leadership succession is deeply connected to the identity and mission of the church. Ecclesiology emphasizes the communal nature of leadership, highlighting the importance of shared governance, accountability, and theological continuity. Kevin Vanhoozer argues that church leadership is not just about administration but about faithfully stewarding the church's theological and spiritual heritage.[29] The Integration Model allows these ecclesiological insights to inform the application of Leadership Continuity

---

[28] Rothwell, *Effective Succession Planning*, 148.

[29] Vanhoozer, *Faith Speaking Understanding*, 82.

Theory, ensuring that TNMBC's succession model remains rooted in biblical fidelity and congregational participation. This research highlights the necessity of an intentional succession plan that reflects the church's theological commitments while also equipping leaders with the administrative and organizational skills necessary for effective ministry.

The historical case study of Richard Allen and the founding of the AME Church further validates the importance of structured leadership succession within African American congregations. Allen's leadership transition was not accidental but the result of strategic planning, mentorship, and institutional development. Evelyn Brooks Higginbotham highlights how Allen's approach to leadership ensured the AME Church's sustainability beyond his tenure, providing a model for modern churches seeking to implement effective succession strategies. By integrating these historical insights with the Leadership Continuity Theory, this research demonstrates that TNMBC must intentionally develop its next generation of leaders through mentorship, training, and structured leadership pathways.

A key insight from this study is that leadership succession must be viewed as an ongoing process rather than a singular event. Many churches, including TNMBC, have historically approached leadership transitions reactively, often waiting until a crisis arises before addressing succession. Leadership Continuity Theory challenges this reactive approach by advocating for continuous leadership development and preparedness. Jay Conger and Ronald Riggio emphasize that organizations with strong leadership pipelines are more resilient and better equipped to navigate transitions. This research underscores the importance of TNMBC shifting from an ad hoc approach to a long-term,

strategic succession model that ensures the church remains strong and missionally effective across generations.

Another critical finding is the role of community engagement in leadership succession. Churches that fail to involve their congregations in the succession process often face division, distrust, and instability. Leadership Continuity Theory emphasizes the importance of transparency and participatory leadership, ensuring that succession planning is a collaborative effort rather than a top-down directive. Cheryl Sanders contends that African American churches have traditionally relied on communal decision-making during leadership transitions, nurturing a sense of shared ownership and investment in the church's future. This study confirms that TNMBC must foster a leadership culture that is inclusive, participatory, and reflective of the congregation's spiritual and organizational needs.

This research contributes to the broader conversation about leadership succession in the church by presenting a model that integrates theological, historical, and organizational insights. The findings reveal that TNMBC must adopt a leadership succession model that emphasizes theological integrity, strategic planning, and community engagement. Leadership transitions should be seen not as moments of crisis but as opportunities for renewal and continuous growth. By implementing a structured, biblically based approach to succession, TNMBC can ensure its leadership remains strong, its mission endures, and its congregation thrives for generations to come.

As TNMBC prepares for its next leadership transition, it must recognize that the church's future relies on its commitment to cultivating and preparing leaders today. Leadership Continuity Theory provides a strategic framework, scripture offers a

theological foundation, ecclesiology defines the communal responsibility, and history establishes the precedent. Through the integration of these disciplines, TNMBC could develop a model of leadership succession that not only strengthens its own congregation but also serves as a guide for other churches facing similar challenges. The call to faithful leadership stewardship demands intentional preparation, spiritual discernment, and a commitment to sustaining the work of the Gospel beyond any single leader's tenure.

CHAPTER SIX

PROJECT ANALYSIS

Introduction

The journey toward completing this Doctor of Ministry (DMin) project has been one of deep reflection, integration, and transformation. What began as an exploration of personal calling and congregational need has evolved into a comprehensive ministry project that addresses one of the most critical issues facing many churches today—leadership succession. The development of a strategic leadership succession model for The New Macedonia Baptist Church (TNMBC) is not merely an administrative exercise but the culmination of years of pastoral experience, theological reflection, and academic formation. This final project stands as both a personal and institutional testimony of how intentional leadership development, grounded in biblical principles and informed by historical, theological, and interdisciplinary insight, can secure the future vitality of the church.

Throughout my ministerial journey, I have witnessed firsthand the complexities and vulnerabilities that accompany leadership transitions within the African American church. My early experiences as a successor to a long-tenured pastor impressed upon me the weight of responsibility that comes with a congregation lacking a clearly defined transition plan. The absence of structure often leads to confusion, emotional strain, and even division, yet these moments also present an opportunity for renewal when

approached with wisdom and faith. The Synergy Chapter concluded with a call to address this need at TNMBC by creating a biblically-grounded, strategically sound succession model—one that would not only respond to the church's present challenges but also prepare it for a sustainable future. That conclusion laid the foundation for this final project, connecting my personal pastoral journey to the institutional realities of a historic congregation seeking to honor its past while preparing for its future.

The Integration Chapter expanded upon this vision by demonstrating how the biblical, historical, theological, and interdisciplinary foundations of the DMin program converge in the design of this project. Each foundation has contributed a vital dimension to the understanding of leadership succession as both a spiritual mandate and a practical necessity. The biblical foundations affirm that leadership transitions must be intentional, guided by scriptural wisdom and spiritual formation. The historical foundations situate the project within the broader context of the African American Church, highlighting lessons from structured leadership models such as those developed by Richard Allen and the African Methodist Episcopal Church. The theological foundations, grounded in ecclesiology, emphasize that leadership is a sacred trust—an act of stewardship that safeguards both doctrine and community. Finally, the interdisciplinary foundations apply insights from Organizational Leadership Theory, particularly the Leadership Continuity Theory, to ensure the succession process is systematic, sustainable, and replicable.

Together, these foundations reveal that leadership succession is not a single event but a continual process of preparing faithful leaders to carry forward the Church's mission. This understanding reflects Paul's exhortation in Titus 1:5: "This is why I left you in Crete, so that you might put what remained into order, and appoint elders in every

town as I directed you." Leadership succession, in its truest biblical sense, is about entrusting the future of the faith community to capable hands—leaders who are both spiritually mature and strategically equipped. My DMin journey has reinforced this conviction, providing the theological grounding, historical awareness, and practical skills necessary to translate that biblical vision into a sustainable model for TNMBC.

The Synergy Chapter identified TNMBC's current context as marked by aging leadership, loss of institutional legacy, potential spiritual misalignment, and intergenerational disconnection. Each of these challenges underscores the urgent need for a succession model that is not reactive but proactive, and not personality-driven but principle-driven. During six decades of ministry, TNMBC has remained steadfast in its mission of faith, service, and community engagement. Yet, as the paper emphasized, the absence of a formal succession framework poses a risk to that legacy. The work of this DMin project seeks to bridge that gap, ensuring that the church's spiritual vitality and mission continuity are preserved for generations to come.

In developing this project, my ministerial experiences served as the living laboratory where theological reflection meets practical application. As senior pastor of TNMBC for more than two decades, I have cultivated a leadership philosophy shaped by mentorship, administration, and community engagement. My approach to ministry has always emphasized the importance of raising capable successors—not to replace but to reproduce leadership capacity. This conviction has been strengthened through my DMin studies, where biblical case studies, theological frameworks, and leadership theories have converged to affirm that succession is both a divine calling and a strategic responsibility. Each course, reading, and research engagement throughout the program has deepened my

understanding that leadership transitions must be grounded in prayer, guided by scripture, and supported by structure.

The biblical foundations of this project rest upon scriptural examples of faithful succession—from Moses to Joshua, Elijah to Elisha, and Paul to Timothy. These narratives reveal a consistent pattern of intentional preparation, mentorship, and spiritual commissioning. They also underscore the danger of neglecting succession, as seen in the leadership crises that followed when transitions were ignored or mishandled. These scriptural precedents have guided the theological underpinnings of my project, affirming that succession planning is not optional but essential for the ongoing health of God's people. In this way, my study of scripture has moved beyond exegesis to praxis, shaping the concrete structures and seminar framework proposed in this project.

Historically, the African American Church provides rich yet complex lessons on leadership continuity. The Integration Chapter highlighted how figures such as Richard Allen modeled sustainable leadership development within the AME Church, blending spiritual mentorship with administrative structure. His recognition that charisma alone could not sustain ministry longevity mirrors the challenges facing many modern congregations. This historical awareness has informed my project's emphasis on institutional structure, formal mentorship, and theological education as key components of a succession model tailored for TNMBC. It also situates the project within the ongoing narrative of Black ecclesial resilience, where faith communities have historically navigated transition through both divine dependence and disciplined preparation.

Theologically, this project has been shaped by an understanding of ecclesiology that views leadership as stewardship rather than ownership. The Church does not belong

to any one leader but to Christ, who calls and equips individuals to serve in His body. This perspective reframes succession as an act of faithful entrustment, ensuring that leadership transitions are guided by spiritual discernment and communal accountability. The theological foundations thus anchor the project in a vision of shared leadership that reflects the early Church's model of plurality, service, and mission-centered governance. As such, TNMBC's succession model seeks not to perpetuate personality-driven leadership but to cultivate a collaborative and Spirit-led leadership culture.

The interdisciplinary foundations add a critical strategic dimension, ensuring that theological vision translates into sustainable practice. Insights from Leadership Continuity Theory and organizational studies have been instrumental in structuring the six-week leadership succession seminar at TNMBC. This framework emphasizes identifying and developing internal leaders to maintain organizational consistency—principles that align with biblical mentorship models while addressing the administrative realities of the contemporary church. The fusion of theological conviction and organizational strategy ensures that TNMBC's succession plan will not only be spiritually grounded but also operationally viable.

This final project represents the culmination of my DMin journey—a journey that has brought together calling, context, and conviction. It embodies the essence of what the program has sought to instill: the ability to integrate faith and practice, to think theologically while leading strategically, and to serve God's people with wisdom rooted in scripture and insight drawn from scholarship. The development of TNMBC's succession model is more than an academic requirement; it is an expression of

pastoral responsibility, a contribution to the wider church, and a legacy of faithful leadership stewardship.

As I move into the concluding phase of this work, I recognize that the task of succession is ongoing. The lessons learned throughout my DMin studies—biblical fidelity, historical awareness, theological integrity, and interdisciplinary application—will continue to shape my ministry long after this project's completion. My prayer is that TNMBC and other congregations like it will embrace succession planning as both a spiritual discipline and a strategic necessity. By doing so, the church ensures that its mission does not end with one generation but endures through many for the glory of God.

Methodology

The methodological framework for this Doctor of Ministry project was developed to address the urgent need for a structured leadership succession model within The New Macedonia Baptist Church (TNMBC). Leadership transitions in the African American Church often unfold informally and reactively. Through this project, I sought to create a replicable, biblically grounded process responsive to TNMBC's unique congregational realities. To accomplish this, the methodology employed a qualitative design, incorporating focus group teaching sessions, pre- and post-session interviews, and participant questionnaires. This approach allowed for a deep exploration of lived experiences, theological reflections, and the relational dynamics essential to leadership succession in a congregational setting.

A qualitative framework was intentionally selected because it engages participants in reflective and participatory learning. While quantitative methods can offer

valuable measurement, they are less suited to capturing the theological, emotional, and relational nuances of leadership in a local church context. The objective of this project was not statistical generalization but contextual understanding. A qualitative design, therefore, provided the most fitting lens for examining how members of TNMBC perceive, experience, and prepare for leadership succession.

This design also reflects the theological conviction that ministry is relational and incarnational. Leadership transitions cannot be reduced to administrative procedures. It requires prayerful discernment, open conversation, and communal wisdom. The methodology mirrors the biblical paradigm of discipleship in which Jesus formed future leaders through teaching, dialogue, and shared experience (Mk. 3:13-15). It also aligns with Paul's mentorship of Timothy and Titus, whose formation developed through a partnership in ministry (2 Tm. 2:2; Ti. 1:4-5). Thus, the research process functioned as both a theological act of formation and an academic process of inquiry, embodying the DMin program's integration of praxis and reflection.

The study was conducted at The New Macedonia Baptist Church, a historically African American congregation in Washington, D.C., whose membership spans several generations. Although the church has experienced significant growth and transformation under the guidance of its current leadership, it faces the reality of an aging leadership core and the lack of a formal succession plan. TNMBC's rich heritage, intergenerational ties, and community presence made it a compelling context for examining succession as both a spiritual and organizational matter.

The project unfolded over six weeks during the summer of 2025. This period was selected because the church calendar enabled greater participation and deeper

engagement. Sessions were held on Sunday afternoons in the church's conference room, a familiar and accessible space that supported open dialogue, collaboration, and prayerful reflection. This environment was consistent with the project's spiritual and educational goals.

Participants were selected through purposeful sampling to reflect the church's key leadership demographics. The group led by the senior pastor included an associate pastor, four members of the diaconate, and three emerging leaders from each adult generation. This intentional diversity provided a cross-generational and cross-functional perspective, essential for understanding succession needs across the church. The selection process echoed the scriptural principle of shared leadership (Acts 6:3–6; Eph. 4:11–13). Participation was voluntary, and informed consent was obtained from all participants to ensure confidentiality and respect for personal reflection.

Data was collected using three qualitative tools: focus group teaching sessions, semi-structured interviews, and pre- and post-session questionnaires. Each instrument was designed to illuminate different dimensions of the participants' experiences and reflections.

The six-week seminar series served as the core of data collection. Each 90-minute session included biblical instruction, structured discussion, and collaborative exercises. The sequence followed the project's proposed outline: Week One: Introduction and Project Overview, Week Two: Biblical Foundations of Succession, Week Three: Historical Foundations (Richard Allen and the AME Church), Week Four: Theological Foundations (Ecclesiology and Shared Leadership), Week Five: Interdisciplinary

Foundations (Organizational Leadership and Pipeline Theory), and Week Six: Application and Implementation for TNMBC.

These sessions functioned as both learning environments and research settings. Participants engaged in theological reflection, group discussions, and congregational assessment. Notes, recorded comments, and participant reflections became central sources of qualitative data.

Semi-structured interviews were conducted before and after the seminar series. Pre-session interviews examined participants' initial understanding of succession, their perceptions of TNMBC's leadership structure, and their readiness for transition. Post-session interviews explored shifts in knowledge, key insights, and potential next steps for the church. The semi-structured format allowed for flexibility while ensuring consistency across themes. These interviews provided insight into both personal and collective transformation.

Questionnaires administered before and after the sessions offered additional written data for comparison and thematic analysis. Including both Likert-scale and open-ended items, the questionnaires assessed participants' confidence in succession planning, understanding of biblical leadership models, and awareness of intergenerational dynamics. Participants were also encouraged to keep reflection journals to document insights, scriptural connections, and emotional responses during the process.

Data analysis followed a thematic approach that emphasized recurring patterns, theological insights, and leadership implications. Interview transcripts, questionnaire responses, and focus group summaries were manually coded to identify categories such as mentorship, leadership continuity, generational collaboration, and spiritual

discernment. These themes were then evaluated alongside the project's biblical, historical, theological, and interdisciplinary foundations. For example, the theme of mentorship was interpreted considering Paul's instruction to Timothy and Richard Allen's leadership development practices in the early AME Church. Interpretation extended beyond description to include spiritual meaning and participants' sense of God's guidance throughout the process.

To ensure academic rigor, the study adhered to the principles of credibility, dependability, and triangulation commonly used in qualitative inquiry. Data from focus groups, interviews, and questionnaires were compared to confirm consistency. Participant feedback was reviewed regularly to ensure accurate representation, and consultation with professional colleagues provided additional validation.

At the same time, spiritual relevance was preserved through ongoing theological reflection and prayer. Each session opened and closed with corporate prayer, acknowledging the Holy Spirit's role in guiding discernment. The research thus operated as both an empirical study and an ecclesial practice, integrating theological insight with practical leadership application. This balance reflects the dual aims of the Doctor of Ministry degree: academic excellence and ministerial transformation.

All participants were informed of the study's purpose, voluntary nature, and privacy safeguards. No identifying information appeared in reports or analyses. Ethical guidelines for research involving human participants were observed, emphasizing informed consent, respect, and transparency. The collaborative and reflective nature of the sessions fostered a sense of shared ownership consistent with TNMBC's covenantal values.

This methodology was designed to engage The New Macedonia Baptist Church in a spiritually formative and academically robust process of leadership discovery. By combining focus group learning, interviews, and reflective analysis, the study not only generated meaningful data but also shaped disciples and emerging leaders. The qualitative approach honored the depth of human experience, allowing theological reflection and congregational insight to inform the succession model that emerged.

This methodology reflects the integration of faith, scholarship, and practice that defines the Doctor of Ministry program. It ensured that the project did more than analyze leadership succession. It embodied it, modeling a process through which the church can continue to identify, equip, and empower future generations to lead with wisdom, integrity, and faithfulness. Through this research, TNMBC is better positioned to cultivate a sustainable culture of succession that will strengthen its mission for years to come.

Implementation

The implementation of this Doctor of Ministry project marked the practical expression of the research design developed in the proposal and methodology phases. After establishing a biblically grounded, historically informed, and theologically integrated foundation for leadership succession, the next step was to bring these elements to life within The New Macedonia Baptist Church (TNMBC). The intention was not simply to conduct research but to guide a formative process in which current and emerging leaders could reflect theologically, learn collaboratively, and envision a sustainable future of succession for the congregation.

The project took place over six weeks during the summer of 2025, following Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval and in alignment with the timeline outlined in the Candidacy Review Packet. Implementation unfolded through several interconnected phases: preparation, participant selection and orientation, execution of six focus group teaching sessions, data collection through interviews and questionnaires, ongoing reflection, and final synthesis. Each phase supported the overarching goal of developing a contextually relevant, spiritually grounded succession model that would strengthen TNMBC's continuity, stewardship, and long-term mission.

The first phase, initiated in early June 2025, focused on logistical coordination and communication with the research team. After securing IRB approval, consent forms, confidentiality agreements, and participant instructions were distributed both digitally and in print. Participants received clear explanations regarding the purpose of the study, the voluntary nature of their involvement, and the measures taken to protect confidentiality and data integrity.

Preparatory meetings were held with two professional associates—a pastor and an educator—to refine session materials, confirm instructional strategies, and review data collection tools. Adjustments were made to ensure cultural sensitivity, multi-generational accessibility, and accommodation for participants with unique needs.

The conference room at TNMBC was chosen as the primary meeting space due to its familiarity and symbolic role as a site of communal learning. The room was arranged intentionally with chairs in a circle to encourage open dialogue and a sense of equality.

The second phase focused on selecting and orienting participants. Consistent with the project proposal, participants were chosen through purposeful sampling to represent

TNMBC's leadership spectrum, including associate ministers, deacons, trustees, ministry leaders, and emerging lay leaders from multiple generations.

Eight participants were confirmed. Each brought diverse experiences, perspectives, and levels of engagement with the church's leadership culture. The intergenerational composition of the group was essential, allowing for dialogue across age, experience, and ministry roles.

During the orientation session, participants were introduced to the six-week schedule, learning objectives, and expectations for engagement. A brief overview of biblical and organizational perspectives on succession planning was provided. Participants completed a pre-session questionnaire to establish a baseline for their initial perceptions of leadership succession.

The six focus group sessions formed the heart of the project. Each 90-minute gathering followed a consistent rhythm: an opening prayer and devotional reflection, a teaching presentation, a guided discussion, and a closing prayer. This structure ensured that learning was both reflective and participatory.

Week One – June 22, 2025: Introduction and Project Overview

The opening session introduced the theological and practical rationale for a succession-based leadership model. Participants examined biblical models such as Moses and Joshua, and Paul and Timothy. They discussed TNMBC's past transitions and acknowledged both gratitude for past leadership and concerns about the future. This conversation set a tone of honesty and mutual trust.

Week Two – June 29, 2025: Succession Models in Scripture

Focusing on Titus 1:4-5, this session explored biblical patterns of mentorship, spiritual formation, and communal affirmation. Participants identified the essential qualities of a faithful successor and discussed how TNMBC might formalize mentorship structures that echo these biblical models.

Week Three – July 6, 2025: Historical Foundations and the African American Church Tradition

Drawing on research about Richard Allen and the AME Church, this session traced the importance of structured mentorship and governance in sustaining church vitality. Participants reflected on how leadership in their own experience had often been "caught, not taught," highlighting the need for intentional training.

Week Four – July 13, 2025: Theological Foundation and Ecclesiology

This session examined ecclesiology and the theological rationale for succession. Participants considered the church as both a spiritual body and an organizational entity, discussing stewardship, accountability, and plurality in leadership. Succession was reframed as an act of faithful entrustment rather than a transfer of ownership.

Week Five – July 20, 2025: Interdisciplinary Foundation and Leadership Continuity Theory

This session introduced practical frameworks from Leadership Continuity Theory, which focuses on identifying and developing internal leaders to maintain organizational

consistency. Participants worked through developmental stages, mentorship pathways, and readiness indicators. Mapping TNMBC's leadership structure sparked lively discussion about gaps and opportunities to institutionalize leadership training.

Week Six – July 27, 2025: Application, Implementation, and Future Vision

The final session invited participants to integrate six weeks of learning into a draft Succession Framework for TNMBC. Working in groups, they outlined mentorship processes, training structures, and evaluation practices. Presentations revealed shared priorities and affirmed the congregation's readiness for deeper succession planning.

Data collection occurred throughout the six-week process. Pre- and post-session questionnaires tracked shifts in participants' theological understanding and confidence regarding succession planning. Semi-structured interviews with each participant provided deeper qualitative insight before and after the seminar. Focus group conversations and interviews were recorded with detailed field notes and audio recordings, which were later transcribed for thematic coding.

Early analysis revealed several key developments: increased biblical awareness, as participants articulated a clearer theological foundation for succession; a shift from personality-based to process-based thinking, reflecting a maturing understanding of leadership development; and strengthened intergenerational connections, with emerging leaders reporting greater inclusion and senior leaders affirming a renewed sense of mentoring responsibility.

As expected in a ministry context, several practical adjustments were necessary. Four out of the six sessions had one absence. No participant missed more than one

session. Four participants had perfect attendance. The consistent attendance allowed for more discussion time, fostering deeper engagement.

The varying levels of familiarity with the roles and responsibilities of various church leaders presented a challenge. Senior leaders resonated most strongly with biblical and historical material, while younger participants gravitated toward interdisciplinary frameworks. This required shifting instructional strategies to include layered explanations to bridge generational learning styles. These adjustments strengthened the project.

The final phase centered on synthesizing data and evaluating project outcomes. A review of feedback, interview transcripts, and questionnaires indicated significant personal and collective transformation. Participants expressed a deeper recognition of succession as a biblical mandate, a renewed commitment to intentional mentorship, and a clearer vision for institutionalizing leadership development at TNMBC.

Evaluation and Insights

Implementation surfaced several key insights. Succession requires cultural change. Sustainable planning depends not only on policies but on a mindset that values leadership reproduction over leadership replacement. Mentorship must be institutionalized. Informal patterns alone are insufficient for long-term continuity.

Additionally, spiritual discernment and strategic planning work together. Participants affirmed that prayerful reflection and structured systems complement rather than compete with one another. These findings reinforced the project's central conviction that succession is both a spiritual discipline and a strategic necessity.

The implementation of this Doctor of Ministry project demonstrated how theological vision and practical methodology can converge to create meaningful transformation within a congregational setting. Through six weeks of structured instruction, reflective dialogue, and collaborative planning, leaders and emerging leaders at The New Macedonia Baptist Church grew in understanding, unity, and shared purpose.

The project confirmed that faithful succession is Spirit-led and strategically designed. Although the formal research concluded in summer 2025, the work of succession continues as TNMBC moves toward fully adopting and institutionalizing the framework developed through this study.

In this way, the implementation fulfilled the dual aims of the Doctor of Ministry program—advancing scholarly understanding and strengthening the church's capacity to raise future leaders with wisdom, integrity, and hope.

Summary of Learning

The purpose of this Doctor of Ministry project was to equip The New Macedonia Baptist Church (TNMBC) with a sustainable, biblically grounded leadership succession model through a structured six-week focus group study. The guiding hypothesis was that if a team of congregants participates in a focused study of leadership succession, then they will be equipped to create a succession model to identify and employ new leaders in The New Macedonia Baptist Church. This hypothesis is grounded in the belief that intentional study, coupled with practical application, can lead to effective leadership transitions, ensuring the long-term health and vitality of the church.

This Summary of Learning synthesizes what emerged during implementation, what participants learned, what I gained as the researcher, and how the data confirms or challenges the original hypothesis. The findings indicate significant theological, practical, and relational growth, underscoring the value of structured succession preparation within a historic African American congregational context.

The project sought to address a critical gap in TNMBC's leadership culture, namely the absence of a formal succession strategy capable of supporting continuity, health, and mission effectiveness. It is anticipated that if participants engaged in a six-week study rooted in biblical, historical, theological, and interdisciplinary perspectives, they would gain both understanding and readiness to help frame a succession model for congregational use.

The hypothesis can be summarized as follows: Intentional teaching and guided reflection on leadership succession will equip a carefully selected group of leaders at TNMBC to conceptualize, articulate, and begin developing a sustainable succession model.

The implementation generated extensive qualitative data, including focus group discussions, pre- and post-session questionnaires, participant reflections, and semi-structured interviews. These sources form the foundation of the findings presented below.

The pre- and post-session questionnaires offered a clear view of participants' growth during the six-week seminar. At the outset, responses reflected limited confidence and only a moderate grasp of biblical succession models, with most participants rating their understanding as low to moderate. By the conclusion of the series, their responses showed marked improvement.

Participants demonstrated a high level of mastery in identifying and interpreting biblical patterns of leadership transition. Confidence in discussing succession rose from low to moderate–high, indicating that participants felt more capable of engaging in conversations that had previously seemed uncomfortable or unclear. Although participants already acknowledged the urgency of developing a succession model before the project began, their sense of urgency strengthened slightly, moving from high to very high. One conviction remained constant: TNMBC needs a formal succession strategy. This belief remained at a very high level throughout the study, suggesting a strong pre-existing consensus on the issue's importance.

Notably, participants grew significantly in their personal readiness to mentor emerging leaders, shifting from low–moderate to high by the end of the study. Taken together, these findings demonstrate that the greatest areas of growth occurred in biblical literacy regarding succession, readiness for leadership mentoring, and practical understanding of how formal succession models function within a congregational context.

The six focus group teaching sessions and interviews produced more than thirteen hours of substantive dialogue. Analysis of these conversations revealed recurring themes that shaped the group’s learning experience. One of the most consistent themes across each session was the need for a structured leadership pipeline. Several participants acknowledged that TNMBC had historically “depended on charisma, not structure” and recognized the limits of this pattern.

Beginning around the third week and intensifying toward the conclusion of the project, participants expressed a strong desire for intentional intergenerational

engagement, repeatedly naming the importance of "bridging the age gap" in leadership development. Another prominent theme, especially evident in the first four sessions, was the conviction that leadership succession must be grounded in theology rather than driven by personal preference or tradition.

At the same time, participants were candid about their anxiety surrounding leadership transition, especially in the early weeks, recalling past experiences in which transitions "went wrong" and created congregational instability. As time progressed, however, the discussions revealed a growing openness to change. By Week Six, many expressed the belief that "this is the right time for us to grow up organizationally." Considered together, these themes show a meaningful progression among participants, moving from uncertainty to understanding and toward ownership of the succession conversation.

The semi-structured interviews conducted before and after the seminar series offered additional insight into how the project shaped both senior and emerging leaders. Among senior leaders, the interviews revealed a noticeable increase in confidence regarding their ability to mentor younger leaders, along with a renewed appreciation for the importance of formal structures in sustaining healthy leadership transitions. They also recognized more clearly that succession planning must begin well before a leadership vacancy occurs, rather than being addressed reactively during a crisis. Emerging leaders consistently described feeling "invited into the room" in ways they had not experienced previously. They reported that the project gave them meaningful clarity about leadership expectations and the skills required for future service. They also voiced a strong desire for ongoing training and guided ministry opportunities, signaling a readiness to grow that

had not been fully tapped in the past. Collectively, the interviews underscored a shift toward greater transparency, shared responsibility, and intergenerational collaboration within TNMBC's leadership culture.

Participant reflection journals offered a deep personal window into the emotional and spiritual engagement throughout the project. In their entries, participants repeatedly expressed gratitude for having a safe and prayerful environment in which to discuss succession, an issue many admitted had long felt too sensitive or overwhelming to address openly. Their reflections revealed a strong conviction that TNMBC must be intentional about preserving its spiritual and institutional legacy, and they noted the risk of drifting from the church's core values without clear leadership continuity. Many acknowledged that leadership development within the church had often been "informal and inconsistent," shaped more by tradition and perceived availability than by deliberate mentorship or structured preparation. These journal insights affirmed the depth of participants' investment and confirmed the project's theological and pastoral premise: Leadership succession is both necessary and spiritually vital for the health and future of the congregation.

The analysis of the collected data strongly supports the project's hypothesis that a structured, biblically grounded focus group study can equip a congregation to begin developing a sustainable succession model. Participants demonstrated measurable growth in their understanding of leadership succession, expressed greater ease in discussing the topic, identified practical steps needed to build a functional succession framework, and showed an apparent willingness to participate in mentorship and leadership training. Several patterns of transformation emerged during the six-week experience.

Theologically, participants moved from viewing succession primarily as an administrative task to recognizing it as a biblical mandate rooted in stewardship, continuity, and spiritual formation. Many entered the project with an attitude of apprehension or uncertainty about leadership transition but completed the process with renewed hope and clarity, expressing sentiments such as, “I was afraid of what succession might mean. Now I see it as necessary stewardship,” and emphasizing the need to “plan, pray, and prepare, not react.” Perhaps the most significant transformation occurred intergenerationally.

Younger leaders reported feeling affirmed and welcomed into conversations that had previously felt closed off to them, while older leaders began to embrace mentorship as a spiritual responsibility rather than an optional activity. This cultural shift toward shared leadership and mutual investment reflects the impact of collective learning, guided reflection, and intentional theological grounding.

Reflecting on this project as both a researcher and a senior pastor, I gained substantial professional, pastoral, and spiritual insight that will continue to shape my leadership and TNMBC's future. One of the most important lessons was the recognition that succession requires cultural change, not merely structural adjustments. Drafting policies is not sufficient; true succession planning involves shaping hearts, expectations, and shared values within the congregation. I learned that churches accustomed to relying on the charisma of a long-tenured leader must be guided toward embracing plurality, preparation, and shared responsibility. The project also highlighted the need to intentionally address intergenerational gaps. Younger leaders are eager and ready to serve, yet many have lacked clear pathways for involvement. Older leaders affirm the

need for transition but sometimes wrestle with the fear of losing influence. Bridging this divide requires trust-building, transparency, and discipleship.

I also discovered that healthy succession demands both Spirit and structure. Faithful transitions must be nourished by prayer and scripture, while also supported by systems of accountability and operational clarity. On a personal level, I learned that pastoral vulnerability is essential. As a long-serving pastor, engaging this project required deep reflection on my own leadership journey and a willingness to acknowledge the inevitability of transition. Rather than weakening pastoral authority, such honesty strengthened congregational trust. Finally, the project taught me that dialogue shapes discernment. Participants were most deeply formed not only by formal teaching but also by shared conversation, reflection, and communal engagement. In many ways, this project reaffirmed that leadership succession is not a single moment or event, but a process cultivated through ongoing, honest dialogue in the life of the church.

The project's implementation brought both challenges and surprising insights that enriched the overall learning process. Varied levels of theological literacy among participants called for adaptive teaching methods that could serve both those with extensive biblical training and those with less formal study. Emotional discomfort in the early sessions, especially around the topic of leadership transition, required heightened pastoral sensitivity and careful facilitation. Alongside these challenges, several encouraging insights emerged. Younger leaders expressed a more profound desire for mentoring and guided development than anticipated, revealing a strong hunger for meaningful engagement. Older leaders proved more open to structural change when conversations were rooted in scripture, showing that theological grounding can ease

anxieties around transition. Most encouraging was participants' willingness to continue meeting even after the project formally concluded, indicating a genuine commitment to sustained leadership growth. Together, these insights suggest that TNMBC is fertile ground for ongoing leadership development and is well-positioned to nurture a culture of intentional succession.

The findings of this project carry significant implications for both The New Macedonia Baptist Church and the broader African American Church context. For TNMBC, the results indicate that the congregation is not only capable but also genuinely ready to establish a formal leadership succession model. The project sparked a noticeable cultural shift toward shared leadership, intentional mentorship, intergenerational collaboration, documented processes, and theologically grounded decision-making. These emerging values signal a growing maturity within the church's leadership culture and serve as strong indicators of long-term sustainability. Beyond the local context, the project contributes to the broader conversation on leadership succession within African American congregations, where leadership transitions have often been marked by uncertainty and a lack of structural clarity. The findings show that biblical teaching can reframe succession as an act of faithful stewardship rather than a source of fear, that structured dialogue reduces anxiety and builds ownership among leaders, and that Leadership Continuity Theory, when contextualized with cultural sensitivity, can serve as a practical tool for congregational development. In this way, TNMBC's experience offers a replicable and instructive model for other churches confronting similar challenges in leadership continuity.

This Summary of Learning reveals that the structured six-week focus group study effectively equipped participants to understand and engage substantively in succession planning. It confirms the project's hypothesis, uncovers significant patterns of transformation, and demonstrates both theological depth and practical growth among leaders. The project strengthened TNMBC's readiness for future leadership transitions and provided the researcher with invaluable personal and professional insight. More importantly, it contributed to the ongoing work of ensuring that TNMBC remains spiritually grounded, organizationally prepared, and missionally focused as it develops a sustainable leadership succession model for generations to come.

Conclusion

The purpose of this Doctor of Ministry project was to equip The New Macedonia Baptist Church with a sustainable, biblically grounded succession model that guides the congregation through future leadership transitions with stability, clarity, and spiritual integrity. The central hypothesis was that if a team of congregants participates in a focused study of leadership succession, then they will be equipped to develop a succession model to identify and deploy new leaders. The work of this project—its preparation, implementation, and analysis—reveals a compelling story of spiritual growth, organizational learning, and communal transformation within TNMBC.

The data gathered throughout the project affirm that its goals were met in meaningful and measurable ways. The focus group sessions created an environment where leaders felt both challenged and supported as they reconsidered their understanding of succession. Questionnaire results demonstrated marked increases in

biblical literacy, confidence, and practical comprehension of succession principles. Interviews amplified these findings, showing heightened openness among senior leaders, deeper eagerness among emerging leaders, and a growing sense of shared responsibility across the group. Reflection journals echoed these themes, revealing emotional honesty, spiritual conviction, and renewed commitment to preserving the church's legacy intentionally. Together, these data sources indicate that the project not only achieved its stated objectives but generated momentum for continued leadership development well beyond the research period.

The implementation findings largely confirmed the original hypothesis. Participants demonstrated significant growth in their theological and practical understanding of succession and showed clear readiness to help shape a formal framework. Their engagement with the biblical, historical, theological, and interdisciplinary content revealed a maturing awareness that succession is not simply an administrative task, but a spiritual mandate grounded in stewardship and continuity. The strengths of the project included the depth of participant engagement, the richness of intergenerational dialogue, and the emergence of a shared commitment to documented leadership processes. In many ways, the project produced exactly the kind of transformation envisioned at its inception.

The implementation also revealed limitations. The six-week timeframe, while effective for initial engagement, proved insufficient to complete or evaluate a fully developed succession model. The project functioned as the initial step of a larger, ongoing process rather than a final solution. The varied levels of theological and organizational literacy among participants required continual adjustments in teaching

methods. These limitations do not diminish the project's value. Instead, they highlight the need for longer timelines, sustained training, and expanded development opportunities in future iterations.

As the pastor, researcher, and facilitator, this project provided me with profound personal learning. Navigating the tension between my long tenure as senior pastor and the need to prepare the church for leadership beyond my season required both honesty and humility. I learned that succession is not merely about leaving well; it is about leading well now by equipping others, sharing responsibility, and cultivating a culture that values legacy, mentorship, and innovation. The project deepened my understanding of how theological convictions about stewardship, shared leadership, and generational faithfulness must shape practical decision-making in congregational life. It also reinforced the importance of vulnerability and pastoral presence while guiding others through emotionally sensitive terrain.

Several project components emerged as particularly successful. The intergenerational dialogue exceeded expectations, fostering trust, collaboration, and empathy that had often been missing. The biblical and theological grounding helped participants view succession as a faithful expression of God's design rather than a purely human task. The structured sessions offered clarity and continuity, and the participants' desire to continue meeting even after the project concluded signaled a genuine hunger for further leadership development.

The implications for future work at TNMBC are significant. The church should establish a standing Leadership Development Team to carry forward the succession work initiated here. Annual or biannual leadership academies could extend the curriculum

developed in this project. Emerging leaders should be offered more opportunities to serve and lead ministries and to participate in strategic decision-making as part of a broader leadership pipeline. Finally, TNMBC should formalize written policies and procedures that secure succession planning as an ongoing priority rather than a one-time initiative.

Beyond TNMBC, this project contributes to the broader conversation on pastoral succession in the African American Church. Many historically Black congregations face similar challenges: aging leadership, undocumented processes, and transitions marked by uncertainty. This project demonstrates that biblical teaching, structured dialogue, and intentional mentorship can help congregations shift from reactive transitions to proactive stewardship. TNMBC's experience provides a replicable model that other churches can adapt to a framework rooted in scripture, shaped by history, and informed by practical leadership theory.

In conclusion, this project represents a meaningful and transformative step toward securing the future leadership of The New Macedonia Baptist Church. While it does not complete the succession journey, it establishes a clear and hopeful path forward. It cultivates a culture of shared leadership, creates space for emerging leaders, and grounds the church's future in biblical fidelity, organizational wisdom, and spiritual discernment. In many ways, the project mirrors succession itself: a commitment to spiritual continuity, faithful stewardship, and the flourishing of God's people for generations to come.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Adrados, Francisco Rodríguez. *A History of the Greek Language: From Its Origins to the Present.* Leiden, UK: Brill, 2005.

Alcántara, Jared E. *The Practices of Christian Preaching: Essentials for Effective Proclamation*. Ada, MI: Baker Academic, a Division of Baker Publishing Group, 2019.

Allen, Clifton J. *The Broadman Bible Commentary*. Nashville, TN: Broadman Press, 1969.

Allen, David Lewis. *Preaching Tools: An Annotated Survey of Commentaries and Preaching Resources for Every Book of the Bible*. Ft. Worth, TX: Seminary Hill Press, 2016.

Allen, Richard. *The Life, Experience, and Gospel Labours of the Rt. Rev. Richard Allen.* Philadelphia, PA: Martin and Boden Printers, 1833.

Allison-Napolitano, Elle. *Flywheel: Transformational Leadership Coaching for Sustainable Change.* Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin, 2013. http://site.ebrary.com/id/11038081.

Attridge, Harold W., Wayne A. Meeks, and Jouette M. Bassler. *The HarperCollins Study Bible: New Revised Standard Version, Including the Apocryphal/Deuterocanonical Books*. San Francisco, CA: HarperCollins, 2006.

Bantu, Vince L. *Gospel Haymanot: A Constructive Theology and Critical Reflection on African and Diasporic Christianity*. New York, NY: Urban Ministries, Inc., 2020.

Barth, Karl. *Church Dogmatics*. Edinburgh, UK: T and T Clark, 1956.

Barton, John, and John Muddiman, Eds. *The Oxford Bible Commentary.* Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press, Incorporated, 2013. ProQuest Ebook Central.

Bass, Bernard M., and Ronald E. Riggio. *Transformational Leadership*. 2nd ed. Mahwah, NJ: L. Erlbaum Associates, 2006.

Bauer, Walter, Frederick W. Danker, William F. Arndt, and F. Wilbur Gingrich. *A Greek-English Lexicon of the New Testament and Other Early Christian Literature.* Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press, 2000.

Beeley, Christopher A. *Leading God's People: Wisdom from the Early Church for Today*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2012.

Berkouwer, G. C. *The Church, Studies in Dogmatic*s. Grand Rapids, MI: W. B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 1976.

Blair, Nancy Stanford, and Mark L. Gesner. *Your Life Is Your Message: Discovering the Core of Transformational Leadership*. New Delhi, IND: Sage Bureau, 2014.

Bock, Darrell. *Mark (New Cambridge Bible Commentary).* Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2015.

Bonhoeffer, Dietrich. *The Cost of Discipleship*. New York, NY: Macmillan, 1959.

———. *Letters and Papers from Prison*. Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 2010.

———. *Life Together*. New York, NY: Harper and Row, 1954.

Bowdle, Phil. *Rethink Communication: A Playbook to Clarify and Communicate Everything in Your Church*. Los Angeles, CA: Center for Church Communication, 2019.

Brown, Raymond Edward. *An Introduction to the New Testament*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 2010.

Bruce, F. F., Ed. *The New International Commentary on the New Testament.* Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1951.

Charan, Ram, Stephen Drotter, and James Noel. *The Leadership Pipeline: How to Build the Leadership Powered Company*. Second ed. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass, 2011.

Clark, George W. *Galatians, Ephesians, Philippians, Colossians, I. and II. Thessalonians, I. and II. Timothy, Titus, and Philemon: Commentary.* Philadelphia, PA: American Baptist Publication Society, 1903.

Clarke, Adam, and Samuel Christian Dunn. *Theology.* Second ed. London, UK: Thomas Tegg and Son, 1835.

Cone, James H. *Black Theology and Black Power*. Maryknoll, NY: Orbis Books, 1997.

———. *The Cross and the Lynching Tree*. Maryknoll, NY: Orbis Books, 2011.

———. *For My People: Black Theology and the Black Church*. Maryknoll, NY: Orbis Books, 1984.

———. *God of the Oppressed*. Maryknoll, NY: Orbis Books, 1997.

Conger, Jay A., and Ronald E. Riggio. *The Practice of Leadership: Developing the Next Generation of Leaders*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass, 2007.Crabb, Larry. *Becoming a True Spiritual Community: A Profound Vision of What the Church Can Be.* Nashville, TN: T. Nelson, 2007.

DeAngelis, Tori. "Black Men's Mental Health Matters." Monitor on Psychology. https://www.apa.org/monitor/2021/09/ce-black-mental-health.

DeSilva, David A. "Patronage." In *Dictionary of New Testament Background: A Compendium of Contemporary Biblical Scholarship*. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 2000.

Dickerson, Dennis C. *The African Methodist Episcopal Church.* Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2020.

Du Bois, W. E. B. *The Souls of Black Folk*. Chicago, IL: A.C. McClurg and Company, 1903.

Dulles, Avery. *Models of the Church.* New York, NY: Doubleday, 2002.

East, Brad. *The Church's Book: Theology of Scripture in Ecclesial Context*. Grand Rapids, MI: William B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 2022.

Easton, M. G. *Illustrated Bible Dictionary and Treasury of Biblical History, Biography, Geography, Doctrine, and Literature.* New York, NY: Harper and Brothers, 1893.

Edwards, James R. *The Pillar New Testament Commentary.* Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2002.

Ehrman, Bart D. *Forged: Writing in the Name of God—Why the Bible's Authors Are Not Who We Think They Are.* New York, NY: HarperOne, 2011.

Elwell, Walter A., and Barry J. Beitzel. *Baker Encyclopedia of the Bible.* Vol. 1. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Book House, 1988.

Fee, Gordon D. *New Testament Studies: Paul, the Pastoral Epistles, and the Early Church.* Peabody, MA: Hendrickson Publishers, 2005.

Galindo, Israel. *The Hidden Lives of Congregations: Understanding Congregational Dynamics*. Landham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield, 2014.

Gates, Henry Louis. *Black Church: This Is Our Story, This Is Our Song.* New York, NY: Penguin Books, 2021.

Gilbert, Kenyatta R. *A Pursued Justice: Black Preaching from the Great Migration to Civil Rights.* Waco, TX: Baylor University Press, 2016.

Giles, Kevin. *Patterns of Ministry Among the First Christians*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2017.

Goffman, Erving. *The Presentation of Self in Everyday Life*. Garden City, NY: Doubleday, 1959.

Graves, Mike, David J. Schlafer, and Eugene L. Lowry. *What's the Shape of Narrative Preaching?: Essays in Honor of Eugene L. Lowry.* St. Louis, MO: Chalice Press, 2012.

Groves, Kevin S. "Integrating Leadership Development and Succession Planning Best Practices." *Journal of Management Development* 26, No. 3 (2007).

Grudem, Wayne A. *Systematic Theology: An Introduction to Biblical Doctrine*. Grand Rapids, MI: Inter-Varsity Press, 2004.

Guthrie, Donald. *The International Standard Bible Encyclopedia, Revised.* Edited by Geoffrey W. Bromiley. Vol. 3. Grand Rapids, MI: William B. Eerdmans, 1979–1988.

———. *New Testament Introduction.* In *The Master Reference Collection.* Fourth ed. Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 1990.

Hall, Keith E. "Transformational Leadership Practices of African-American Pulpit Ministers at Predominantly African American Churches of Christ in Nashville, TN." PhD diss., Pepperdine University, Malibu, 2013.

Harris, James H. *The Courage to Lead: Leadership in the African American Urban Church.* Ada, MI: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, 2002.

———. *Pastoral Theology. A Black-Church Perspective*. Minneapolis, MN: Augsburg Fortress, 1991.

Harris, Ralph W., Ed. "Galatians–Philemon." *The Complete Biblical Library: Study Bible* Austin, TX: Wordsearch, 2009.

Harrison, P. N. *The Problem of the Pastoral Epistles.* London, UK: Oxford University Press, 1921.

Hartwig, Ryan T. *Teams That Thrive: Five Disciplines of Collaborative Church Leadership.* New York, NY: IVP Publisher, 2015.

Hemer, Colin J. *Holman Illustrated Bible Dictionary.* Edited by Charles W. Draper et al. Nashville, TN: Holman Bible Publishers, 2003.

Higginbotham, Evelyn Brooks. *Righteous Discontent: The Women's Movement in the Black Baptist Church, 1880-1920*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1993.

Johnson, Luke Timothy. *The Writings of the New Testament: An Interpretation.* Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 1999.

Jones, L. Gregory. *Embodying Forgiveness: A Theological Analysis*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1995.

Keener, C. S. *The IVP Bible Background Commentary: New Testament*. Second Edition. Lisle, IL: An Imprint of InterVarsity Press, 2014.

King, Martin Luther, Jr., Vincent Harding, et al. *Where Do We Go From Here: Chaos or Community?* Boston, MA: Beacon Press, 2010.

Knight, George W. *The Pastoral Epistles: A Commentary on the Greek Text. New International Greek Testament Commentary.* Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1992.

Köstenberger, Andreas J., and T. Desmond Alexander. *Salvation to the Ends of the Earth: A Biblical Theology of Mission*. Second ed. Edited by D. A. Carson. Downers Grove, IL: Apollos, 2020.

Kuhnert, Karl W., and Philip Lewis. "Transactional and Transformational Leadership: A Constructive/Developmental Analysis." *The Academy of Management Review* 12, No. 4 (1987): 648–657. https://doi.org/10.2307/258070.

Kwon, Duke L., et al. *Reparations: A Christian Call for Repentance and Repair.* Grand Rapids, MI: Brazos Press, 2021.

Larsen, David L. *The Anatomy of Preaching: Identifying the Issues in Preaching Today*. Grand Rapids, MI: Kregel Publications, 1999.

LaRue, C. J. *I Believe I'll Testify: The Art of African American Preaching*. Louisville, KY: Westminster John Knox Press, 2011.

Lincoln, C. Eric, and Lawrence H. Mamiya. *The Black Church in the African American Experience.* Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 1990.

Lock, Walter. “Titus, Epistle To.” In *A Dictionary of the Bible: Dealing with Its Language, Literature, and Contents Including the Biblical Theology*. Edited by James Hastings et al. New York, NY: T. and T. Clark, 1911–1912.

Lowry, Eugene L. *The Homiletical Plot: The Sermon as Narrative Art Form.* Louisville, KY: Westminster John Knox Press, 2007.

Mallory, Sue. *The Equipping Church: Serving Together to Transform Lives.* Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 2001.

McBrien, Richard P. *Catholicism*. New York, NY: HarperOne, 1994.

McGrath, Alister E. *Christian Theology: An Introduction*. Fifth Edition. Malden, MA: B.H. Blackwell Ltd., 2011.

McIntosh, G. *Taking Your Church to the Next Level: What Got You Here Won't Get You There*. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Books, 2009.

Migliore, Daniel L. *Faith Seeking Understanding: An Introduction to Christian Theology.* Fourth Edition. Chicago, IL: William. B. Eerdmans Publishing Co., 2023. https://public.ebookcentral.proquest.com/choice/PublicFullRecord.aspx?p=7210862.

Mitchell, H. H. *Black Church Beginnings: The Long-Hidden Realities of the First Years*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2005.

Moberly, R. W. L. “Bible and Church, Bultmann and Augustine: A Response to David Congdon,” *Journal of Theological Interpretation* 9, No. 1 (2015): 39-48.

Moltmann, Jürgen. *The Church in the Power of the Spirit: A Contribution to Messianic Ecclesiology*. Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 1993.

Morgan, Tony. *The Unstuck Church: Equipping Churches to Experience Sustained Health*. Nashville, TN: Thomas Nelson, 2017.

Morley, Patrick M., David Delk, and Brett Clemer. *No Man Left Behind: How to Build and Sustain a Thriving Disciple-Making Ministry for Every Man in Your Church.* Chicago, IL: Moody Publishers, 2012.

Moss, C. Michael. *1, 2 Timothy and Titus NIV Commentary.* Joplin, MO: The College Press, 1994.

Mounce, William D. “Pastoral Epistles.” In *World Biblical Commentary.* Vol. 46. Dallas, TX: Word, Incorporated, 2000.

Myers, Allen C. “Crete.” In *The Eerdmans Bible Dictionary.* Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1987.

Nelson, Ivo, and Danna Sellers. *The 10 Principles of a Love-Based Culture: How Authentic Business Leaders Trust Their Employees to Do the Right Thing.* New York, NY: IVO, 2019.

Newman, Richard S. *Freedom’s Prophet: Bishop Richard Allen, the AME Church, and the Black Founding Fathers.* New York, NY: New York University Press, 2009.

Northouse, Peter G. *Leadership: Theory and Practice.* Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, Inc., 2019.

Palmer, Parker J., Marcy Jackson, and Estrus Tucker. *The Courage to Teach: Exploring the Inner Landscape of a Teacher’s Life.* San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass, 2007.

Park, Hirho Y. *Develop Intercultural Competence: How to Lead Cross-Racial and Cross-Cultural Churches.* United Methodist General Board of Higher Education, 2018.

Payleitner, Jay, and David Murrow. *How to Disciple Men: Short and Sweet: 45 Proven Strategies from Experts on Ministry to Men.* Racine, WI: Broadstreet Pub Group, 2017.

Proctor, Samuel D. *"How Shall They Hear?:" Effective Preaching for Vital Faith.* Judson, PA: Judson Press, 2007.

Proctor, Samuel D., and Gardner Taylor. *We Have This Ministry.* Judson, PA: Judson Press, 1996.

Quarterman, Danetra T. “The Emotional Intelligence Attributes and Transformational Leadership Skills of African American Men and Women.” PhD diss., University of Phoenix, 2011. https://www.proquest.com/docview/1668327518.

Richardson, Ronald W. *Creating a Healthier Church: Family Systems Theory, Leadership, and Congregational Life.* Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 1996.

Robertson, A. T. *Word Pictures in the New Testament*. Nashville, TN: Broadman Press, 1933.

Robinson, Elaine A. *Introduction to Theology for Ministry*. Philadelphia, PA: Wesley’s Foundry Books, an Imprint of the General Board of Higher Education and Ministry, The United Methodist Church, 2017.

Rohr, Richard. *Falling Upward: A Spirituality for Two Halves of Life – A Compassion Journal.* San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass Publisher, 2013.

Ross, William. *Mentoring African American Males: A Research Design Comparison Perspective.* Charlotte, NC: Information Age Publishing, Inc., 2015.

Rothwell, William J. *Effective Succession Planning: Ensuring Leadership Continuity and Building Talent from Within*. Fifth ed. New York, NY: AMACOM, 2015.

Saillant, John. *Black Puritan, Black Republican: The Life and Thought of Lemuel Haynes, 1753-1833*. Oxford, MA: Oxford University Press, 2003. http://site.ebrary.com/id/10085215.

Sanders, Cheryl J. *Ministry at the Margins: The Prophetic Mission of Women, Youth, and the Poor*. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 1997.

Scazzero, Peter. *Emotionally Healthy Spirituality: It's Impossible to Be Spiritually Mature, While Remaining Emotionally Immature.* New York, NY: Harper Collins Publishing, 2017.

Schnabel, Eckhard J. *Mark: An Introduction and Commentary*. Lisle, IL: InterVarsity Press, 2015. ProQuest Ebook Central.

Scroggins, Shaunta D. "The Impact of Toxic and Transformational Leadership on Mentorship in the African American Pentecostal Church." PhD diss., Dallas Baptist University, Dallas, 2019.

Sernett, Milton C. *African American Religious History: A Documentary Witness.* 2nd ed. Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 1999.

———. *Bound for the Promised Land*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 1997.

Sharma, Monica. *Radical Transformational Leadership: Strategic Action for Change Agents.* Berkeley, CA: North Atlantic Books, 2017. https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&scope=site&db=nlebk&db=nlabk&AN=1478264.

Siambi, Fredrick. "Succession Planning in the Church: The Need for a Structured Approach." *Journal of Religious Leadership* 19, No. 1 (2021): 23-48.

Stallings, W. Joseph. "A Perspective on the Ecclesiology of John Wesley." *Ashland Theological Journal* 45, No. 1 (2013).

Stein, Robert H. *Mark: Baker Exegetical Commentary on the New Testament.* Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Academic, 2013.

Stevenson, Jerome P. *A Manual for Men Mentoring Men: Pastoral Care through Male Mentoring for the African American Man*. Bloomington, IN: WestBow Press, 2014.

Stewart, C. W. *Telling a Neglected Story: Leadership of the African Methodist Episcopal Zion Church in Difficult Times*. Alexandria, VA: VTS Press, 2014.

Sweet, Leonard I. *Giving Blood: A Fresh Paradigm for Preaching.* Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 2014.

———. *Summoned to Lead.* Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 2004.

Thomas, Owen C., and Ellen K. Wondra. *Introduction to Theology*. Harrisburg, PA: Morehouse, 2002.

Towner, Philip H. "1–2 Timothy, and Titus." In *The IVP New Testament Commentary Series.* Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 1994.

———. *The Letters to Timothy and Titus.* Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2006.

Vanhoozer, Kevin J. *Faith Speaking Understanding: Performing the Drama of Doctrine*. Louisville, KY: Westminster John Knox Press, 2014.

Vos, Geerhardus. *Biblical Theology: Old and New Testaments*. Carlisle, PA: Banner of Truth Trust, 2007.

Wall, Robert W., and Richard B. Steele. "1 and 2 Timothy and Titus." *The Two Horizons New Testament Commentary.* Edited by Joel B. Green and Max Turner. Grand Rapids, MI: William B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 2012.

Walker, Larry Lee. *Baker Encyclopedia of the Bible.* Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Book House, 1988.

Warnock, Raphael G. *The Divided Mind of the Black Church: Theology, Piety, and Public Witness.* New York, NY: New York University Press, 2014.

Warren, Richard. *The Purpose Driven Church: Growth Without Compromising Your Message and Mission*. Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan Pub., 1995.

Weber, Craig. *Conversational Capacity: The Secret to Building Successful Teams That Perform When The Pressure Is On.* Dallas, TX: McGraw-Hill Education, 2013.

Wesley, Charles H. *Richard Allen: Apostle of Freedom.* Washington, D.C.: Associated Publishers, 1935.

Wilkin, Robert N. "The Epistle of Paul the Apostle to Titus." In *The Grace New Testament Commentary*. Denton, TX: Grace Evangelical Society, 2010.

Williamson, Lamar. *Mark: Interpretation: A Bible Commentary for Teaching and Preaching.* Louisville, KY: Presbyterian Publishing Corporation, 2009.

Wyatt, Alfonso, and Kim Mayner. *Mentoring from the Inside Out, Healing Boys Transforming Men.* Plano, TX: True Perspective Publishing House, 2013.

Yarbrough, Robert W. "The Letters to Timothy and Titus." Edited by D. A. Carson. In *Pillar New Testament Commentary*. Grand Rapids, MI: William B. Eerdmans Publishing Company; Apollos, 2018.